MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K.vanaja (462) Course: B.tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile: 7995461169   E-mail: kunchalavanaja799 @gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating	Good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

K.vanaja
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sk. Shabana (4B3) Course: B.Tech. Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address... 2nd year 2-sem

Mobile: 8328337201 E-mail: shabanaShaik05296@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Extremly Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Extremly Good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating	Extremly Good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Sk. Shabana
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD
IN ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Srilakshmi (L2) Course: ECE Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address. Malineni pulladigunta. pathipadu road

Mobile. 6304455696 E-mail Sriluch80 @gmail. com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant ✓   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100% ✓   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good ✓   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100% ✓   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008 · Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada ∴ Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 22/12/23.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Sharon rose   Course: Btech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address...... 2 - 2

Mobile: 7842700744   E-mail: sharonrosepolipogu615@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	3.

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada. : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 28-12-2023

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM
(22KE1A0480)

Name: N.Vyshnavi   Course: B.Tech   Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address... 2nd IInd : Pulladigunta Guntur 522017

Mobile 7386042040   E-mail nellore.vyshnavi.03@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A0448

Name: N. Swapna Teja ....Course: B.Tech ... Department: E.C.E.

Year/Semester: Address.... 2nd year II-semester

Mobile. 8688269755 .... E-mail. swapnatejanagella @gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good ✓   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

N. Swapna Teja
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 28/12/23

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. manasa (22KE1A04C) Course: B-Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 M.L.E.W college, pulladigunta, Guntur - 522017

Mobile 9398163501 E-mail manasavedlamudi 2005 @gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sk. Manisha (22KE1A04...) Course: B.Tech... Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 ML.E.W College, pulladigunta, Guntur. 522017

Mobile 9030261317   E-mail manisha.shaik.u@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Sk. Manisha
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladiguntа (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

(22KE1A0497)

Name: R. gangotri   Course: B-Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 MLEW college, pulladigunta, Guntur, 522017

Mobile 7093244195   E-mail sachamanti.ganga@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

R. Gangothri
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 28|12|23

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

(22KE1A0496)

Name: P. Bhavyasri   Course: B-Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 MLEW college, pulladigunta, Guntur 522017

Mobile 7382310479   E-mail bhavyasri.putta@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant ✓   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75% ✓   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average ✓   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Bhavya Sri
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NBA
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025
A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28|12|23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

(22 KEIA0154)

Name: K. Athila ....Course: B-Tech. Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 MLEW, Pulladigunta, Guntur. 522017

Mobile 9866912956 ....E-mail athilakollikonda@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant ✓   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75% ✓   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD
OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 28/12/24

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

(22KEIA0u(4)

Name: V. Sravani   Course: B-Tech. Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 MLEW College, pulladigunta, Guntur, 5220A

Mobile 8885669645   E-mail Sravanivallepu 35 @ gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Sravani
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: U. Reeshini Course: B.Tech ECE Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 MLEW College, Pulladigunta, Guntur (522017)

Mobile 8309371818 E-mail reeshini uaddi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4.0
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 28/12/23

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Srivani (22KE/A092) Course: B-Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2, mol. E.w college, pulladigunta, guntur. 522017

Mobile 9182092297 E-mail vadlamudisrivani.68@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: SBindu Sri Course: ECE Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address...

Mobile 9441594715 E-mail Suggula bindusri@gmail-com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating	Good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008 — Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y.venkata lakshmi   Course: ECE(B-tech)   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address.......... 2-2

Mobile: 8688454960   E-mail: srilakshmiyandrapalli11@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100% ✓   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Y.venkata lakshmi
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V.Prasanna (22KE1A04Y4) Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address: 2-2 MLEW Pulladigunta Guntur 522017

Mobile: 7989642296 E-mail: vadlamudiprasanna019@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4) relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T.Bhargavi (22KE1A0) 4B8 Course: Btech B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2 M.L.E.W pulladigunta Guntur 522017

Mobile 9121463663 E-mail thota.bhargavi.64@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No:	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA

NAAC A+

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: R. Anusha - 4A1 Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2nd year 2nd sem

Mobile 8500075748 E-mail Anusharegula6@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Extremely good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	good
3	Depth of the course content	good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Extremely good
5	Extent of effort required by students	good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Extremely good
7	Overall rating	good

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

R. Anusha
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V.Kavya (4C7) Course: B.tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address........2-2

Mobile: 6304514392   E-mail: kavyareddyv277@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL

V.Kavya
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD of ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

(22KE1A04B9)

Name: T.Subbulu .... Course: B.Tech ...... Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address.... 2nd year II-Semister

Mobile 7569969285 .... E-mail ..thatasubbulu1@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

T. Subbulu
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A0459

Name: ....D. Kavyanjali..........Course: ..B. Tech..... Department: ..ECE....

Year/Semester: Address.. 2nd year - 2nd sem ....

Mobile.. 8465093878 .... E-mail ...Kavyadoppalapudi.1112@ gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5)Highly   4)relevant   3)partly   2)mostly   1) completely
   relevant   relevant   irrelevant   irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

D. Kavyanjali
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A0422

Name: CH. Bhanu rekha Course: B.Tech. Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address..... 2nd Year - 2nd Sem

Mobile.. 9701086 7702741026 E-mail bhanosekhachesvkvri856 @ gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No:	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

ch. Bhanurekha
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008   Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: R.Srilakshmi   Course: B.Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address...

Mobile: 6301627597   E-mail: Srilakshmi.Yaripati.97@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant ✓   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

R.Srilakshmi
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NBA
A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. Rishitha 22KE1A04A7   Course: B.tech   Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address......II - II

Mobile: 6300883132   E-mail: rishitha.sanam.1979@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100% ✓   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

S. Rishitha
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

ESTD : 2008

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K.Meghana Naidu 01 22KE1A04B2 ECE B.Tech. Course: ~~ECE~~ Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address...... 2-2

Mobile...... 9390594646 ...... E-mail meghananaidu1960@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

K. Meghana Naidu
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J.Harika (22KE1A0U31) Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 6302622366   E-mail harikami.du9e8@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

J. Harika
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA

NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Ramya Sri Course: ECE Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address.........2-2...............

Mobile.....6304.689.007.... E-mail ...ramyaaarpalli9@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

A. Ramya Sri
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA NATIONAL BOARD of ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y. Navya   Course: ECE   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 9347074553   E-mail navyayarramsetty769@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Y. Navya.
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

![Malineni Lakshmaiah Women's Engineering College logo]

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008   CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. Sruthi (4A5)   Course: B. Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address..........2-2

Mobile...8688698585   E-mail sagilasruthi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate ✓   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

S. Sruthi
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008 Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sai Prathyusha Dinik Course: B.Tech ECE Department: ECE (430).

Year/Semester: Address........... 2 - 2

Mobile......8688575508...... E-mail saiprathyushadinisala @gmail.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was ✓
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly   ✓4)relevant   3)partly   2)mostly   1) completely
relevant   relevant   irrelevant   irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   ✓4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
✓5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   ✓4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   ✓4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Jahnavi (22KH1A0405) Course: BTech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address....9-2...............

Mobile. 9398329976 E-mail allajahnavi9 @gmail.con

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Extremely Good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating	extremely Good ✓

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

A. Jahnavi
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sk. Shaniya (4A9) Course: B.Tech Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 8074674736 E-mail sk.shaniya.111@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Sk. Shaniya
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Pujitha (4B1) Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address........2-2........

Mobile......7981276832........ E-mail ......srinupanditi_u9 @ gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

P. Pujitha
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
ESTD : 2008
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD
OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Susmitha (472)   Course: B.Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address.....2-2.............

Mobile.9398324826........   E-mail..Susmithamanku@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Susmitha
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Manisha (457) Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address...

Mobile: 9391412707 E-mail: manisha.kotari36@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

K.Manisha
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008 — Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur. (Dist.)

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM
(L5)

Name: Done Kamala......Course: BTech...... Department: ECE......

Year/Semester: Address...2:2...

Mobile....7675865496............ E-mail done kamala @gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	exlent
3	Depth of the course content	excellent
4	Extent of coverage of course	excellent
5	Extent of effort required by students	good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	good
7	Overall rating	good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Done Kamala
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Raja Nandini (46)   Course: Btech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address III-B-2 0 - 2

Mobile: 7386336957   E-mail: nanduvamsi123@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL

G. RajaNandini
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008 · Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B.poojarchisha (416) Course: B.tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 7207874574   E-mail poojarchishaboella3@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

B.poojarchisha

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)
ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE • 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Geetha Ashini (411) Course: B-tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address........2-2....................

Mobile...630 1419228...... E-mail geethaaswini5@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

B. Geetha Aswini
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28|12|23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. chandrika -22-402 Course: B. tech Department: ECE.

Year/Semester: Address......2-2.

Mobile...6301515517......... E-mail ...Addagadda.chandrika05@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	good
3	Depth of the course content	good
4	Extent of coverage of course	good
5	Extent of effort required by students	good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	good
7	Overall rating	good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

A.chandrika
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

CSE & ECE - 2022-2026

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28|12|23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Kavya-22-UIK Course: B-tch. Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 9440281366 E-mail vshn.kavya@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	exllent
3	Depth of the course content	good
4	Extent of coverage of course	good
5	Extent of effort required by students	good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	good
7	Overall rating	good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

A. Kavya
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Suneetha 22-40 Course: B.tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile: 6301045399   E-mail: vulavalcattusuneetha@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	good
4	Extent of coverage of course	good
5	Extent of effort required by students	good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	good
7	Overall rating	good

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Swathi (446) Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2.2

Mobile: 8019386829 E-mail: Karpurapu Swathi 84 @ gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	good
3	Depth of the course content	good
4	Extent of coverage of course	good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Excellent
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	good
7	Overall rating	Good

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

K. Swathi
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD of ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 22KE1A0452 ..... Course: B.Tech ..... Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 6305097557 ..... E-mail Sridevik925@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4 ✓	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

K.Sridevi
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA — NATIONAL BOARD of ACCREDITATION — CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Dhanushya (22kE1f0478) Course: E.C.E Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address MCEW Pulladigunta

Mobile 7670915943 E-mail kamaladhanushya.2021@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28|12|23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 22KE1A0473 Course: Gb ECE Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address Mallineni pulladigunta pathipadu road.

Mobile 9391275129 E-mail Prasannamodepalli800@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good ✓	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Prasanna (kumar)
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Kaveri   Course: B.Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address.........2-2

Mobile..8341658440......   E-mail kaveribathula74@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

B. Kaveri

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD of ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A0461

Name: P. Anantha Durga Course: ECE A Department: ECE-A

B.Tech

Year/Semester: Address........ 2-2

Mobile......86.88.30.97.40.... E-mail ...anathapasupuleti@ gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

P. Anantha Durga
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
ESTD : 2008 Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: R. Gnana Prasuna Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 8333065824 E-mail rayudugnanaprasuna143@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

   5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

   5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

   5) 90 to100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

   5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

   5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

   5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

R. Gnana Prasuna
Signature of the Student

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: D.Prathyusha   Course: B.tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address......... 2-2

Mobile.... 818595-7466   E-mail ..damaprathyushadamaprathyusha@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

D. Prathyusha
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

ESTD : 2008

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28|12|23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Alekhya   Course: B.tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address......2-2........................

Mobile......7675093153....   E-mail ..alekhyach9105.@gmail. com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Ch.Alekhya
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

![Malineni Lakshmaiah logo]

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

ESTD : 2008

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch.S.U.K.s Meenakshi   Course: B.Tech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2 - 2 semester.

Mobile 9949066266   E-mail meenakshiclakkilam@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

Ch.S.U.k.s.Meerakshi.

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

ESTD : 2008

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 22KE1A04824 ... Course: B.Tech ... Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address ... 2-2

Mobile 9392693491 ... E-mail amirunnisasyed9@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging 4) adequate ✓ 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant 4) relevant ✓ 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100% ✓ 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent 4) adequate ✓ 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good 4) good ✓ 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100% 4) 75% ✓ 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

SD. A. Begum
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

ESTD : 2008

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28|12|23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A0478

Name: K. Akanksha .... Course: B.Tech .... Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile: 6303727104 .... E-mail: akhilakatta26@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

K. Alanksh
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A0474

Name: M. Apsar Sulthana Course: B.Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address 2-2

Mobile 9493483986   E-mail apsarsulthana986@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Apsar Sulthana
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

NBA NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Lakshmi Teja ...... Course: B-Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address............ 2-2

Mobile.. 8618811005 .... E-mail lakshmiteja039@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good ✓   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student
K. Lakshmi Teja

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G.Lakshmi Prasanna Course: B-Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address.............2-2.............

Mobile.....9030813014...... E-mail ...glprasanna.862@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student
G.Lakshmi Teja

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 29/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G.maneesha   Course: BTech   Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address....... 2-2

Mobile....7207127205...... E-mail .gannekoiramaneesha9652@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

G.maneesha.
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008   Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20   Date: 28-12-2023

(22KE1A04A4) STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Sagi. Bhanu sri .... Course: B.Tech Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address ... 2nd Year II - Sem

Mobile 9701016542 .... E-mail sagilokanil@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA | A+ NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by 'NBA' for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28-12-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

22KE1A04C6

Name: V.SAILAJA .... Course: B.Tech .... Department: E.C.E

Year/Semester: Address... 2nd year II Semister...

Mobile: 7702062959 ...... E-mail sailajavuddandi30@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging ✓   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant ✓   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

V. Sailaja

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NBA

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Naga Poojitha. Course: B. Tech. Department: CSE

Year/Semester : III -1 Address Guntur

Mobile 8309001336 E-mail pojikanerilu43 @gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   ✓ 5) challenging ·   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   ✓ 5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   ✓ 5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   ✓ 4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008 Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: ......U.Rohini................Course: ..B.Tech.. Department:....CSE..

Year/Semester : ....III – II.........Address......Guntur...................

Mobile....9341487426........ E-mail ...uppalapatirohini119.@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

    5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

    5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

    5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

    5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

    5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

    5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLE
PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-17

Signature of the U.Rohini student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S. Jhansi Course: B.tech Department: CSE

Year/Semester : III – II Address: Guntur

Mobile: 9000803424 E-mail: jhansisivadi0.@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Naga Soniya   Course: BTech   Department: C.S.E

Year/Semester : III-II   Address: Guntur.

Mobile: 6303348783   E-mail: nagasoniya210@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

   ✓5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

   ✓5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

   ✓5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

   ✓5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

   ✓5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

   ✓5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

V. Naga Soniya
Signature of the student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: ...Sk. Gousiya... Course: ...B.Tech... Department: ...CSE...

Year/Semester : ...3rd sem 2... Address...Vinobha nagar., Guntur...

Mobile...9676271617... E-mail ...skgousiya787@gmail.com...

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

   5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

   5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-17

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T.Indu ..... Course: B.Tech ..... Department: CSE

Year/Semester : 3-2 ..... Address Nemalipuri

Mobile 9391579547 ..... E-mail tatikondaindu@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly   4)relevant   3)partly   2)mostly   1) completely
relevant   relevant   irrelevant   irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5)100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-17

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NB∃
NATIONAL BOARD
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Manasakавya Course: BTech Department: CSE

Year/Semester : II-II Address Dumawaipalim. Addanki

Mobile 9347933890 E-mail vmrchaudary velanati@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
✓5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
✓5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ESTD : 2008

NBA NATIONAL BOARD OF ACCREDITATION CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/11/2023.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Sravanthi ......Course: B.Tech Department: CSE.

Year/Semester : IV/I ......Address kokani

Mobile 7906342955 E-mail Sravanthi.pelle 26@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

ESTD : 2008

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NBA
NATIONAL BOARD
OF ACCREDITATION
CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

A+
NAAC

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date: 3/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Suneetha   Course: B.Tech   Department: CSE

Year/Semester : II / II   Address Guntur

Mobile 9581789863   E-mail Suneethakarle56@gmail.Com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR.17

Signature of the student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NBA

A+
NAAC

ESTD : 2008 Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

CSE & ECE - 2022-2025

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

ALUMNI FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: .....S. Sumiy.......Course: .... Department:.....C.S.E .

Years/Semester: II / I   Address...

Mobile...6390938742 E-mail .....Sayyad Sumiya2@ gmail. com

1) Was the syllabus that was updated enough? If no, then specify the technologies/topics to be added to make it more updated
   a) YES   B) NO
2) Was the course content delivery interesting? If no, specify the ways that it could be more interesting
   a) YES   B) NO
3) Did the course curriculum intellectually stimulate you? Explain the ways
   a) YES   B) NO
4) Was the course curriculum fulfilling your expectations? If no, specify the reasons
   a) YES   B) NO
5) Have you learnt any new skills in the due course of your study?(other than syllabus)specify them
   a) YES   B) NO
6) Does the syllabus create any interest to pursue post graduation /Research in the particular topic
   a) YES   B) NO

Any suggestions:

Good.

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-17

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K.Saline   Course: B.Tech Department: CSE

Year/Semester : III-d/2   Address Ganaparaaram, 6-121, RTG Colony

Mobile 9704952289   E-mail kathisalini3761@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5)Highly   4)relevant   3)partly   2)mostly   1) completely
   relevant   relevant   irrelevant   irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by "NBA" & NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10 | 05 | 20 24

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5)Highly   4)relevant   3)partly   2)mostly   1)completely
relevant   relevant   irrelevant   Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5)90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment
    account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Register Number   Arikatla. Vyshnavi
20KE1A1201   PRINCIPAL   **Signature of the Student**

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by "NBA" & NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
 5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
 5) Highly   4) relevant   3) partly   2) mostly   1) completely
 relevant   relevant   irrelevant   Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
 5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
 5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
 5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment
    account for?   [5]
 5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Register Number   **Signature of the Student**
20KE1A1202

Bachina. Sindhu

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by "NBA" & NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20 Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	1
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Bapathu. Poojitha

Register Number

20KE1A1203

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by "NBA" & NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

| S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Bhimaneni kusuma priya

Register Number   **Signature of the Student**

20KE1A1204

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by "NBA" & NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Register Number
20KE1A1205

Boyapati yamuna
Signature of the Student

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class? [5]
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials? [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for? [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Gheetirala sai deepika

Signature of the Student

Register Number
20KE1A1206

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A+ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Chennupalli Sruthi

Register Number   **Signature of the Student**
20K E1A1207

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A+ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5 Extremely Good	4 Good	3 Moderate	2 Poor	1 Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class? [5]
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials? [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for? [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Register Number

20KE1A1208

Dabbakuti Naga Ushasri

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Darsi Lakshi hema Susmitha

Register Number
20KE1A1209

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by "NBA" & NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
    5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
    5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
    5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
    5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
    5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
    5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Dasari Lakshmi Sowjanya

Register Number   **Signature of the Student**

20KE1A1210

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 6/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

20KE1A1211   D.Chandrika venkata sahithya
Register Number   **Signature of the Student**

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

| S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2.   The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3.   Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4.   How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5.   What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6.   Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7.   In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

20KE[A1212
Register Number

D.Vasavi Niharika

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH COLLEGE
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly   4) relevant   3) partly   2) mostly   1) completely
relevant   relevant   irrelevant   Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment
account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

20KE1A1213
Register Number

Dondetisai Srija
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
ADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
   5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

20KE1A1214
Register Number

E. pujitha
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 6/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
 5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
 5) Highly   4) relevant   3) partly   2) mostly   1) completely
 relevant   relevant   irrelevant   Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
 5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
 5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate 2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
 5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
 5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

20kE1A1215
Register Number

E. Keerthi reddy
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20   Date: 10|05|2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was   [5]
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was   [5]
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely Irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?   [5]
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?   [5]
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?   [5]
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?   [5]
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

20KE1A1216
Register Number

G. Sravani
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS,FOC/R16

Date: 28/12/2024.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch.Prasanna   Course: _____   Department: Data science.

Year/Semester : 3 - 2   Address: _____

Mobile 9392973349   E-mail prasannareddychagantis@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Good
5.	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating	Good

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant   4)relevant ✓   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90% ✓   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate ✓   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good ✓   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75% ✓   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

MALINEN LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

ESTD : 2008

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS,FOC/R16

Date: 2/01/24

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y. Pushya Course: B.Tech CSE-DS Department: Data Science

Year/Semester : 3-2 Address..

Mobile: 9157555789 E-mail: ypushya2005@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant 4) relevant 3)partly relevant 2)mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladiguta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS,FOC/R16

Date: 04/02/24

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Ramya   Course: CSE-DS Department: Data Science

Year/Semester: 3-2   Address

Mobile 9346351009   E-mail gorantlaramya91@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R16   Date: 2/04/24

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N.V.L. prasanthi Course: DC-B Department: DS-B

Year/Semester : 3-2 Address: Guntur

Mobile: 8328278346 E-mail: prasanthinandipati59 @gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good ✓	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

ESTD : 2008

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS,FOC/R16   Date: 28/12/24

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Navya   Course: DL   Department: CSE - DS

Year/Semester : 3 - 2   Address: Arundelpet - 1st line, Guntur

Mobile: 8143295188   E-mail: tixuveedhi188@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Poojyaharini Course: B-tech Department: AI-DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, guntur.

Mobile: 6305888595 E-mail: Poojya 0226@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

T. Poojyaharini
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24/03/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M.Nagaveni   Course: B-Tech.   Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2   Address Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 9392651484   E-mail mallisettynagaveni@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M.Nagaveni
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/03/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P.t. Nagalakshmi Alekhya. B-tech .Course: Ai&Ds... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: ......2-2.............Address. Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile...8374119151............ E-mail .nagalakshmialekhya.3@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

D.Alekhya
Signature of the Student

T. Arun
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-2017

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24-03-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. MADHAVI .... Course: B-TECH. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 .... Address: Pulladigunta Guntur

Mobile: 7569644065 .... E-mail: madhu13023@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

G. Madhavi
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24/03/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Kanam Varalakshmi Course: B-Tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta

Mobile 9110358551   E-mail lakshmireddy.kanam.0@ g-mail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Varalakshmi. K
Signature of the Student

J. Anil

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

A+
NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24/03/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Avula. Neelima ....Course: B-Tech.. Department: AI & DS

Year/Semester: ..2-2................Address..Pulladigunta , Guntur

Mobile..8919925259............ E-mail ..avulaneelima.60.@.gmail·com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

A·Neelima
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
ESTD : 2008 **Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science**

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24-03-2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: R. Varshini   Course: B.tech   Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2   Address: pulladigunta (v), Guntur

Mobile: 8688957997   E-mail: varshavarsha5443@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

R. Varshini
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Kodavali. Bala Tripura Sumathi Course: B.Tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 9398764834 E-mail: Sumathi.kodavali.o@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Kodavali. Bala Tripura Sumathi
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
ESTD : 2008 **Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science**

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24-3-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Y. Jyothi .... Course: B.Tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 .... Address Pulladigunta, Guntur.

Mobile 7780436649 ..... E-mail yelchurijyothi03@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Y. Jyothi
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

A+
NAAC

ESTD : 2008

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Anusha   Course: B-Tech   Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2   Address Pulladigunta Guntur

Mobile 7670835221   E-mail anushamadusu122@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA/GUNTUR-522017

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: I. Tejaswi Course: B.Tech Department: AI & DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 7671847219 E-mail: iteju.65@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

P. Tejaswi
Signature of the Student

J. Amal

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: S.Meghana Bhargavi   Course: B.tch   Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2   Address: pulladigunta, Guntur.

Mobile: 9182059520   E-mail: mghnbhargavi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

S. Meghana Bhargavi
Signature of the Student

T. Amul

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-5

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+
NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Soilaja   Course: B.tech   Department: AIADS

Year/Semester: 2-2   Address. Pulladigunta (Guntur)

Mobile 7815919800   E-mail madasu.soilu.51@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Sailaja
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A. Pujitha   Course: B.tech. Department: AI&DS
Year/Semester: 2-2   Address pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile 8790938909   E-mail poojithaachanta3@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly   4)relevant   3)partly   2)mostly   1) completely
relevant   relevant   irrelevant   irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

A. Pujitha
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

ESTD : 2008 **Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science**

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24|3|24

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T.Lakshmi Parvathi Course: B.Tech. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 6303696870 E-mail lakshmiparvathi556@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

T.LakshmiParvathi

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL.

MALINENI LAKSH...

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024 .

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Naga Harshitha ....Course: B. Tech.... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: ......2-2................Address..pulladigunta , Gntur..............

Mobile.....8978197466.......... E-mail .nagamharshitha97@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

N. N. Harshitha
Signature of the Student

T. Anil

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. keerthi .... Course: B-Tech.. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: ....2-2.............. Address...Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile...7672065739............ E-mail ..keerthinaiduvinukonda@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

V. Heertuj
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24-03-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Syed. Afrin .... Course: B.Tech. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 .... Address pulladigunta ,Guntur

Mobile 7989614882 .... E-mail Smohammadmadini@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Syed. Afrin
Signature of the Student

J. Hus

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Bhavani priya Course: B.tech. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 Address: pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 9059507986   E-mail: bhavanipriyamodepalli@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Bhavani priya
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24-3-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Saikeerthi .... Course: B.Tech ...... Department: AI & DS

Year/Semester: ....2-2.... Address... pulladigunla (v) Guntur

Mobile. 7386361967 .... E-mail ...nadikudisaikeerthi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

N. Saikeerthi
Signature of the Student

T Arun

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING CO...
PULLADIK...

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date: 24|3|2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Nagurbi ......Course: BTech.... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 2-2 ....Address.... pulladigunta (v) Guntur

Mobile....7569362085...... E-mail ..bayyavarapunagurbi 1914@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

B. Nagurbi
Signature of the Student

T Hrw

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Krishna Veni Course: B.Tech Department: AI &DS

Year/Semester: III / II Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 97017 09802 E-mail Krishnaw.pp.x@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+
NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Hoonshitha ....Course: B.Tech.... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: ....3-2............Address Pulladigunta Guntur

Mobile....7780180902........ E-mail guntihashi@gmail.com .

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

G. Hoorshitha .
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
ESTD : 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20　　　　　　　　　　　　　　Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Triveni　　Course: B.Tech　　Department: AIDS

Year/Semester: 3-2　　Address: Pulladigunta Guntur

Mobile: 9014590097　　E-mail: triveni.venna25@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging　4) adequate　3) inadequate　2) dull　1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant　4) relevant　3) partly relevant　2) mostly irrelevant　1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%　4)75 to 90%　3) 50 to 75%　2) 40 to 50%　1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent　4) adequate　3) inadequate　2) poor　1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good　4) good　3) average　2) poor　1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%　4) 75%　3) 50%　2) 25%　1) below 25%

Triveni.V
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
ESTD : 2008 **Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science**

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A.Hemalatha Course: B-tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 Address Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 9392794127 E-mail akulahemalatha2001@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

A.Hemalatha
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+
NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B.Kavya   Course: B-Tech   Department: AI-DS

Year/Semester: 3-2   Address: Pulladigunta Guntur

Mobile: 9392711172   E-mail: Bonthakavya971@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

B. Kavya
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   **Date:**

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: **B. Mahika** .... Course: **B.tech** .... Department: **AI&DS**

Year/Semester: **3-2** .... Address **pulladigunta, Guntur**

Mobile **7337077629** E-mail **Mahikabts77@gmail.com**

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

B. Mahika.
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: C. Ramya .... Course: B.Tech. Department: AI &DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 .... Address Pulladigunta Guntur

Mobile 9014798412 .... E-mail ramyachennamsetti6@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

C. Ramya .
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Harika   Course: B.tech   Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2   Address: Pulladigunta (Gunta)

Mobile: 8179831593   E-mail: harika golla 1411@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

   5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

G. Harika

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Sailaja .... Course: B-Tech .... Department: AI+DS

Year/Semester: ....3-2............. Address... pulladigunta , Guntur ......

Mobile........9502722612...... E-mail .sailujastiu@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

J. Sailaja ,
Signature of the Student

J. Amul
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

A+ NAAC

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Bhavana .... Course: B-Tech.. Department: AI & DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 .... Address. pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 8977655027 .... E-mail bhavanagude315@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

G. Bhavana
Signature of the Student

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: L. Shirisha .... Course: B-Tech .... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 .... Address: pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 8712377560 .... E-mail: Lethavadlashirisha77560@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5 ✓	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

L. Shirisha

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

ESTD : 2008

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Kalapana Course: B.Tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 6303414956 E-mail: Macherla.kalapana@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5 ✓	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Kalapana
Signature of the Student

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   **Date:**

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Triveni .... Course: B.Tech .... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 .... Address Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 9542607884 .... E-mail Triveni Malliseti@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5) 90 to 100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

M. Triveni

Signature of the Student

J. Arul

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: U. Lavanya Course: B.Tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 7815816003 E-mail: lavanyaupputhola@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

U. Lavanya
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. keerthi Reddy ....Course: B.Tech. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 ....Address Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 9392436971 .... E-mail keerthireddy.tenali @gmail·com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

keerthi Reddy .
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Sai Dev Vidya Course: B.tech Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 Address pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 9133079477 E-mail nagaboinasaividya@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5) Highly relevant 4) relevant 3) partly relevant 2) mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

N. Sai Vidya
Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20   Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Prasanna   Course: B-Tech   Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2   Address: Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile: 9059078035   E-mail: prasannaponigeti@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5) Highly relevant   4) relevant   3) partly relevant   2) mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5). 90 to100%   4) 75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

P. prasanna
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

# MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Gowthami .... Course: B-Tech. Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: 3-2 .... Address Pulladigunta, Guntur

Mobile 7671964884 .... E-mail telegowthami4@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
5) challenging   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) dull   1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
5)Highly relevant   4)relevant   3)partly relevant   2)mostly irrelevant   1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
5). 90 to100%   4)75 to 90%   3) 50 to 75%   2) 40 to 50%   1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
5) excellent   4) adequate   3) inadequate   2) poor   1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
5) very good   4) good   3) average   2) poor   1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
5) 100%   4) 75%   3) 50%   2) 25%   1) below 25%

V. Gowthami
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),
Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India
Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Yamini .... Course: B-tech ..... Department: AI&DS

Year/Semester: ...3-2... Address... Pulladigunta Guntur..

Mobile...9618893828..... E-mail ...Yaminimovva07@gmail.com.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was
   5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was
   5)Highly relevant 4)relevant 3)partly relevant 2)mostly irrelevant 1) completely irrelevant

4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?
   5) 90 to100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?
   5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?
   5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?
   5) 100% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

N.Yamini
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-5220