







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20		Date: 98-19

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Vanaja (462)	Course: B. tech. Department: E.C.E.	
Year/Semester: Address2	<u>-2</u>	
Mobile 79954 61169	E-mail kurchalavana; a 799 @gmail, con	•

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

. 5	4 .	3	2.	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2 .	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Good
-6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7 .	Overall rating	Good

- 6	Relevance/learnin	g value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating		600
		K =	
2. T	he syllabus was		(9)
5) et	fallenging 4) adeq	uate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrel	evant
3. Y	our background for b	enefiting from the course was	
	hly 4)relevai		 completely irrelevant
4. H	low much of the syllal	ous was taught in class?	
5).9	0-to100% 4)75 to 9	3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less	than 40%
5. W	Vhat is your opinion a	bout the library holdings for the course?	738
5) ex	cellent 4) adequ	aate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very po	oor
6. W	Vere you able to get th	e prescribed course materials?	g 9
5) ye	ry good (4) good	3) average 2) poor 1) very	poor
	n your opinion, how n	nuch of the total weight age of a course should the in	nternal assessment
5) 10	0% 4) 75%	3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below	AZ 25%

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20					Date	:28-12-2
Voor	/Samastar Ada	STUDENT habana Co dress 2nd you 37201 E	3) ourse: B.	som	epartn .:	nent: ECE	mail. Com	1
					KILW.NI	JUN 16 WY	tar con	
1. PI6	ease give a rati	ng of your course	. 4	3	2	1		*
a ,		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particulars	# F						Rating
1		ue (in terms of sleerspectives)	tills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, aı	nalytical abiliti	es, or	GIDDY GIDDY
2	Applicability	/relevance to rea	ıl life situ	ations				Good
3		course content						Ggod,
4		erage of course				27		Gioca
5		ort required by st						Grood
6	Relevance/le	earning value of p	roject/re	eport				Good
7	Overall rating	g			18 20	110		LA CIPOS
5) cha 3. Yo 3) High elevar 4. Ho	nly 4)r nt ow much of the	adequate 3	om the co 3)partly relevight in cla	ant	2)mo	ostly irrelevant	1) co	ompletely relevant
-		e.*	F41					A KL
	200	nion about the li adequate 3)		dings for the te 2) poor	cours	e? 1) very poo	r	
		get the prescrib) good 3	ed course) average		or :	1) very p	oor	Å å
	your opinion, ecount for?	how much of the	total wei	ght age of a	course	should the int	ernal assessi	ment
5) 10		75% 3	50%	2) 25	%	1) below	25%	
		=:	7.4	- Jung		Signatur	k.Shab	ana

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Dof.	BAT EXAL	/ECE	FOC	/D20	
Rei:	MLEW	/ ELE	FUC	/ KZU	

Date: 28 /12 /23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: CH. Snilokshmill	20ourse: E.C.E.	Department:	ECE
Year/Semester: Address. MO.ILI	neni pulladigu	into. path	ipadu socid
Mobile 6304455696	E-mail Smiluch	180 @ gma	i com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely .	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	у.
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	Ч
5	Extent of effort required by students	Ÿ
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Y
7	Overall rating	5

/ Overall i	ating				
		*,		s 8 5	
2. The syllabus	was	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1 (128 11 FeV)	50.00
5) challenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	1 120
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the course	e was		4
5)Highly	4)relevant	3)partly	2)mo	stly 1) completely
relevant		relevant	· i	rrelevant	irrelevant
	of the cyllabus w	as taught in class?			
4. How much c	n the synabas we	2) 50 . 750/	23 40 to FOO/	1) less than 40%	
5).90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
5. What is you	r opinion about t	the library holdings	for the course	?	18
5) excellent	4) adequate	3) inadequate 2	2) poor	1) very poor	
6. Were you al	ole to get the pre	scribed course mat	terials?	95	V 3
5) very good		3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	
V			E Committee of the Comm		E Total
7. In your opin		of the total weight a	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	should the internal as	sessment
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student



IALINENI LAKSHMAIAH EN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING.

Pot MIEW/ECE/ECC/P20	37 T	<u>#</u> !!	Date: 90/m/

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: P. Shoron OSE	Course: Btech	Department: ECE
Year/Semester: Address&	ಎ	
Mobile 78 42 700 744	E-mail Shawon	ssepolipoguels@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	. 4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	ų.
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	y
7	Overall rating	3.

7 Overall	rating	4 1				3.
2. The syllabus	s was			la l'é		1 × 5
5) challenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	e 2) dull	1) irrele	vant	
	## 🖁	- I ⊗ 1.	X		2 EJ	
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the cour	se was	8 6		
5)Highly	4)relevant	3)partly	2)n	nostly	1)	completely
relevant		relevan	t	irrelevant	3	irrelevant
4. How much o	of the syllabus w	as taught in class	?			
5). 90 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50°	% 1) less t	han 40%	8
7 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V				46	a. ti	
5. What is you	r opinion about	the library holdin	gs for the cour	se?		
		3) inadequate	•	1) very po	or	1 5 8, =
			2 2	- A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A		
6. Were you al	ole to get the pre	scribed course m	atérials?			p 6
5) very good			2) poor	1) very	poor	
		, ,				
7. In your opin		of the total weight	age of a cours	e should the in	ternal asse	ssment

5) 100%

3) 50%

2) 25%

1) below 25%

Shootan Hose Signature of the Student

NI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



ALINENI LAKSHMAIAH OMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada. : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

NICE AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ef: MI	.EW/ECE/FOC/R20 Date: 9	8-12-
Name Year, Mobi	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM (22 K E 1 A O 4 80) E. N. Vy Shnavi Course: B. Tech Department: E.C. E (Semester: Address and Ind: Pulbaligunta Guntur 522017) Le. 7386042040 E-mail nellare vyshnavi 03@gmail. com Lease give a rating of your course on the following:-	
	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Extremely Poor	
		Rating
S.No.	Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	4
	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Ц
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students	Ц
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
7	Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating	4
8) ch 3. Y 5) High	ily Ajrelevant Sjparty irrelevant irr	npletely elevant
5). 9	0 to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%	;= <u>[</u>]
5) ex	What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? (cellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	
6V 5) v	Vere you able to get the prescribed course materials? ery good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor	
	n your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessn	nent
	n your opinion, now much of the total weight age of a course should the force of the total weight age of a course should the force of the total weight age of a course should the force of the total weight age of a course should the force of the total weight age of a course should the force of the force of the total weight age of a course should the force of the force	
3).1	urlstanavi	

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

PULLADIGUNTA, QUNTUR-522917.

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE









Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

f: MLI	EW/ECE/FOO	C/R20			1 3	:: ###	Date: 23	3-12-2
		STUDEN	r reed BA	CK EOBM O	N CURI	RICULUM		
	22 KEIAO	STUDEN	FEED BAG	KIOKMO	COIL		3	
NI	1.5000	na Tria	Course: B	Tech D	epartm	ent: ECE.		*0
			4 - 5	C O OA SI WI				*
Year/S	Semester: Add	ress2	Second		9	alla Cama	:1,00M	
Mobile	e.86.88.2E	97.55	E-mail .S.	apporte	jano	agella & gma	-1	
Ples	se give a ratir	ng of your cour	se on the fo	llowing:-			85 II III	
1 100	ise give a radii	5	4	. 3	2	1	** 15%	K. S.
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely	- 1	
8		Good	. 0000	Moderate	*	Poor	9	
02	gla II <u>i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i</u>	S. 1	· ·		471			Rating
No.	Particulars	- 4 -				- Latical obilities of	•	Racing
1	Learning valu	ie (in terms of	skills, conc	epts, knowle	edge, ar	nalytical abilities, or	2	5
	broadening p	erspectives)		1.				4
2	Applicability	/relevance to r	eal life situ	ations	- 1	1 2		4
3		course content						5
4 .	Extent of cov	erage of cours	e .		-,			5
5	Extent of effo	ort required by	students					4
6.		earning value o	f project/ r	eport				5
7	Overall ratin	g		35		(-
eha . Yo High elevar	ur backgroun ly 4)) adequate d for benefiting relevant e syllabus was	3)partly rele taught in cl	course was	2)m	1) irrelevant ostly irrelevant % 1) less than 4	irre	npletely levant
	hat is your op cellent 4	inion about the	e library ho 3) inadequa	ldings for thate 2) poo	ie cours	se? 1) very poor	i io	23.65 85
6. W 5) ve	ere you able t	o get the preso 4) good	ribed cours 3) averag	se materials ge 2) p	? oor	1) very poor		
		, how much of	the total we	eight age of	a cours	e should the intern	al assessm	ent
5) 10	ccount for?	¥75%	3) 50%	2) 2	5%	1) below 259		1.0
	· · · · · ·	a e	y late x	- 60	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	N. Sux	apna I	ela

PRINCIPAL Signature MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017. Signature of the Student



INENI LAKSHMAIAH 'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Date: 28/12/23

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

		 	100
	7: 1¥	Grand Co.	
Dof: MLFW/ECE/FOC/R20			

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: W. Monosa Year/Semester: Add Mobile. 9. 39% 16.3	ress.2-2. M 50.1 E	ourse: B	tech Do college pr	epartm	eht: ECE	. 522017 1.cam
1. Please give a ratir	ng of your cours	e on the fo	nowing	2.	1	
	Extremely	4	3	Daan	Extremely	
	Good	Good	Moderate	1001	Poor	

		Rating
S.No.	Particulars to be available analytical abilities, or	
1	Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	y
12	broadening perspectives)	14
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	14
3	Depth of the course content	u
4	Extent of coverage of course	Ü
5	Extent of effort required by students	y
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7 .	Overall rating	

6	Relevanc	e/learning value	of project/ report		2	4
7 .	Overall r	ating				
2. Th	ne syllabus allenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	_ cs ¹ an ₀
5)High releva 4. H 5).9	hly int low much o 0 to100%	4)relevant of the syllabus wa 4)75 to 90%	ng from the course 3)partly relevant as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	ir 2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%) completely irrelevant
	Vhat is you xcellent	r opinion about t 4) adequate	he library holdings 3) inadequate	2) poor	1) very poor	
5) v	ery good	4) good	scribed course ma 3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	,
*			Fthe total weight	age of a course	should the internal a	ssessment
	In your opi account for .00%	1) 15%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	8 10
806			T.	frul	Signature of th	e Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

				Date: 28 12/202
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20				2011212
		6. 5	6.	

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

21 - 20h-199KE10010	course: Q-Toch Department: ECF
Name: Sk. Wans In Land	scourse sulla diquale Country 522013
Year/Semester: Address. 2 2	ML.E.W. College, pulla digunta, Counter. 522017
Mobile 9030261317	E-mail makenha shait u agmail com
Places give a rating of your cou	

Please give a rat	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

8.0		Rating
S.No.	Particulars	
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	4
la cell	broadening perspectives)	11
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	- 4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
and the second s	
te 2) dull 1) irrelevant	he syllabus was
te 2) dull 1) irrelevant	nallenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate
irse was	our background for benefiting from the course
Zimostiy	hly 4)relevant 3)partly
	relevant
s?	low much of the syllabus was taught in class?
2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%	00 to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75%
ings for the course?	.n . :
2) poor 1) very poor	
	xcellent 4) adequate 3) madequate
materials?	The discourse ma
2) poor 1) very poor	
2) poor 2) (c.) p	ery good 47 good 3) average
f should the internal assessment	
ht age of a course should the internal assessment	In your opinion, how much of the total weight
	1 for 2
2) 25% 1) below 25%	100% 4) 75% 3) 50%
Ck. reanido	**
Signature of the Student	I Am
2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% lings for the course? 2) poor 1) very poor materials? 2) poor 1) very poor tht age of a course should the internal assessment 2) 25% 1) below 25% Ck. Manido	How much of the syllabus was taught in class? 100 to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% What is your opinion about the library holding excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate Were you able to get the prescribed course may ery good 4) good 3) average In your opinion, how much of the total weight account for?

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

	EW/ECE/FOC/R20	28/12/2
	LW/Edd/. 0 s/ 112	
. /	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM R Table Persytments FCF	
(AREIAOU94)	14.
Name	Rangotri Course: B-Tech Department: ECE	22012
reary	Semester: Address 2-2 MINE Control Garga @grail ~ com	9
Mobil	le TOLLARGILLA E III III II	e i a
. Ple	ase give a rating of your course on the following:-	
16	Extremely	
	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Deep	
	Good	
2012000		Rating
S.No.	Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
1	broadening perspectives)	5
	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
2	Depth of the course content	y
3.	Extent of coverage of course	4
4	Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students	H
5	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	H
6	Overall rating	4
7		
2. Th	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant	
2. Th 5) cha	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant	
2. Th	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was 1) co	
2. The special state of the sp	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) course was relevant irrelevant irrelevant	mpletely relevant
2. The special state of the sp	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class?	
2. The system of	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class?	
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? O to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%	mpletely relevant
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5). 90	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 1) to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	
2. The state of th	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% what is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	
2. The state of th	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5) 90 5. W 5) ex	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 1) to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant allenging 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) county relevant irrelevant irrelevant irrelevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? In second 2) poor 1) very poor where you able to get the prescribed course materials? The your good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor 1	relevant
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 1) to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	relevant
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W 5) ve	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% what is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor were you able to get the prescribed course materials? The your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment of the course?	relevant
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W 5) ve	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% what is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is given by adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor were you able to get the prescribed course materials? The your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment of the syllabus was taught in class? It is given by the your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment of the syllabus was taught in class?	relevant
2. Th 5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W 5) ve	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant our background for benefiting from the course was ally 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) count relevant irrelevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% what is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? It is adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor were you able to get the prescribed course materials? The your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment of the course?	relevant

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



NENI LAKSHMAIAH N'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: :: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade


Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

*	DEPARTM	IENT OF ELEC	TRONICS	AND COM	MUNI	CATION ENGI	NEERING	
Ref: MI	EW/ECE/FOO	C/R20		*		9.5	Date: 1	28/12/2
	LOOVE	STUDENT	FEED BAC	K FORM O	N CURI	RICULUM	£ =	
	D play	ya Sri Co	ourse: B.	Tech D	epartm	ent: ECC	1000	17.17
		0 0 W	11 -1. 7 6 6	110000 100	A KING	X	acc.	5017
Year	/Semester: Add	ya SY1	-mailb	naiyasyi	put	ta @grad	· com	
Modi	ile1	ng of your cours	e on the fo	llowing:-			40	1 × =
1. Ple	ease give a ratii	5	4	3	2	1		
n testi per		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	5 1 2	
	· An _ n	4004						Rating
S.No.	Particulars	(Y	*		1 4	lutical abilitie	e or	Rating
1	Learning val	ue (in terms of s	kills, conc	epts, knowle	edge, a	naiyticai abiiitie	3, 01	5
	broadening p	perspectives)	11:50 nitu	ations .			20	4
2	Applicability	/relevance to re	eal life situ	ations			885	4
3	Depth of the	course content	*	**		16		4
4	Extent of cov	verage of course	ctudents					4
5	Extent of eff	ort required by	project/r	eport	8.1		A.	4
6	Relevance/I	earning value of	project/ r	cport	0	19		4
7	Overall ratio	1g						
2. I 5) cl	the syllabus wa	s 1) adequate	3) inadeq	uate 2) o	lull	1) irrelev	ant	
5)Hig	ghly 4	nd for benefiting relevant	rele	evant	2)n	nostly irrelevant		ompletely rrelevant
4 1	How much of the post of the po	ne syllabus was t 375 to 90%	taught in c 3) 50 to 75	lass? 5% 2) 4	0 to 50	% 1) less th	nan 40%	
¹² 1043			(A) 1 B				8	
5. .5) e	What is your of excellent	pinion about the 1) adequate	library ho 3) inadequ	ate 2) poo	r .	1) very poo	or	
6. 5) :	Were you able very good	to get the presc 4) good	ribed cour 3) avera	se materials ge 2)	oor	1) very	poor	a l
14	3. 51	n, how much of	the total w	reight age of	a cour	se should the in	ternal asses	sment
1	account for?	n, now much of	3) 50%		25%.	1777		
5)	100%	47 / 5%	3) 30 70				avya S	719
		E E	7	And		Signat	ure of the St	dent

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,



ALINENI LAKSHMAIAH OMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dişt.)

	DEPARTMI	ENT OF ELEC	TRONICS	S AND COM	IMUN	ICATION ENGINE	ERING	
ef: ML	EW/ECE/FOC/	'R20					Date: 2	18/12/23
	= 19		5. x		cun	DICHLUM		
	1 99 451	STUDENT ACU SU)	FEED BAG	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
	(22 KEII	Add suj	n	Tacl D	an auto	ont: CCE		#T
Name	L ARININ	(1)	Jurse 15	-18.L.A.A. D	cpai ui	ICII Commissioner	017	
Vear/	Semester: Addr	ess. 2 - 2	MLEW (Sirlargider	mg 1	Guntur. 522		
Mobil	e 98 66212	958 E	E-mail	thilates	lika	nda agrail-c	an	
1 Dlo	ase give a rating	of your cours	e on the fo	llowing:-				226
1. Fie	ase give a racing	5	4	3	2	1		•
	1.0	Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		*
	- ' ' W	Good	Good	Moderate	1001	Poor	167	S 01 16
					*	Go. W. W.		Doting
S.No.	Particulars			1 3 1	it.	2 40404		Rating
1	Learning value	(in terms of s	kills, conc	epts, knowl	edge, a	nalytical abilities, o	r	4
19	broadening pe	rspectives)				2 0		
2	Applicability/1	relevance to re	al life situ	ations	-	10	- 17	5
3	Depth of the co	ourse content		* * *				Ч
4	Extent of cove	rage of course		₩				Ч
5	Extent of effor	t required by	students		# 1°			Ч
6	Relevance/lea	rning value of	project/ r	eport	NT.			4
7	Overall rating			C 145	¥)\		/18	14
	Overan			•				2 E
2 · T	ne syllabus was		. B					
	allenging 4)	adequate	3) inadeq	uate 2) d	lull .	1) irrelevant		94
· 0 - W	our background	for hanefiting	from the o	course was	12	1.0	5.5	
		elevant	3)partly		2)n	nostly		mpletely
5)High releva	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	zię varie		vant		irrelevant	irr	elevant
1 L	ow much of the	syllabus was t	aught in c	lass?			400/	
5), 90	to100% 4)7	5 to 90% 3	3) 50 to 75	% 2) 40	0 to 50	% 1) less than	40%	
,	W						9	
. E W	hat is your opin	nion about the	library ho	ldings for th	ne cour	·se?		
5) ev	cellent 4)	adequate 3) inadequ	ate 2) poo	r	 very poor 		
3) 6	cenene	02	* *					III
C 1/	Vere you able to	get the prescr	ibed cour	se materials	?		¥	
6. V	ery good 4	good	3) averag	ge 2) 1	ooor	1) very poor	B. T.	
18	, ,	-	E 81		19	9		
7 I	n vour oninion	how much of t	he total w	eight age of	a cour	se should the interr	ial assessn	nent
7. 1	account for?		1.5					1.80
		75%	3) 50%	2) 2	25%	1) below 25	%	800
٠, ٢	19 48			3 ₄	(E ₄₎)	Λ	012	9
		a 6		^		Ath	W.	ja jelogswi
	II 88 W	24	71	And		Signature of	of the Stud	lent

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

*	PEDADEN	MENT OF ELEC	TRONICS	S AND COM	IMUN	ICATION EN	GINEERING	1917 y
								28/12/24
Ref: ML	EW/ECE/FO	C/R20					-,	20112-1
Name	(22KEII	AOU(U)	FEED BAC	ck FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		000
57	and the second constraint	M C O	1 Eh1 C	0110001	alla	diounte, or	intur, 52	2017
Year	Semester: Add	1001 1ress 2-8 M	E-mailS	xario ujrto	llepu	35@gmo	il-com	
4 - DI	aire a rati	ng of your cours	se on the fo	llowing:-	L.		<u></u> ,	
1. PIE	ase give a ratii	5	4	3	2	1		
61 ⁻¹	W	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
m 13	8							Rating
S.No.	Particulars		i i	7	Townstan as	l +: ==l abili+	lor or	Rating
1	·Learning valu	ue (in terms of	skills, conc	epts, knowl	edge, a	naiyticai abiiit	165, 01	H
250-5	broadening r	perspectives)	2 1 0	- 2			70	H
2	Applicability	/relevance to r	eal life situ	ations				- U
3	Depth of the	course content		ari Taran				Y
4	Extent of cov	verage of course	<u>e</u>					4
5	Extent of eff	ort required by	students			•		4
6	Relevance/l	earning value o	f project/ r	eport	-			W.
7	Overall ratir	ng).*		
5) ch 3. Y 5) Hig	hly 4)	s adequate ad for benefiting relevant	3)partiy	course was		1) irrele nostly irrelevant	1) (completely rrelevant
releva 4. I 5), 9	not low much of th 0 to 100% 4	ne syllabus was)75 to 90%		lass?	0 to 50	% 1) less t	than 40%	ш
* W			3 8	10 ₀₀	2		¥ 3	050 21
	What is your or excellent 4	oinion about the 1) adequate	e library ho 3) inadequ	ldings for that ate 2) poo	ne cour r	rse? 1) very po	oor	a 2 % a
6.	Were you able ery good	to get the preso 4) good	ribed cour 3) avera	se materials ge 2)	? poor	1) very	poor	5 3
ar new e	E	n, how much of	the total w	eight age of	a cour	se should the i	nternal asses	sment
	account for?	4) 75%	3) 50%	19	25%	1) belo		
3) 1	.0070	e) . \$ 0	1	And		- 80	ravan?	
16.1-	9 1	1.0	PRI	NCIPAL		Signa	ture of the St	udent

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, QUNTUR-522017.



VOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	Da	te:
ef: ML	EW/ECE/FOC/R20	1 , 25
2 2 2 111	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM Bo tech Course: Department: CCC Department: CCC Department: CCC	
Name	Semester: Address &-2 MIEW College, Pulladia gunta la	untur L
Year/	Semester: Address & a Mile W College Parties Semester: Address & a Mile William Semester Semeste	20.00
Mobil	e 8 30 13 7 10 16 E-mail	(UW)
1. Ple	ase give a rating of your course on the following:-	
())	5 4 3 4 Extremely	
4.5	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Poor	1 m
14		Rating
S.No.	Particulars to Impulador analytical abilities or	1 15
1	Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	4.0
	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	y.
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students	4.
5	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
6		. 5
7	Overall rating	
2. Tl 5) ch	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant	5 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3. Y	our background for benefiting from the course was) completely
5)High	alv 4)relevant 3)partly 2)mostly	irrelevant
1	nt relevant	4.440.00000000000000000000000000000000
4. H	ow much of the syllabus was taught in class? 0 to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%	* 10
5).9	0 to 100% Aj/3 to 70% Sjob to 10%	
	What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	
.5. V	vhat is your opinion about the horary notatings (cellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	
5) e	scellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	
<i>c</i> 1	Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?	y 2 85
5) v	ery good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor	*
7 I	n your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal as	ssessment
	account for?	
	00% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) Below 25%	
1	Tedul Deeghis	3
11.85	Signature of th	
, 100		Charles

MALINENI LAKSHMAJAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



ALINENI LAKSHMAIAH EN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref:	MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

	98) 1 Task Department: ECE.	
Name: 19 Salvour(122KE/A0	Course: B-Tech Department: ECE 52207	1
Year/Semester: Address2	mol Eus College pulladigenta, genter. 52207.	
Mobile 9182092297	E-mail vadlamudismivani 680 gradi com	

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

ng of your cours	ng of your course on the following:-							
E .	. 4	3	2 '	. 1				
Extremely	Sood	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor				

	Rating
Particulars - Language analytical abilities, or	
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical demos-,	U
broadening perspectives)	4
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	H
Depth of the course content	H
Extent of coverage of course	14
Extent of effort required by students	1.4
Relevance/learning value of project/ report	u
Overall rating .	0
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, of broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report

			. 9 9		25 "_78 21
2. The syllabus was5) challenging 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		027
3. Your background for benefiti 5)Highly 4)relevant	relevant	irre	y elevant	1)	completely irrelevant
relevant 4. How much of the syllabus wa 5). 90 to 100% 4)75 to 90%	s taught in class? . 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 4	0%	9 1
5. What is your opinion about t5) excellent 4) adequate	he library holding 3) inadequate	s for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		- , - ;

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good

2) poor 3) average

1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for? 1) below 25%

5) 100%

4) 75%

3)50%

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



NENI LAKSHMAIAH







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : 'Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ef: Mi	ILEW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28/12
	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CUI	RRICULUM
Name	ne: SBindu Sri Course: ECE Departi	nent:
Year	r/Semester: Address	
Mohi	oile quart quart E-mail Suggula bo	Juli agran Com
Pl	the same course on the following:	
PI6	lease give a rating of your course on the following:- 5 4 3 2	1
	Evtremely	Extremely
	Good Good Moderate Poor	Poor
		19
S.No.	Particulars	Rating
. 1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, a broadening perspectives)	analytical abilities, or
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>G</u> ood
. 3	Depth of the course content.	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Good
7	Overall rating	God
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High elevar 4. Ho	relevant low much of the syllabus was taught in class?	nostly 1) completely irrelevant
5). 90	0 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50°	% 1) less than 40%
	What is your opinion about the library holdings for the court scellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor	se? 1) very poor
	Vere you able to get the prescribed course materials? ery good 4) good 3) average 2) poor	1) very poor
	Tygood Tygood Sydverage Sypoon	(A) (A)
5) ve		
5) ver 7. In	n your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a cours	à de la company
5) ver 7. In ac	n your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a cours	e should the internal assessment 1) below 25%
5) ver 7. In ac	n your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a cours	à de la company

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH N'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENG	
Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28 12
n – Jako 1	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM	t L
Nam	e: Viventata latitiourse: ECE (B-tech) Department: ECE	
Vone	(Compostor: Address 1-2	X .
ieai	Semester. Address	11:11@me Duill
Mob	/Semester: Address	10000
1. Ple	ease give a rating of your course on the following:-	1
	5 4/ 3 2 1	
8 7 5	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Extremely Poor	
- TF	Good	
S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilitie	es, or
	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	ч
3		- 110 Y
. 4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
.7	Overall rating	5
o m1	n a s	
	ne syllabus was allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irreleva	ant
3) (11	anenging by adequate by madequate by the	
3 Yo	our background for benefiting from the course was	
5)High	사용하다 마다 이 전에 보는 사용이 있다면 보다 이 이 사용이 되었다. 이 아이에 아이를 보고 있다면 하는 사용이 되었다면 하는 것이 되었다. 그런	1) completely
releva	nt relevant irrelevant	irrelevant
4. H	ow much of the syllabus was taught in class?	n 4004
51.90	to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less that	111 40%
	That is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?	j # [9]
5) ex	cellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	
C 14	Vere you able to get the prescribed course materials?	**************************************
	ry good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very po	oor
3) (0	y) good o) aretage	**. g
7. In	your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the inte	ernal assessment
	count for?	7
5) 10	0% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 2	25%
74		11111
	Y.Ve	nkata lakhmi
1.048	Signatur	e of the Student

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW	/ECE/FOC/R20	
-----------	--------------	--

Date: 28/12 /23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Prasanna (22KE1A0494	.Course:	B. Tech	Department: 606	<u> </u>
Van /Samastan Address 7-2	MIEW	Pulladia	unta Gunhur	377014
Mobile 7989642296	E-mail .	vadlamudi	prasama 019@91	mail.com

of your course on the following:-Please give a ratin

5	4	. 3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
. 1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	ч
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	Y
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	Y
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus	was		w i d		65	
5) challenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
1 1		127	2 =			
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the course	e was			
	4)relevant	3)partly	2)mos	tly	-	completely
relevant		relevant	· in	relevant		irrelevant
4. How much o	f the syllabus wa	as taught in class?	0.00		3	94
	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	6	
	W No					2
5 What is you	r opinion about t	he library holdings	s for the course?			
AUDINE TO IN THE SECTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	4) adequate	3) inadequate 2		1) very poor		
5) excellent	auequate	3) madequate 2	2) poor	1) very poor		€ 7
	1.0					

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

1) very poor 3) average 2) poor 5) very good 4) good

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100% 4)75%

2) 25%

1) below 25%

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" forour CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FOC	C/R20	90 pt 80	8 9			Date: 3	28/12/2
Nam	e T.Bhargo	UPS L	alesta art	CK FORM O Btech PROTE D	onoutn	nent: ECE	Lux 5	2201
Year Mob	/Semester: Add ile: 9121463	ress2=2N. 666.3 E	E-mail	nota bl	vargo	12364@gma	al.com	2
	ease give a ratin						88	96
		5 Extremely Good	Good Good	3 Moderate	2 Poor	Extremely Poor		
Sept 1		83						I parisas
S.No:	Particulars				10 3	1 12 1 1 222		Rating
1	broadening pe	erspectives)		<u> </u>	edge, a	nalytical abilities, o	or	4
2		relevance to re	al life situ	ations				U
3	Depth of the c							9
4		rage of course	•					4.
5		t required by s					\$ 0	u .
. 6		rning value of	project/ re	eport	87	F 5	1.1	4
7	Overall rating							14-
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High relevan 4. Ho	our background ily 4)re nt ow much of the	for benefiting f levant syllabus was ta	3)partly relevught in cla	ourse was	2)m	1) irrelevant ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than	1) com	npletely elevant
- 11		:	lanami bal	dings for the	o courc	?		
	hat is your opin cellent 4)			te 2) poor		1) very poor		
	ry good 4)		oed course 3) average			1) very poor	*	
7. [In	your opinion, h	ow much of the	e total wei	ght age of a	course	e should the intern	al assessme	ent
ac	count for?	/		x 1 2				
5) 10	0% 48	75% 3	3) 50%	. 2) 25	%	1) below 25%	0	
x = 1 64			T.A	rul	1 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	Blosu Signature o	7000	nt

MALINENI LAKSHMAJAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,



5) 100% -

4) 75%

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH







roved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref.	MLEW	/FCF	FOC	/R20
MCI.	LITTERA	LUL	100	1120

Date: 28-12-23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

	R. Anusha - 4A1			ECE
Year/Ser	nester; Address2nd	year 2nd Sem		
Mobile	8500075748	E-mail anushe	regula66	ognail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

[5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Externel
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	god
3	Depth of the course content	good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Extermin
.5	Extent of effort required by students	grad
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Extermely
7	Overall rating	geid.

2. The syllabus	s was	47	14 50		5.	V
5) challenging		3) inadequate	e 2) dull	1) irreleva	ant	6
/,	5 25 1			A CONTRACTOR OF THE		000
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the cour	se was			
5)Highly	4)relevant	3)partly	10 00 10	ostly	1) (completely
relevant	1	relevan	t	irrelevant	i	rrelevant
4. How much o	of the syllabus w	as taught in class	? -			
5). 90 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	6 1) less tha	an 40%	
		1			(i)	
5 What is you	r opinion about t	the library holdin	gs for the cours	e?		
5) excellent		3) inadequate	-	1) very poor		
00)	.,	2	,,,			
6 Were you al	ale to get the are	scribed course m	aterials?			8 5
5) very good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very po	or	
y) very good	1) 8000		2) poor	1) very pe	.01	
7. In your opin	ion how much	of the total weight	age of a course	should the inte	rnal accocc	ment
account for?		or the total weight	age of a course	should the lift	Thai assess	inche,
account for	. II W	F 1 2			100	Tit

PRINCIPAL

R. Anusha Signature of the Student

1) below 25%

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

ADTMENT OF FLECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: M	ILEW/ECE/FOC/R20					Date 28 12 20 2
					(60s)	
4.	STU	DENT FEED B	ACK FORM (ON CUF	RRICULUM	
N	ie: Vikavya (4C	71 5	2. toch) t	CCE	
Nam	ie:		Zinge Gili I	eparti	nent:	
Year	/Semester: Address	2-2			a1	
Mob	ile 6304514392	E-mail	Kayyare	ddyv	1277@gmail	i com
	ease give a rating of your	2	V	V	<u> </u>	* -
	5	4	3	2	1	
er on	Extrem	ely Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely	
	Good	4000	Moderate	1 001	Poor	
		<u> </u>				
S.No.	Particulars	C -1 :11		1		Rating
1	Learning value (in term broadening perspective		cepts, know	eage, a	naiyticai abilities,	or 4
2	Applicability/relevance	NY -1-4-11	uations			4
3	Depth of the course con		autions		The state of the s	.5
4 .	Extent of coverage of co			-		5
5	Extent of effort require		777	61 (Vi v	4
6.	Relevance/learning val		report	- (4		5
7	Overall rating					5
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High releva 4. Ho		fiting from the 3)partly relowas taught in c	v evant	2)m	1) irrelevant ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than	1) completely irrelevant
				29		
	hat is your opinion abou		-			- 4 J
5) ex	cellent 4) adequate	3) inadequ	ate 2) poor		1) very poor	
6 11	ere you able to get the pr	coccribed cour	co materiale?	e - 5		
	ry good 4) good	3) averag		or	1) very poor	r v 1 p <u>p</u>
	your opinion, how much	of the total we	eight age of a	course	should the intern	nal assessment
	0% 4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25	%	1) below 259	%
			* ¥			
		-7	And		- Vi-Kai	lyh
1.99	an ext. 1	()	DINCIPAL	61, g	Signature o	of the Student

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



INENI LAKSHMAIAH EN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	DEPAI	RTMENT OF E	LECTRONIC	S AND CO	VIMUN	IICATION ENGIN	
Ref: MI	LEW/ECE/	FOC/R20	- 2			n 538	Date: 28/12
Namo Year,	(22kE E.T.Subb /Semester:	Address 3nd	NT FEED BA. Course: B. Yean II	ck form o tech D Semist	N CUR	RICULUM nent: ECE	
					/g.tu	E.g.mu (Col)	A = noo
1. Ple	ease give a r	ating of your co	100	ollowing:-	2	1	(40) 60 (a. 10 a. 10 a. 10
		Extremely Good	Good Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor	
	D (1)	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	* *	2:		1 0	Rating
.S.No.	Particular		fabilla sana	anto Imarele	dae e	nalytical abilities o	
1	broadenin	g perspectives)			euge, a	nalytical abilities, o	5
2	Applicabil	ity/relevance to	real life situa	ations	4		4
3		he course conte					4
4 ·		coverage of cour		4			5
5	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	effort required b				7. 9	5
6 -		e/learning value	of project/re	eport			9
7	Overall ra	ting		76			
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High relevar 4. Ho	ly nt ow much of	4) adequate und for benefiting 4) relevant the syllabus was	3)partly relev s taught in cla	ourse was vant ass?	2)m	1) irrelevant ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than 4	1) completely irrelevant
		opinion about th 4) adequate	ne library holo 3) inadequat		cours	e? 1) very poor	
	ere you abl y good	e to get the pres 4) good	cribed course .3) average			1) very poor	
	your opinio	on, how much of	the total wei	ght age of a	course	should the interna	al assessment
5) 10		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25	%	1) below 25%) ·
**************************************		W.ex	7	Lede		- T. Su Signature of	bbulu the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522917.







023

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28-12-2

ENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

	22KEIA0459.		
D. Kayyani	LiCourse:	B-Tech	Department: ELE

Name:

Year/Semester: Address 2 year - 2nd sem

Mobile 8465093878 E-mail Kavyadappalapudi 1112@ gmail. (orn

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4	3	2	1
·Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
. 4	Extent of coverage of course	y
5	Extent of effort required by students	.5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus	was	×				
5) challenging	4) adequate	inadequate	2) dull	 irrelevant 	-	
1112	59 84 5828 0		4			
3. Your backgro	ound for benefiti	ng from the cours	se was	nt = 000		
그런데 !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	4)relevant	3)partly		ostly	1)	completely
relevant		relevant	The state of the s	irrelevant		irrelevant
4. How much of	the syllabus wa	s taught in class?		i s		
5). 90 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	6 · 1) less than 4	10%	
			A.,			
F What is your	oninion about t	he library holding	s for the cours	e?		
						= 4
5) excellent	4) adequate	inadequate	2) poor	1) very poor		
the second of the second of						

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

3) average 2) poor 1) very poor 5) very good

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

1) below 25% 2) 25% 5) 100%

> Signature of the Student MEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE ADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

*63	EW/ECE/FOO	C/R20					Date: 2	8-12-
	0040	IA042ZUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM	1	87
	22 KE	(A0422	A				4	= * *
Name	CH · Bh	and rekhaco	ourse:E	Tech. D	epartn	nent: E.C.E		y any .
Year/	/Semester: Add	ress 2nd y	eax -	2 - Se	m	1	- 5 V	
Mobi	le Plotoko	7702741026E	-mailb	nanozel	chac	perologies	16 @ du	vail-
Ple	ase give a ratir	g of your cours	e on the fo	llowing:-	1		1	
		5	4 .	.3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		A
	D 1	(12) *)				<u> </u>		Ratin
.No:	Particulars	o (in torms of s	cille conce	ents knowle	edge at	nalytical abilities,	or	
1	broadening p		XIIIS, COIIC	epts, knowie	uge, a	nary ticar abinaes,		2.
2		relevance to re	al life situ	ations				7
3		course content					X	a.
4		erage of course		141, 2				4
5		rt required by s						. 5
6		arning value of		eport		Si 980		4
7	Overall rating			Total		-	6 T mg - A 2 s	4
5) cha	ne syllabus was allenging 4)			nate 2) do	ull	1) irrelevan	t	
)High elevar	ly 4)r nt	elevant	3)partly relev	vant	2)m	ostly irrelevant		pletely levant
t. Ho	to 100% 4)	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3)	50 to 759	% 2) 40	to 50%	% 1) less than	40%	
5). 90	2		70 10					50.0
	hat is your opi	nion about the l	ibrary hol	dings for the	e cours	se?		5 C G
5. W	hat is your opi cellent 4)	nion about the l adequate 3)	ibrary hol inadequa	dings for the te 2) poor	e cours	se? 1) very poor	5 0 0 2	
5. W 5) exc	cellent 4)	adequate 3)	inadequa	te 2) poor e materials?		1) very poor		
5. W 5) exc 6. W 5) vei	rellent 4) Yere you able to ry good 4	adequate 3) get the prescrib	inadequa bed course 3) average	te 2) poor e materials? e 2) po	oor	1) very poor 1) very poo		
5. W 5) exc 6. W 5) ver	rellent 4) Yere you able to ry good 4 Your opinion, ecount for?	get the prescrib good how much of th	inadequa bed course 3) average	te 2) poor e materials? e 2) po	oor course	1) very poor	nal assessme	ent

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMALAH

Ch. Brianu rekha Signature of the Student

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MI	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20					Dateg	8/12/2
		STUDEN'	T FEED BAG	K FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM	2 3	
	DC							
Name	e A Syula	yshmi	Course: D	ecn D	epartn	nent:LL.L		
Year,	/Semester: Ad	dress					0.1	11 1
Mobi	le 6 30 1627	597	E-mail Sag	ilakshn	ni. Xan	ipati 97@	3mall.	ดท
1. Ple	ase give a rati	ng of your cour	se on the fo	llowing:-				
		5	4	3	2	1		50
¥		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	5 1 2	
The state of the s	E 15 8	:			2	- 12 		Rating
S.No.	Particulars	<i>G</i> . <i>C</i>	1 :11		das a	nalytical abilities	or	Kating
1		ue (in terms of perspectives)	skills, conce	pts, knowie	eage, ai	nalytical abilities,	.01	4
2	Applicability	/relevance to r	eal life situa	itions				5
3		course content)Fi				4
4	Extent of cov	erage of course	y	2 27 5	7.69 8 9	*	16.81	4
5	Extent of effe	ort required by	students	= 0 5 E	2			4
6	Relevance/le	earning value o	f project/ re	port	2 1 1			4
7	Overall ratin	g		S 5		2		5
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High relevar 4. Ho	ly 4)ı nt	adequate d for benefiting elevant	3) partly relev	ourse was ant ss?	2)m	1) irrelevan ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than	1) com	npletely levant
		nion about the adequate 3	library holo) inadequat	lings for the	e cours	e? 1) very poor		
		get the prescr good	ibed course 3) average	materials? 2) po	oor	1) very poo	r	
		how much of the	ne total wei	ght age of a	course	should the inter	nal assessme	ent
5).10	count for?	75%	3) 50%	2) 25	% . :	1) below 25	%	
		* 2.3 2. *		# ml	N N	R Soil	late Studer	Ú nt

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-\$22017.





Signature of the Student



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	DEPART	MENT OF ELI	ECTRONIC	S AND CO	MMUN	IICATION ENG	INEERING	
Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20					Date:	28 12 2
Nam	e: S. Rist	studen 22kei 11tha		ck form o				
Year	/Semester: Ad	dressII	- II		9 8 H			
Mobi	le 630088	3/32	E-mail	or shifth	a Sa	nam.1979	@ gmail	·com
1. Ple	ease give a rati	ng of your cour	se on the fo	ollowing:-			Δ	
		5	4	3	2	1	*	
	- x(1)	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
		NAME OF THE PARTY	70 1	M To a				
S.No.	Particulars	- 5			100 = 10		3	Rating
1		ue (in terms of perspectives)	skills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ai	nalytical abilitie	s, or	4
2		/relevance to r	eal life situa	ations				
- 3		course content						45 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
- 4	Extent of cov	erage of course						5
5	Extent of effo	ort required by	students	X 0 = 13		7.5		5
6	Relevance/le	earning value of	project/re	port	4	19	To Sur	5
7	Overall ratin	g			1			5
5) cha 3. Yo 5)Highl relevan 4. Ho	ly 4)r nt , ow much of the	adequate I for benefiting	from the co 3)partly relev aught in cla	ant ss?	2)mo	1) irreleva ostly irrelevant o 1) less tha	1) con irre	npletely elevant
5) exc 6. We 5) ver 7. In	ellent 4) ere you able to y good 4	get the prescri) good how much of th) inadequat bed course 3) average e total weig	e 2) poor materials? 2) po	or	e? 1) very poor 1) very poor should the inter		ent
5) 100		75%	3) 50%	2) 259	%	1) below 2	shitha	

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522917.







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	DEPARTMENT OF	ELECTRONIC	S AND CO	MMUN	VICATION ENGI	NEERING
Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FOC/R20	¥ 8		6		Date: 28 12
Year	STUI e: K. Meghana Na /Semester: Address	2-2	B2 B.Te	eh. Jepartn	nent: ECE	l com
1. Ple	ease give a rating of your o	course on the fo	llowing:-	<u>v 12</u>	N	
	5	4	. 3	2	1	
	Extreme	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particulars					Rating
1 .	Learning value (in terms broadening perspective		pts, knowle	edge, ar	nalytical abilities,	
2	Applicability/relevance		ntions			4
3	Depth of the course cont					4
4	Extent of coverage of co					. 5
5	Extent of effort required		3.			5
6	Relevance/learning valu	e of project/ re	port	727	4 2	5
7	Overall rating			545		5
5) cha 3. You 5)Highl celevan 4. Ho	The state of the s	ing from the co 3)partly relev as taught in clas	ant ss?	2)mc	ostly irrelevant	1) completely irrelevant
	nat is your opinion about ellent 4) adequate		-	course	e? 1) very poor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ere you able to get the pre y good Ay good	scribed course 3) average	*	or	1) very poor	
	your opinion, how much count for?	of the total weig	ht age of a c	ourse	should the intern	al assessment
5) 100	% 4775%	3) 50%	2) 25%	6	1) below 25%	/6
		ET.A	mt	· ·	K. Med Signature	vana Naidu f the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28 - 12 - 20
	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM	3 2
Vear	e: J. Hanka (22 KE1 110 U.S.) Course: B. Tech. Department: ECE.	
Mobi	ile 6302622366 E-mail harikani di 1968@gmail Com.	
1. Ple	ease give a rating of your course on the following:-	
E	5 4 3 2 1	
S &	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Extremely Poor	- 4, 48 C
S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5 = 2 y
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	1
	he syllabus was allenging 4 adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant	
5)High releva	nt relevant irrelevant ow much of the syllabus was taught in class?	1) completely irrelevant
5). 90	to 100% A)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%	
	That is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? cellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	
	Vere you able to get the prescribed course materials? ry good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor	insa a la pe pris
	your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal account for?	ssessment
	00% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%	

J. Harika Signature of the Student

MALINEN! LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)


Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20		00 47 - 29			Date: 2	28/12/2
geni e				The Republic -			5385	5
		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM C	N CUR	RICULUM		
	/	ia svi c				nent: €CE		
Year	/Semester: Add	ress2	R					0 = 0
		g of your cours		V	mepa	11,9@gmapl.	com	
1. 116	ease give a raun	5	4	3	2	1	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	- 2	Extremely Poor	3	
- 3								
S.No.	Particulars	tair					395	Rating
1	broadening pe				edge, ar	nalytical abilitie	es, or	5
2		relevance to rea	al life situa	ations	- Page			ч
3		ourse content			2 - 2			y
4 .		rage of course						5
5		t required by s			_^	i i		5
6.		rning value of p	project/ re	eport			344	4
7	Overall rating							5
5) cha 3. Yo 5) Highl elevan 4. Ho	ly 4)re	for benefiting for levant syllabus was tau	om the co 3)partly relev	ant	2)mc	irrelevant	1) com irre	pletely evant
C 1471	at io vous asts	ion about the li	anaur bald	linga for the		.2		
5) exc	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		Contract to the second second	e 2) poor	Course	1) very poor		88
6. We	ere you able to g	get the prescrib	ed course	materials?	8	750		
).average	2) po	or	1) very po	or	
7. In	your opinion, h	ow much of the	total weig	ght age of a	course	should the inte	rnal assessme	nt
	count for?	385 24		97				

A. Ramya Sil Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAJAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









023

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20				en en	Date:	28/12/
	#1 #1 #1 #2 74	STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM C	N CUR	RICULUM		
1 2/5	V Nla		•					
		/yac				nent:	ia Ki	
Year	/Semester: Add	dress22			3 10	40 B	6	
Mobi	le 93476	74553 E	E-mailΩ	avyaya	arro	msety 769	a gmo	200.60
		ng of your cours			will v		0	
1, 110	case give a racii	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		
		Good	doou	Moderate	1 001	Poor		
				علياتعا	in the second	<u> </u>		-
S.No.	Particulars	and the second			n/1 ===			Rating
1	Learning value		kills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ai	nalytical abilities, o	or	5
2 -	Applicability	relevance to re	al life situa	ations				4.
3	Depth of the	course content	(X)					5
4	Extent of cov	erage of course	·				5	4
5	Extent of effo	rt required by s	tudents					. 3
6	Relevance/le	arning value of p	oroject/ re	eport			(I) (I)	5
. 7	Overall rating	3	F	(a):	9	(a)		5
3. Yo 5)High relevar 4. Ho 5). 90	ly A)ront by much of the to100% A)7	adequate 3 for benefiting felevant syllabus was take 5 to 90% 3)	3)partly relev ught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold	ourse was ant ss? 6 2) 40	2)mo to 50%		1) con	npletely elevant
6. W	ere you able to	get the prescrib					E	
5) ver	y good A	good 3	3) average	. 2) po	or	1) very poor	(f):	
	your opinion, l count for?	now much of the	total wei	ght age of a	course	should the interna	al assessme	ent
5) 100		75% 3) 50%	2) 25	%	1) below 25%	6	
		*	25 II	1	,	Y. No	ivya.	

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20				. 1	Date: 28/12/2
		STUDENT	FFFD BA	CK FORM O	N CHR	RICULUM	
	d < 3.		A STATE OF THE STA				7, 8 Y
Nam	ie:S:Sxixt	ві (<u>ЧА5)</u> с	ourse: B	Tech D	epartn	nent:£C.E	
Year	·/Semester: Ado	iress22					
		585 I				milicom	
	*		163	9	U	manusero /	9
1. Pl	ease give a ratii	ng of your cours	e on the fo	XX	2	1	25
	grad fall	5 Extremely	4	3	2	Extremely	
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor	w I
S.No.	Particulars						Rating
1	Learning value		kills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ai	nalytical abilities, or	· 4
2	Applicability,	/relevance to re	al life situa	ations			4
3	Depth of the	course content			3 7	± x %	5
.4	Extent of cov	erage of course	9		62		5
5		ort required by s					5
6		arning value of	project/ re	eport			5
7	Overall rating	3					5
o mi		× 11		10 [†]	10.00	, (a	
	he syllabus was allenging 4)		3) inadequ	ate 2) dı	ıll	1) irrelevant	
	anenging	adequate) maacqa		***	-2	
	our background	l for benefiting f	rom the co	ourse was	8 8 8		
3. Yo	A CAN DE LA CONTRACTOR DE			51	and the second	4.1	1)
3. Yo 5)High	nly 4/sr	elevant	3)partly	59	2)m		 completely
5)High releva	nt .		relev	1. m. n. n. n. n.		irrelevant	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H	nt ow much of the	syllabus was ta	relev ught in cla	iss?		irrelevant	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H	nt ow much of the	syllabus was ta	relev ught in cla	iss?			irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H 5).90	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 477	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3)	relevught in cla	ass? 6 2) 40	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40%	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H 5). 90	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 477 /hat is your opin	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary holo	dings for the	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40% e?	irrelevant
5)High eleva 4. H 5). 90	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 477 /hat is your opin	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary holo	ass? 6 2) 40	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40%	irrelevant
5) High eleva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 47 That is your opin cellent 4)	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3}	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold inadequat	dings for the 2) poor	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40% e?	irrelevant
5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 477 That is your opin cellent 4) Vere you able to	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3}	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold inadequat	dings for the 2) poor materials?	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40% e?	irrelevant
5) High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 477 That is your opin cellent 4) Vere you able to	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3}	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold inadequat	dings for the 2) poor materials?	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40% e? 1) very poor	irrelevant
5) High eleva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W 5) ve	ow much of the other to 100% 47. That is your opinicellent 4) Were you able to bry good 4.	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3) get the prescrib	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold inadequatored course 3) average	dings for the ce 2) poor materials?	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 40% e? 1) very poor	irrelevant
5) High eleva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W 5) ve	ow much of the oto 100% 477 That is your opin cellent 4) Were you able to ary good 47 In your opinion, lecount for?	syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3) get the prescrib good	relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold inadequatored course 3) average	dings for the ce 2) poor materials?	to 50% e cours oor course	irrelevant 1) less than 40% e? 1) very poor 1) very poor	irrelevant

Signature of the Student MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,







Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28/12/2
2 lbet 8		
	STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM B. Tech	1 K 1 K 1
Nan	e: Sai Pathyuha Dinistourse: ECE Department: ECE (430).
	/Semester: Address 2 - 2	
	ile 8688575508 E-mail saiprathyushadirisala@gmail.	
1. Pl	ease give a rating of your course on the following:-	
	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Extremely Poor	3 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
S.No.	Particulars	Dating
5.No.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Rating
	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3-	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	. 4
5.	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Ч
7	Overall rating	4
3. Yo 5)High elevai 4. Ho		1) completely irrelevant
	hat is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? The relient 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	
	ere you able to get the prescribed course materials? y good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor	
ac	your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal as	ssessment
5) 100	0%	
	J. Am Sai Trouthyr Signature of the	usha.

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

		100	
	98		
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20			

Date: 28 12 2023

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: A: Tahnay 12221110	Course: Brech	Department:ECE
Year/Semester: Address92.		
Mobile 9398329976	E-mail allajahoo	avia lagracil·con

1.	Please give a ratir	ng of your course	on the fo	ollowing:-	741	(*)
	nga Paringan ng	5	4	-3	2	1
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars		l.		71 1047	Rating
1	Learning value broadening per	*	kills, concepts, ki	owledge, analytical abi	lities, or	Good
2	Applicability/re	elevance to rea	al life situations			Good
3	Depth of the co	urse content				Good
4	Extent of cover	age of course		W		Extre
5	Extent of effort	required by s	tudents	*		G00 d
6	Relevance/lear	ning value of p	project/ report	B 4 8 8 8		Good
7	Overall rating	ā		**************************************		entre
5) ch	he syllabus was allenging 4) a				levant	Go
5)High	nly 4)rele		3)partly	2)mostly		mpletely
releva	nt ow much of the sy	*	relevant	irrelevant	irr	elevant

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? 5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

account ion

4) 75%

3)50%


2) 25%

1) below 25%

1) very poor

Signature of the Student
PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28 - 12 -
Year	Student FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM Sk. Shaniya (499) Course: B. Sech Department: FCE Semester: Address 2-2 le. 8074674736 E-mail Sk.shaniya 111.09 mail-com	
	ease give a rating of your course on the following:-	
1. PI	5 4 3 2 1	
	Extremely Good Moderate Poor Extremely Poor	, j
S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, obroadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	4
.4	Extent of coverage of course	Ч.
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Ч
7	Overall rating	Ч .
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releval 4. Ho 5). 90		1) completely irrelevant
5) ex	cellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor	7 = g
	ere you able to get the prescribed course materials? ry good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor	
	your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internecount for?	
5) 10	0% 4) 75% 3) 50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%	6
	SK. Shan	1

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



INENI LAKSHMAIAH EN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20		1 2	101 H		Date:	28-12-2
		CTUDENT	EEED DA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICIILIIM		
	. Sep							
Name	P. Puis	itha (4B1) co	ourse:C	lech D	epartn	nent: ECE	ke i	
		dress2						
rear	Semester. Aut	11 622	.,	- ° Oun-	1.013	(a) amai	(ma	
Mobi	le49.812.7	6832 E	-mail	smulapad	1CL11.U	us give		100
l. Ple	ase give a ratii	ng of your course					1	* a _ '
	VIII.	5	4	3	2	1	2	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
	. 8	=2		,	E. K			
S.No.	Particulars	g - 1		E E S	6			Rating
1	Learning value	ue (in terms of sl perspectives)	tills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ar	nalytical abilitie	es, or	ч
2	Applicability	/relevance to rea	al life situa	ations				. 4
3	Depth of the	course content		- A.				Y
4		erage of course	2.3					4
5 ·		ort required by st			- 92 ⁷⁷		F.S.E.	4
. 6		earning value of p	project/ re	eport	-			Ч
7	Overall ratin	g [.]					- 1941 L	4
5) cha	ur background) adequate 3		1:		1) irrelev		
High elevar 4. Ho	nt ow much of the	e syllabus was ta		ass?		ostly irrelevant	irr	mpletely elevant
High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90	ow much of the to100%	e syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3)	relevinght in class 50 to 75%	ass? % 2) 40	to 50%	irrelevant 6 1) less th	irr	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90	nt ow much of the to100% 43° hat is your opi	e syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li	relevinght in class 50 to 75% brary hole	ass? % 2) 40	to 50%	irrelevant 6 1) less th	irr an 40%	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc	hat is your opicellent 4)	e syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3)	relevinght in cla 50 to 75% brary hole inadequa	dings for the 2) poor	to 50%	irrelevant 6 1) less the	irr an 40%	
High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W	hat is your opicellent 4)	e syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3)	relevinght in cla 50 to 75% brary hole inadequa	dings for the te 2) poor materials?	to 50%	irrelevant 6 1) less the	irr an 40%	
5) High relevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W 5) ver	hat is your opicellent 4) ere you able to	e syllabus was tan 75 to 90% 3) nion about the li adequate 3) o get the prescrib	releving to the releving to the releving to the relevant to th	dings for the te 2) poor ematerials?	to 50% cours	irrelevant 1) less the e? 1) very poor 1) very p	irr an 40%	elevant

P. put? Ha Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE








Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20		. e.,		3	Date:	28-12
		CTHDENT	EEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
1 P. 19					12			E marg
Name	e: M. Sus	mitha (472)	ourse: .B:	Tech D	epartn	ient:EC		
	10 8 1 12	dress2-2				9		
rear	OS OCCA O	10-24		0 -011	0101	16 gmail.com	n	
	N 18 10 10				L.O.L.E.	distantial little		
1. Ple	ease give a ratir	ng of your cours						
		5 .	4 .	. 3	2	1 Extremely	75	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor		a * , x
	8.	Good		10.00		1001		
S.No.	Particulars	0.9 40	8	- Y	51		- ::	Rating
1		ie (in terms of s	kills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, aı	nalytical abilities,	or	1 SV 1 1
	broadening p		8	= 1 = 1		4	177	. 4
2	Applicability,	/relevance to re	al life situa	ations			-	4
3	Depth of the	course content						Ч
4	Extent of coverage of course					4		
. 5		ort required by s			-			Ч
6	The Control of the Co	earning value of	project/ re	eport			7	ч
7	Overall rating	g						1 4
-								
о тЪ	ao cullabue was	: N	1 200					5.
	ne syllabus was		3) inadequ	iate 2) di	ull	1) irrelevan	t	
	ne syllabus was allenging 4		3) inadequ	ate 2) d	ull	1) irrelevan	t	
5) cha	allenging 4) adequate	5 F2	* 4	ull	1) irrelevan		
5) cha 3. Yo	allenging 4)		rom the co	ourse was	2)m	ostly	1) cor	npletely
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High relevan	our background) adequate I for benefiting f elevant	rom the co 3)partly relev	ourse was vant	2)m	*	1) cor	npletely elevant
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releval 4. Ho	our background ally 4)r nt ow much of the) adequate I for benefiting f elevant e syllabus was ta	rom the co 3)partly relev	ourse was vant ass?	2)m	ostly irrelevant	1) cor	
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releval 4. Ho	our background ally 4)r nt ow much of the) adequate I for benefiting f elevant	rom the co 3)partly relev	ourse was vant ass?	2)m	ostly	1) cor	
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High releval 4. Ho 5) 90	our background ally 4)r out out of the out o	adequate I for benefiting felevant syllabus was ta	rom the co 3)partly relev ught in cla 50 to 75%	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40	2)m to 50%	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than	1) cor	
3. Yo 5) High releval 4. Ho 5) 90	allenging 4) our background ally 4)r nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)	adequate I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was ta 75 to 90% Syllabus was ta	rom the co 3)partly relevinght in cla 50 to 75%	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40	2)m to 50% e cours	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than e?	1) cor	
3. Yo 5) High releval 4. Ho 5) 90	our background ally 4)r nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)	d for benefiting frelevant syllabus was ta 75 to 90% 3	rom the co 3)partly relevinght in cla 50 to 75%	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40	2)m to 50% e cours	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than	1) cor	
3. Your 3. You 5) High relevant 4. Ho 5) 90 5. W 5) except	our background ally 4)r out out much of the oto 100% 4). That is your opicellent 4)	d for benefiting for delevant e syllabus was ta 75 to 90% nion about the l adequate 3)	rom the co 3)partly releve ught in cla 50 to 75% ibrary hole inadequa	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the te 2) poor	2)m to 50% e cours	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than e?	1) cor	
3. Your state of the state of t	allenging 4) our background ally 4)r out ow much of the 0 to 100% 4) That is your opi cellent 4)	d for benefiting for benefiting for benefiting for benefiting for below as the syllabus was the formal about the language and adequate and or get the prescription.	rom the co 3)partly releve ught in cla 50 to 75% ibrary hole inadequa	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the te 2) poor	2)m to 50% e cours	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than e?	1) cor irr 40%	
3. You 5) High relevant 4. Ho 5) 90 5. W 5) ex 6. W 5) ve	our background ally 4)runt ow much of the oto 100% 4). That is your opicellent 4) were you able to ry good 4	adequate I for benefiting for benefit for benefi	rom the co 3)partly relevant ught in cla 50 to 75% ibrary hol- inadequal bed course 3) average	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the te 2) poor e materials? 2) po	2)m to 50% e cours	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than e? 1) very poor 1) very poo	1) con irr 40%	elevant
3. Your state of the state of t	our background ally 4)runt ow much of the oto 100% 4). That is your opicellent 4) were you able to ry good 4	adequate I for benefiting for benefit for benefi	rom the co 3)partly relevant ught in cla 50 to 75% ibrary hol- inadequal bed course 3) average	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the te 2) poor e materials? 2) po	2)m to 50% e cours	ostly irrelevant 6 1) less than e? 1) very poor	1) con irr 40%	elevant

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEV	V/ECE	/FOC	/R20
-----------	-------	------	------

Date: 28-12-93

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name:K. Man	15hol (454) c	lourse:	ICCP D	epartn	ient:ECE	it:
Year/Semester: Ac Mobile9391413 1. Please give a rat	2707	E-mailM		tavi 3	66 <mark>0</mark> gmaile	om
	5	4	3	2	1	
	Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely	

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	. 4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	ų,
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	Ч
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4.
7	Overall rating	4

7 Overall i	rating		i l		4
	, Siles Y		añ 💂 📑		
2. The syllabus	was	5	0 2	7	
5) challenging	4) adequate	inadequat	e 2) dull	1) irrelevant	
	1877 to 1877				
2 Vour backer	ound for benefit	ing from the cou	rse was		1 8
	4)relevant	3)partly	2)mos	stlv	1) completely
relevant	Ajrelevant	relevar		relevant	irrelevant
	of the cullabus w	as taught in class			
	4)75 to 90%		2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40°	%
37. 90 10100%	4)/3 10 90 70	3) 30 10 73 70	2) 10 10 00 70	-,	14
	96	#	*		36 02
5. What is you	r opinion about t	the library holdir	ngs for the course	?	
5) excellent	4) adequate		2) poor	very poor	
14	10000	W 4			*
6 Ware you a	ale to get the are	scribed course n	naterials?		
5) very good	A) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	140
3) very good	, good	o) average	-) E	, , , , ,	
		. C. 1	t aga of a course (should the internal	ececement
		of the total weigh	it age of a course s	should the internal	assessment
account for		0) 5004	2) 250/	1) bolow 2504	9 8
5) 100%	4775%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	
					141

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Dof. MI EW/ECE/ECC/D20		Date: 38-19-8

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

(15)	
Name: Done Kamala Course: Blech	Department: LCE
Vacy (Samastan, Address 2.9	

Mobile 7675865496 E-mail done kamala @ 9mail Com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5/	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9000
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	extent
3	Depth of the course content	excellent
4	Extent of coverage of course	encellen
5 -	Extent of effort required by students	good
. 6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9000
7	Overall rating	9000

2. The syllabus was

4) adequate 5) challenging

3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5)Highly relevant

4) relevant

3)partly

2)mostly

irrelevant

1) completely irrelevant

relevant 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class?

5). 90 to 100%

4)75 to 90%

3) 50 to 75%

2) 40 to 50%

1) less than 40%

5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?

5) excellent 4) adequate

3) inadequate 2) poor

1) very poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good

4) good

3) average

2) poor

1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%

4)75%

3)50%

2) 25%

1) below 25%

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

		- 1 1 7
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20	ω	Date: 28/12/23
ten Hille (1/ Lol) 1 o o/ Hill	 	

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Raja Nandini (14	Course: Bleek	Department: ECE
Year/Semester: Address . The 3		
Mobile 7386336957	E-mail Nanduvan	nsi 123@gmail com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

- 5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
. 1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
.3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	ч
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	4

-	100					
7	Overall 1	rating .			15	- 4
3	7	11 41 41	10.102	30 a .		19-11 ₁ - 11-12-1-1
2. Tl	he syllabus	was	SI IV			1
			· 3) inadequat	e 2) dull	1) irrelevant	
		200	1 5		0	1887 I
3. Yo	our backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the cou	rse was	.t.	
5)High	nly	4)relevant	.3)partly	2)m	ostly	1) completely
releva		C11 11 1	relevai		irrelevant	irrelevant
			as taught in class		8	
5).90) to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	6 1) less than 40)%
	59 12 Mi		9	8		
5. W	hat is you	opinion about	the library holdir	igs for the cours	e?	
			3) inadequate		1) very poor	
6. W	ere you ab	le to get the pre	scribed course n	naterials?		
	ry good			2) poor	1) very poor	e = #
	5 w **		4.1			
	your opin		of the total weigh	t age of a course	should the internal	assessment
3,55	0%		3) 50%	2) 25%	1) helow 25%	

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

G Rajanlandin Signature of the Student







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

	221111							
Ref: M	LEW/ECE/F	OC/R20		o se se			Date:7	8/12/20
		STUDE	T FEED BA	CK FORM C	N CUR	RICULUM		
	P. no	ojazchish	416)	took o		ECE	1.8	will
				LEGIN	epartn	nent:		
Year	/Semester: A	ddress2	-2			1 10 -		
Mob	ile. 7.207	8 7 4 5 7 4	E-mailD.	DOJOUXC	nish	aboella36)gma	el.Com
		ting of your cou	2000	100			- 0	
8		5	4	. 3	2	1 .		
= ₂ ×2	9 .	Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		24 25 - 25 J
		Good		1.28,727,711		Poor		= 51
S.No.	Particulars		100	<u> </u>				Rating
1	TO THE STATE OF TH	alue (in terms of	skills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ar	nalytical abilities, o	r	
		perspectives)	:					4
2	Applicabilit	y/relevance to	real life situa	ations	ti			4
3		e course conten		(a)	9		1 1 1 1 1	455
4	THE SHALL SHALL SHALL SHALL SHALL	overage of cours			勃		- 3,40	5
5		fort required by		W. V.	*4			4 5
6		learning value o	of project/ re	eport				5
7	. Overall rati	ng		3				2
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High elevar 4. Ho	ur backgroui ly 4 nt ow much of th	4) adequate nd for benefiting)relevant ne syllabus was	g from the co 3) partly relev	ant ss?	2)mc	irrelevant		pletely levant
ال ال	1010070	1/3 (0 2070	3) 30 to 737	0 2)10	10 30 70	1) 1033 than 4	070	0 J tl S 13
5 W	hat is vour or	oinion about the	library holo	lings for the	course	2		ST C ST
			3) inadequat			1) very poor		
6. W	ere you able	to get the presci	ibed course	materials?	(9)	2	2	
		4) good	3) average		or	1) very poor		
7 In	vour oninion	how much oft	he total weig	tht age of a	course	should the interna	Laccacema	nt
	count for?	, now much of t	ne total weig	sire age or a	course	should the interna	1 8556551116	iic .
5) 100) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25	% -	1) below 25%	ha ja pro-	
	=		\$ 0.00 E			B.00	ojard	risha

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20		÷ 21			Date:	18-12-20
Nam	e: Bi Greeth	STUDENT ASWINI CO		ck form o			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
Year, Mobi	/Semester: Add	lress2-2 9228 E	-mail 90.0	thaaswir	11. 11.	amail.com		
1. Ple	ease give a ratii	ng of your course	on the fo	llowing:-		0		
		5 Extremely Good	Good	3 Moderate	2 Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particulars			ie y		9		Rating
1			tills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, an	alytical abilities, o	r	ч
2	Applicability	/relevance to rea	il life situa	ations				4
3	Depth of the	course content	78	10			39	Ч
4	Extent of cov	erage of course	100		3 8	ya 8 <u></u>		4
5	Extent of effo	ort required by st	udents	60	1/4			4
6	Relevance/le	arning value of p	roject/ re	eport	557			4
7	Overall rating	g	- 12		*172 - 12	(4)		4
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High relevan 4. Ho	ly (4)r nt	adequate 3 I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was tau	3)partly relev	ourse was vant	2)mc	rrelevant	irre	npletely levant
E 147	hat is your only	njon about the li	brary bol	lings for the	course	.7	4	
				te 2) poor	, course	1) very poor	E E	
6. W	ere vou able to	get the prescrib	ed course	materials?	49	9		
			3) average		or	1) very poor		2
	your opinion, count for?	how much of the	total wei	ght age of a	course	should the interna	al assessme	ent
5) 10		75% 3) 50%	2) 25	% .	1) below 25%	Ó	
						R.Geeth	·Acuir	u.

ET. Amb

B. Geethe Asueru Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522917.



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

1/2	DEPART	MENT OF ELEC	CTRONIC	S AND CO	MMUN	NICATION ENGIN	IEERING	
Ref: M	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20					Date: 28	12
* 7.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM C	N CUR	RICULUM		<i>.</i>
				사람들이 많은 사람들이 얼마나 없다.			-28	
Nam	e:A	ndrikari	ourse:B.:	tech D	epartn	nent: CCC:		
Year	/Compostore Ada	P. 0 -9	4 8	×			40	16.
Mob	ile. 630157.	5514 E	E-mail	dagad	da ch	andrika056	ogmail.co	m
1. Ple	ease give a ratii	ng of your cours	e on the fo	ollowing:-		(4.4)		31
		5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S x			(6)					90.
S.No.	Particulars						Ra	ating
1	Learning values		cills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ar	nalytical abilities, o	or g	000
2	Applicability,	relevance to rea	al life situa	ations			90	ood.
. 3	Depth of the	course content						bro
4	Extent of cov	erage of course	Ta		8		9	boo
-5	Extent of effo	rt required by s	tudents				9	boo
6	Relevance/le	arning value of p	project/ re	eport	1/2+			boo
7	Overall rating	5				10		oad
	ne syllabus was allenging 4)	adequate 3) inadequ	ate 2) dı	ıll-	1) irrelevant	V	
2 Va	r ba'abanasın d	for benefiting fi	ionitho aa					
5) High	/		3)partly	ourse was	2)mo	ostly	1) complet	tely
relevar		,	relev	ant	111	irrelevant	irreleva	
4. Ho	w much of the	syllabus was tai	ight in cla	ss?				
5).90	to100% 4)7	5 to 90% 3)	50 to 75%	2) 40	to 50%	1) less than 4	10%	
	- =			* g			2	
5. W	hat is vour opir	ion about the li	brary hold	lings for the	course	?		10
	1		0.00	e 2) poor	9	1) very poor	·	
6. W	ere vøu able to	get the prescrib	ed course	materials?	38.0			ال ر
				2) po	or	1) very poor		92
	your opinion, l	now much of the	total weig	ght age of a	course	should the interna	al assessment	
5) 100		75% 3	50%	2) 259	%	1) below 25%	Ó	0 =1
	NAVE (SEE 1977) BILLOON LES	и л Ж						
			8	2 X X X	9 <u>I</u>	Acho	udoulte	100
			· ·	TA	1	_ Signature of	the Student	j).

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	ILEW/ECE/FO	C/R20					Date: 28	12/2
		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM C	N CUR	RICULUM		
Nam	ne: A. kav	4 a - 22 - 4016	ourse:£	3-tech D	epartn	nent:-CC		
Year	/Semester: Ado	dress	2		998 FF			
		81366 I				y mail com		19 16
	1675AT 33	ng of your cours			4	V		
1. 11	case give a racii	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor		
	7750 T.	1		1 1		•		1 - 0
S.No.	Particulars		1/2	1 × 1 ×				Rating
1	Learning value	and the same of th	kills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, ai	nalytical abilities,	or	9000
2	Applicability,	/relevance to re:	al life situa	ations				extle
3	Depth of the	course content	S. 1. 1. 9	4 8 9		= 1		good
4	Extent of cov	erage of course				188		good
- 5	Extent of effo	rt required by s	tudents		8			good
6	Relevance/le	arning value of p	project/ re	eport				9000
7	Overall rating	g					. 4	good
	he syllabus was allenging 4)		3) inadequ	iate 2) du	ıll	1) irrelevan	t	
5) cha	allenging 4) our background	adequate 3	rom the co	ourse was				
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High	allenging 4) our background oly 4)r	adequate 3	rom the co	ourse was	2)mo	ostly	1) comp	and the second second second
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High releval	allenging 4) our background nly 4)ro	adequate 3	rom the co 3)partly relev	ourse was vant	2)mo			
3. Yo 5) High relevan 4. Ho	allenging 4) our background nly 4)re nt ow much of the	adequate 3	rom the co 3)partly relev ught in cla	ourse was vant uss?	2)mo	ostly	1) comp irrele	
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High releval 4. Ho 5). 90	allenging 4) our background nly 4)re nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)7	adequate 3 I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was tar 75 to 90% 3)	rom the co 3)partly relev ught in cla 50 to 75%	ourse was vant ass? % 2) 40	2)mo to 50%	ostly irrelevant o 1) less than	1) comp irrele	
3. Your state of the state of t	allenging 4) our background nly 4)r nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)7	adequate 3 I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was tain 75 to 90% 3)	rom the co 3)partly relevught in cla 50 to 75%	ourse was vant ass? % 2) 40	2)mo to 50%	ostly irrelevant o 1) less than	1) comp irrele	
5) cha 3. Yc 5) High releval 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc	allenging 4) our background nly 4)re nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)7 hat is your opin cellent 4)	adequate 3 I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was tar 5 to 90% 3) nion about the liadequate 3)	rom the co 3)partly relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary holo inadequat	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the te 2) poor	2)mo to 50%	ostly irrelevant o 1) less than e?	1) comp irrele	
3. Your Solution of the color o	allenging 4) our background nly 4)re nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)7 hat is your opin cellent 4)	adequate 3 I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was take 75 to 90% 3) nion about the liadequate 3) get the prescrib	rom the co 3)partly relevught in cla 50 to 75% brary holo inadequat	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the e 2) poor materials?	2)mo	ostly irrelevant o 1) less than e?	1) comp irrele 40%	
3. Your specific states of the second states of the	allenging 4) our background oly 4)r out ow much of the 0 to 100% 4)7 hat is your opin cellent 4) vere you able to ry good 4)	adequate 3 I for benefiting frelevant syllabus was tar 75 to 90% 3) nion about the liadequate 3) get the prescrib	rom the co 3)partly relev- ught in cla 50 to 75% brary hold inadequated ed course 3) average	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for the (e 2) poor materials? 2) po	2)mo to 50% course or	ostly irrelevant 1) less than e? 1) very poor	1) comp irrele 40%	evant

Signature of the Student MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





23

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEDARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENCINEEDING

Ref: M	ILEW/ECE/FO	C/R20	lact.				Date:	28 12
		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUE	RRICULUM		
) (i)	0							
Nam	ne: V: Jur	eetha 22-vo	ourse: .K.	tech D	epartr	nent:£C£		
Year	r/Semester: Add	iress	2.				1 :	50
Mob	oile 63010	1 PPE7L	-mail y .	ulavalco	Hus	suncetha@g	mail con	0
		ng of your cours			i.	· \		
	iedoe Brre a raen	5	4	3	2.	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
CN	T D - (: 1							Datia
S.No.		o (in towns of a	rilla conc	anto Imprulo	dao o	nalytical abilities		Rating
	broadening p	erspectives)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	43 17	euge, a	narytical admitte:	5, 01	acod
2		relevance to re	al life situ	ations				Good
3		course content	- 1	85 M				9000
5		erage of course rt required by s		2				9000
6		arning value of j		nort	-			9000
7	Overall rating		noject/ re					9000
	he syllabus was allenging 4)) inadequ	ate 2) du	ıll	1) irreleva	nt	
2 V.	aun berakanan d	for honofiting fo	.am +ba aa		H .			
)High eleva	nly 4)re		3)partly relev	ant	-	ostly irrelevant		npletely elevant
		syllabus was tai '5 to 90% 3)			to 50%	1) less than	n 40%	4 ²⁵
10.	and Miles						7 A 1 B 1	
		nion about the li adequate 3)		lings for the e 2) poor	1.0	e? 1) very poor		
6 W	lere you able to	get the prescrib	ed course	materiale?			£ 11	
	-/) average		or	1) very poo	or	8
	your opinion, h	now much of the	total weig	ght age of a	course	should the inter	nal assessm	ent
5) 10		75% [3]	50%	2) 259	%	1) below 25	5%	ā.
1					Wie is	v. C		
	10		_	1		Signature	of the Stude	nt .

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Def. MIEW/ECE/EOC/D20	Date: 29 -(2

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: K. Sux	athi (446) c	ourse: .B.:	Tech D	epartn	nent: ECE	
Year/Semester	: Address 2: 2			e		8
	386829 I			oathi S	34@gmail-c	om
 Please give a 	rating of your cours	e on the fo	ollowing:-			_
	5	4	3	2 .	1	1
	Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely	

Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	. good
3	Depth of the course content	good
. 4	Extent of coverage of course	good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Excellent
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	900d
7	Overall rating	Good

Poor

6	Relevano	ce/learning valu	e of project/ repo	rt		9000
7	Overall r	ating	-			900
2. T	ne syllabus	was		*	*	1 2
		4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3 Y	our backer	ound for benefit	ing from the cour	se was		
5)High releva	nly nt	4)relevant	3)partly relevan as taught in class?	2)mos t ir	relevant 1) complete irrelevant
			3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
	hat is your cellent	the state of the s	the library holding 3) inadequate		1) very poor	
		ole to get the pre 4) good	scribed course mage 3) average	aterials? 2) poor	1) very poor	H 902 CO
	your opin		of the total weight	age of a course s	hould the internal ass	sessment
5) 10	0%	, 4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	H 1
			7	Aml	K. Swathi Signature of the	Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : 'Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20		
Rel. MEET / Lon / Lon / Mee		7

Date: 28/12/23

Poor

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 22 K	AOUS2 C	ourse: .B.:	Tech D	epartn	nent: EŒ
Mobile6.3.0.5	Address 2	E-mailSx		1@g	mail.com
1. Thease give a	5	4/	3	2	1
	Extremely	Cood	Moderate	Poor	Extremely

Good

Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
. 1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	y
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Ч
.3	Depth of the course content	y
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	Ч
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	· y .
7	Overall rating	5

Moderate Poor

6	Refevano	ce/learning value	e of project/ report	· . · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			+
7	Overall r	ating				* .	5
91			m \$	2 a a 5			
2. Tl	ne syllabus	was /	100	H 6.			a ^{til}
5) ch	allenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	 irrelevant 		
					- 8 x		
3 V	nur hacker	ound for benefit	ing from the course	e was	SF		
5)High		4)relevant	3)partly	2)mos	tly	1) com	pletely
releva		M. cic valle	relevant		relevant	irre	levant
		f the syllabus wa	as taught in class?		1 1		12
	to100%	4)75 to 90%		2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	6	
1	7 1010070	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	97 3 0 Feffer Arts 178 (1981)	L.	1000	*	
33.		A.			¥2. K		
			he library holdings	s for the course?	43		
5) ex	cellent	4) adequate	3) inadequate 2	2) poor	1) very poor		207 14
							94
6. W	lere you ab	ole to get the pre	scribed course mat	terials?			20
	ry good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	very poor		100
	7, 7		# #			* s	* '5 9
7 Ir	vour opin	ion how much o	of the total weight a	age of a course s	hould the internal a	assessme	ent
	ccount for?						<u> </u>
	00%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		
5) 10	,0,0	.,	TAM 658/5:1600	THE MARKET STATE OF THE STATE O			

K. Sndevi Signature of the Student

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MI	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20					Date:	
	= 2	STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
Trick 191							6	
Name	e: Dhanushy	dokeraoura	burse:£		epartn	nent:	_	
Year	/Semester: Ado	iressMC.E	WPU	ladiau	171-	₹	a 1 1	
Mobi	le 767091	1943 E	-mail kor	aladhanu	chip 2	oz 1(a) grail	com	
		ng of your cours				7		
T 4 15		5	4	3	.2	. 1	3. (+)	œ).
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
· F	1 3							1
S.No.	Particulars		9.7					Rating
1	Learning values broadening p	ie (in terms of s erspectives)	kills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, a	nalytical abiliti	es, or	4
2		/relevance to re	al life situa	ations . • •				4
3		course content		3			265	4.
4		erage of course		t 1- x	ti ere	12		4
5		ort required by s						Ч
6		arning value of	project/ re	eport	W 2 .			4
7	Overall ratin	g		e iv ^e	(9	*		4
5) cha 3. Yo 5)High relevar	ly 4}r		rom the co 3)partly relev	ant .	2)m	1) irrelev ostly irrelevant	1) com	pletely levant
5). 90	to100% 4	75 to 90% 3)	50 to 75%	6 2) 40	to 50%	6 1) less th	an 40%	
	hat is your opi cellent 4)	nion about the landequate 3)		dings for the te 2) poor		e? 1) very poo	r	
	ere you able to y good 4	get the prescril) good	oed course 3) average		or	1) very p	ooor	
	your opinion, count for?	how much of the	e total wei	¥1 19	1000		ernal assessme	ent
5) 10		75% 3	3) 50%	2) 25	%. :	1) below	25%	
*		265 IW = 1W			9	Les	J	

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Dof.	NAT EXAL	/ECE	/EOC	/P20
кет:	MLEW	ELE	FUL	/K20

Date: 28 12 23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 22 KE 1AOU73.	Course: GALCE Department: ECE
Year/Semester: AddressMalli	noni pulla digunta pathipadaroad
Mobile 9391275129	E-mail Prasannemode palli800 agmail-com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	- 4	3	2 .	1
Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1 .	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2 .	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	H
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

6	Relevance/learning valu	ie of project/ report	<u> </u>		4
7	Overall rating				5
	he syllabus was allenging 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
4		W	2 /		
3. Y	our background for benefit	ing from the course	was		850 B R 18
5)High	nly 4)relevant	3)partly	2)mos	tly	 completely
releva	4.5.	relevant	ir	relevant	irrelevant
4. H	ow much of the syllabus w	as taught in class?			
5).90	0 to 100% 4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40	%
5. W	hat is your opinion about	the library holdings	for the course?		2
	cellent 4) adequate			1) very poor	
6. V	Vere you able to get the pre	escribed course mat	erials?		
	ry good 4) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	
	n your opinion, how much ecount for?	of the total weight a	ge of a course s		
5)10	00% 4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	Danna
1			Aml	M. P90	begins Country

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: ML	EW/ECE/FO	OC/R20				1	Date:	28/12/20
			T FEED BAG	CK EODW O	N CHR	RICULUM		
Name	Box	avest	Course: B.	Tech D	epartn	nent: ECE	88	
Ivalile	h	dress) _ 9	*		14		
Year/	Semester: Ac	dress	1	J 10	- Tu	a comet co	200	
Mobi	le. 83416	38 440	E-mail .K.	rexipcupm	9	g		2
1 · Ple	ase give a rat	ing of your cou	rse on the fo	llowing:-	- N		7	300
1		. 5	A	3	- 2	1		
5 , 4		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	8	a s
x si								Rating
S.No.	Particulars			, ,	1	- alutical abiliti	os or	Rating
1	broadening	lue (in terms o perspectives)			eage, a	naiyticai abiitti	es, or	. 4
2	Applicabilit	y/relevance to	real life situ	ations			Aft .	4
. 3	Depth of th	e course conter	nt .	g Sylvan	4 - 3	740		4
4	Extent of co	overage of cour	se.					14
5.	Extent of ef	fort required b	y students		***		7850 TO	4
- 6	Relevance/	learning value	of project/ r	eport				4
7	Overall rati	ing						5
5) ch	ne syllabus w allenging	4) adequate	3) inadeq		ull	1) irrelev	vant	ນ ຜ ສ _:
		nd for benefitir	ng from the d 3)partly	ourse was	2)m	nostly	1) co	ompletely
5)High releva		relevant ?		vant	,	irrelevant		relevant
4 H	ow much of t	he syllabus wa:		ass?		55000 N 10	950 6 69620400	
57.90	0 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75	% . 2) 40	to 50°	% 1) less tl	nan 40%	3
		185 H ²	9	* 4			(/5	
	Vhat is your o	pinion about th 4) adequate	ne library ho 3) inadequa	ldings for thate 2) poor	e cour	se? 1) very poo	or	
			No ne	- 1 V		91		
		to get the pres	cribed cours	e materials	?	1)	noor *	1. a
5) ve	ery good	A) good	3) averag	ge 2) p	oor	1) very	poor	
*				· 1		so chould the in	ternal assess	ment
7. li	n your opinio	n, how much o	the total we	agnt age of	a cours	e should the m	e i	(8)
	ccount for?	4775%	3) 50%	2) 2	5%	1) below	v 25%	8 ==
5) 10	00%	J 1370	3) 30 /0	-, -	2011 X	*	= 107 %	
			0]				d	P
8110			=1.	4 rul		Signati	ure of the Stu	dent

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MI	LEW/ECE/FOC/R2	20					Date	e: 28/12/23
1 = 41 . 7		STUDENT F		C la			22KEI	20461
Nam	e: P. Anantha F	Jurga Coi	ırse:E	€E# D	epartm	ent:		N C
Vear	/Semester: Address	The me	2-0					
Moh	ile	7. Ca.O E-	mail <i>Ql</i>	nathapa	Supul	eti@gnai	il-com	
	ease give a rating of				ii.		¥1	
1. Ple	ease give a rating or	5	4 .	3	2	1 .	100	me statement
Ta J		tremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
. New	G	ood ·	Cable Tests			F001	_	
C.N.	Particulars		-					Rating
S.No.	Learning value (in	terms of ski	lls. conce	epts, knowle	edge, ar	alytical abilit	ies, or	7.4
1.	broadening persp		,	- 6				4
. 2	Applicability/rele	vance to rea	l life situa	ntions			554	4
. 3	Depth of the cours	se content			1111		3	5
4	Extent of coverage			5				
5	Extent of effort re			4 0 1			N	5.
6	Relevance/learning	ng value of p	roject/ re	eport				4
7	Overall rating	El .						
5) ch 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H	int Iow much of the sylla	benefiting fr ant abus was tau	3)partly relev ight in cla	ourse was	2)m	1) irrele	1)	completely irrelevant
5). 9	0 to 100% 4)75 to	90% 3)	30 (0 737	0 2)40	10 50 7	0 1,1000 0		
5. V 5) ex	What is your opinion (cellent 4) adec	about the litquate 3) i	orary holo nadequa	dings for th te 2) poor	e cours	e? 1) very poo	or	
	Vere you able to get ery good 4) goo		ed course) average	materials? 2) p	oor	1) very	poor	- a - a
	F	5 150 F				abould the in	tornal accor	cement
7. I	n your opinion, how	much of the	total wei	ignt age of a	course	s Should the In	iternal asses	Sment
	account for? 00% 4) 75%	6 3	50%	2) 25	5%	1) below	25%	** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		4 *		1 Dom	_	- T-A	nanthe b	Dunje

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMATAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE



INENI LAKSHMAIAH N'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLEW/ECE/	/FUC/	RZU
----------------	-------	-----

Date: 28/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: R. Grana Prasura	Course: 13: Tech Department: ECE	
Van /Samastar: Address 2-	-2	
Mabile 8333065820	E-mail rayudu gnana prasuna 143 @ gmail. Co	M

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

4) 75%

5	4	3	2 .	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

CAL	Particulars	Rating
S.No.	Particulars	a
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	<
Va 19	broadening perspectives)	-
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3.	Depth of the course content	>
4	Extent of coverage of course	4.
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	3.

92540367 ¥ 277	03 1 11:	1) involvement	
3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
			8 v 1
ing from the course	e was		
3)partly	2)mc	stly	 completely
		irrelevant	irrelevant
	4 B		+0 iii
2) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	p * max * acres
3) 30 to 7370	2) 40 10 30 11	1) 1000 111011 1070	
	+ ,		(2)
the library holdings	s for the course	?	
3) inadequate 2	2) poor	1) very poor	
oj maar q		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*
22 14	1.1.2		
scribed course ma	terials?	40	
average	2) poor	1) very poor	8
	52 (201)		(¥
of the total weight a	age of a course	should the internal as	ssessment
or the total wording			¥2
3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	
	ing from the course 3) partly relevant as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75% the library holding 3) inadequate escribed course ma 3) average	relevant as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% the library holdings for the course 3) inadequate 2) poor escribed course materials? 3) average 2) poor	ing from the course was 3)partly 2)mostly relevant irrelevant as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% the library holdings for the course? 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor escribed course materials? 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

	9 6	*:	Date: 28/12/23
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20	37	4	Date: 28/12/23
RCI. FILL II / LCL/ 1 CC/ 11-	17. 2	E 5 6	

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: J. Kally	jusha c	ourse:B	tech D	epartn	nent: ECE	
Year/Semester: Ad Mobile 8185	ddress	2E-maild	maprat		- 5	thyusha@gmail.com
1. Please give a rat	ting of your cours	e on the fo	ollowing:-			7
			2		- 1	
	5 .	4	3	4	1.	

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2.	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
. 3	Depth of the course content	. 5.
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

5 Extent of	of enort required	by students.				
6 Relevan	ce/learning valu	e of project/ report	t :	3.0		5
7 Overall					24	5
. 2. The syllabu	s was					
5) challenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	 irrelevant 		
	*					
3. Your backg	round for benefit	ing from the course	e was			
-5)Highly	4)relevant	3)partly	2)mc	stly	 comple 	etely
relevant		relevant		rrelevant	irrelev	ant
4. How much	of the syllabus w	as taught in class?		V to	* *	
52 90 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40	1%	
3). 70 102070					1 1 1	
	15 8 7 9		6 11	2		
		the library holdings	for the course		To The	1
5) excellent	4) adequate	3) inadequate 2	l) poor	1) very poor		
	£		¥.			
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed course mat	terials?			
5) very good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor		8
7. In your opi	nion, how much	of the total weight a	age of a course	should the internal	assessment	
account for				¥2. 9		
. 51 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		· ·
20) 100/0	.,,,,,,,	-, -, -,		,		2
ang r				0 -1	4 1	
				N Port	hehilha	

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



account for?

4) 75%

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECT	RONICS AND COMMUNICATION	ATION ENGINEERING
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20		Date: 🤉

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: Ch. Alekhya	Course: B. tech Department: ECE
Year/Semester: Address2	2
Mobile 7675093153	E-mail alekhyach 9105 Qgmail. con


Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

-	- 5	4	3 ·	2	1
	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	. 4
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
. 5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7.	Overall rating	5

7. Overall	lating					
	Ser account		at .	. Or	S	
2. The syllabus			A Charles			
5 challenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	irrelevant		
0,		35 9	*			
0 11 1	1 C 1 C+	ing from the course	a tura c			_#
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the course	e was	, i	-	- 20 5 4
5)Highly	4)relevant	3)partly	2)most	ly	1)	completel
relevant	8	relevant	- irr	elevant	- 7.	irrelevant
4 Hou much	of the cyllabue w	as taught in class?		200 TO 100 TO 10		
			0) 10' - 500'	43.1	007	
5). 90 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40	J%0	- 47 - 4
25 150 III 15	E (87)	76.7	g.			
5.4			C 11	*		3
What is you	r opinion about t	the library holdings	s for the course?			
5) excellent	4) adequate	3) inadequate 2	2) poor	1) very poor	.5	数 (
						8 2 8
(6) (7)		andhad course mat	torials?			
6. Were you al	bie to get the pre	scribed course mat				
Exami good	A) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor		3.5

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment



1) below 25%



ENI LAKSHMAIAH





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	EW/ECE/FOO	C/R20	187 A			OF 1	Date: 28/12/9
			r'eeen BAC	CK FORM O	N CURI	RICULUM	
				7.5			2 A
Name	ah.s.u.k	s Meenals	Course: .B.	Tech D	epartm	ent: CCE	
. Maine		lress2	Semo	ster.	10		4.00
Mobi	le 99490	66966	E-mail	eenalche	· Calc	kilomogmail.	Coro.
1 Ple	ase give a ratir	ng of your cour	se on the fo	llowing:-	100		
1. 110	ase give a racii	5	4	·-3	2	1	
- 3 ¹ = 3		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	# # # # #
					51		Rating
S.No.	Particulars	ie (in terms of	skills, conce	epts, knowle	edge, a	nalytical abilities, o	r 4.
*.	broadening p	erspectives)	G	× =			
2	Applicability	/relevance to r	eal life situ	ations			5
3	Depth of the	course content	t .				4
4	Extent of cov	erage of cours	e ·				5
5	Extent of effe	ort required by	students				5
. 6	Polevance/le	earning value o	f project/ r	eport .			9
7	Overall ratin		- P)	- 4 a			. 5
5) ch		d for benefiting	g from the c	uate 2) d		1) irrelevant	1) completely
							1) compretely
5)High	hly 4)	relevant	3)partly rele			irrelevant	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H	hly 4)	e syllabus was	rele taught in cl	vant		irrelevant	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H	hly 4) int low much of th 0 to100% 4	e syllabus was)75 to 90%	rele taught in cl 3) 50 to 75	vant ass? % 2) 40) to 50	irrelevant % 1) less than 4	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H 5). 9	hly 4) int low much of th 0 to 100% 4 What is your op	e syllabus was)75 to 90% Jinion about the	rele taught in cl 3) 50 to 75 e library ho	vant ass? % 2) 40) to 50	irrelevant % 1) less than 4	irrelevant
5)Higl releva 4. H 5) 9 5. V 5) ex	hly 4) int fow much of th 0 to100% 4 What is your op xcellent 4 Were you able t	e syllabus was)75 to 90% inion about the) adequate	rele taught in cl 3) 50 to 75 e library ho 3) inadequa	vant ass? % 2) 40 Idings for thate 2) poo	to 50º ne cour	irrelevant % 1) less than 4 se?	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H 5)-9 5. V 5)-ex	hly 4) int low much of th 0 to100% 4 What is your op scellent 4 Were you able tery good	e syllabus was)75 to 90% inion about the) adequate to get the prese 4) good	rele taught in cl 3) 50 to 75 e library ho 3) inadequatribed cours 3) average	vant ass? % 2) 40 Idings for thate 2) poolse materials ge 2) p	to 500 ne cour	irrelevant % 1) less than 4 se? 1) very poor 1) very poor	irrelevant
5)High releva 4. H 5) 9 5. V 5) ex 6. V 5) v	hly 4) int low much of th 0 to100% 4 What is your op scellent 4 Were you able tery good	e syllabus was)75 to 90% inion about the) adequate to get the prese 4) good	rele taught in cl 3) 50 to 75 e library ho 3) inadequatribed cours 3) average	vant ass? % 2) 40 Idings for thate 2) poolse materials ge 2) p	to 500 ne cour	irrelevant % 1) less than 4 se? 1) very poor	irrelevant

Signature of the Student chrs. unkis Meeraky his.

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref: MLFW/ECE/FOC/R20	Date: 28 12 202
Ret MILEW/ELE/FUL/RZU	 <u> </u>

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: 22 CELL Year/Semester: Ad Mobile 939.2.6 1. Please give a rati	dress 2- 93491 E	.2 -mailQ.	กรวเนกา	-		mail-com
1. Please give a rati	5	A	3	2	1	
	Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	1940

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Ч
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	Ч
4	Extent of coverage of course	Ч
5	Extent of effort required by students	. 4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	5

	0				
2. The syllabus5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the course	e was		
5)Highly relevant	4) felevant	3)partly relevant	2)most	ly 1) elevant	completel irrelevant
4. How much o	of the syllabus wa	as taught in class?	Mar of		2)
5). 90 to 100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
	100	THE SHARES SHARE THE TANKS OF THE SHARES SHARES		9	393
5. What is you	r opinion about t	the library holdings	s for the course?	70 = 27	
5) excellent	4) adequate	3) inadequate 2	2) poor	1) very poor	1 2
6 Wara you al	ale to get the pre	scribed course mat	terials?	W	(%)
5) very good		3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	
[12] [2] [2]		of the total weight a	age of a course sh	nould the internal ass	essment
account for 5) 100%	4)/75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	
		W 12 3	. 19		
	z 2 2	₽.	221 ***	SD. A. REGILI	m

Signature of the Student NI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MI	.EW/ECE/FO	C/R20		——————————————————————————————————————			Date:2	8/12/2
	9010=1004	48 STUDENT	FEED BAG	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
Name	k Akan	ksha co	ourse:B	rech D	epartn	nent: ECE.		11 (g) -
Year	/Semester: Ad	dress2-2					3	
Mobi	le 63037	27104 E	-mail .a.K	hilakatt	a26(agmail com	* *	
1. Ple	ase give a rati	ng of your course	e on the fo	llowing:-	2	1		
2	3,5	5 .	4	3	7550	Extremely		
1 4		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor	Si	20
CNI	Danti aulana	9						Rating
S.No.	Particulars .	us (in terms of s	rills conce	ents knowle	edge.a	nalytical abilities,	or	
. 1 .		perspectives)	tins, conce	эрсэ, што		eren e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		ч
2 .		/relevance to re	al life situa	ations				4
3		course content			JŒ.			4
4.		verage of course		H .	0			u.
5	Extent of eff	ort required by s	tudents					4
6	Relevance/l	earning value of	project/ re	eport				4.
7	Overall ratin		1	160			- 1	4
3. You 5) High relevan	nly 🔏) nt	s adequate d for benefiting f relevant e syllabus was ta	3)partly relev	ourse was	-2	1) irrelevan lostly irrelevant	1) con	npletely elevant
4. H	to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3	50 to 759	% 2) 40	to 509	% 1) less than	40%	Sign 1
	hat is your op	vinion about the l Tadequate 3)	ibrary hol inadequa	dings for th te 2) poor	e cours	se? 1) very poor		1 2 2 8 2 8
5) ve	ry good	-, 6	3) averag	e 2) p	oor	1) very poo		s .
7. Ir	n vour opinion	, how much of th	e total we	ight age of a	a cours	e should the inter	nal assessm	ent
a	ccount for?			9	All Victorian			
		7.75%	3) 50%	2) 2	5%	1) below 25	%	. a n =
8		4 1 a	0 a		1	K-Alcan Signature	of the Stude	ent

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE **PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.**







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/RZC) ::		T 50 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	
	300	199		

Date: 28/12/2023

· 22KEIAOY74	2	No. 20 (4)	(5)	
ZZKEIMUGTG	071	150a		FAF

Name: M. Apsax Sulthana Course: B. Tech Department: ECE

Year/Semester: Address ... 2-2

Mobile 9493483986 E-mail apsay sulthana 986@gmail com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

5	4 ,	3	2	. 1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4 .
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	14
6.	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
. 7	Overall rating	5.

			No.		
The syllabuschallenging	was 4 adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr	ound for benefiti	ng from the course	e was		
5)Highly relevant	4) relevant	3)partly relevant	2)most	ly elevant	 completely irrelevant
4. How much o	of the syllabus wa	s taught in class?			* .
5).90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40 ^o	% .
5. What is you	r opinion about t	he library holdings	for the course?		v s
5) excellent	A) adequate	3) inadequate 2		1) very poor	. n alm 5
	a land		2 2		2 11 2 ³⁸ V

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weight age of a course should the internal assessment account for?

T) 1000/

4775%

3) 50%

2) 25%

1) below 25%

M. Apsay Sulthana Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade
Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

			1 1-0
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20	V 12		Date: 28/18/23
		e #* 2	
CTH	DENT FEED D	ACK FORM ON CURRICULUM	

STODENT FEED BACK FORM ON CORRECTION

Name: K. Lakshmi Teja	Course:	3-Tech De	epartment: E	CE	
Year/Semester: Address	2 -2			0.2	
Mobile 861881100.5	E-mail!	akshmi	teja039@	gmail.	com

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	ч
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	y
5	Extent of effort required by students	u
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

/ Overall i	ating		104			
2 ml 11 1						
2. The syllabus		4	- 1 Sec			
5) challenging	4) adequate	inadequat	e 2) dull	1) irrelevant		
p/ = 7 - 2		.11.5%				11, 524
3. Your backgr	ound for benefit	ing from the cou	rse was			
	4) relevant	3)partly	2)mo	stly	1) co	mplet
relevant	J. c.c.	relevar		rrelevant	irı	releva
	f the cullabue w	as taught in class	- 100mm		V seems	
				1) +	4:00/	15
5). 90 to100%	4375 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than	40%	
2	100		n 1 3 g			E E =
5. What is you	r opinion about t	he library holdin	ngs for the course	?		
5) excellent		3) inadequate		1) very poor		
y) excellent	. J datequate	,				1.2
and the second	The second second					
6. Were you at	ole to get the pre	scribed course m	iaterials?			
5) very good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	very poor	p.	
75	. , ,	2) 1 39. 1/	- 1 2000 H			
7	ion hourmands	fthe total weigh	t age of a course	chould the interr	al accecer	nent
		i tile total weigh	t age of a course.	should the interi	iai assessii	iciic
account for?		W 8			3	
5) 100%	4775%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25	%	
		- 18 m	81 TOTAL TRAIN			01
	. 12				-	

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

	(A)
D.C. MI EW/ECE/ECC/D20	90
Ref: MLEW/ECE/FOC/R20	

Date: 38 12 23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. Larshmi prasann	acourse: B-Tech	Department: ECE
Year/Semester: Address	2-9	
Mobile. 903081301U	E-mail 91 Pros	mosolpomp@ess anax

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:
5 4 3 2 1

Extremely Good Moderate Poor Poor
Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	-4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4.
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	U
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Y
7	Overall rating	U

7 Overall rat	ing.			- 15 K	<u> </u>
2. The syllabus w	ac	36		#1	
5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	1 1 21 0
3. Your backgrou	nd for benefiti	ng from the course	was		
	relevant.	3)partly relevant	2)mo	estly 1) rrelevant	completel irrelevant
		3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
5. What is your o	pinion about t	he library holdings	for the course	27	T ₂
5) excellent				1) very poor	
6. Were you able	to get the pres	scribed course mat	erials?	a 8	
5) very good		3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your opinio account for?	n, how much o	f the total weight a	ge of a course	should the internal ass	essment
5) 100%	4)75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	
		Th _{in}	1,8	4	

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada: : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Ref.	MLEW	/ECE	/FOC	/R20
nci.	TAT TO A A	LUL	1100	112

Date: 29/12/23

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

	Name: Greater: Add Mobile 720712	7205 E-	mail . 9 c	wne koire			gmail.	om.
1	. Please give a ratin	ig of your course	on the it	mowing			7	
	rel a a a	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		11 8

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	Y
5 ·	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

	3				
5	Extent of effort required	by students	5		4
6	Relevance/learning value	e of project/ repo	rt		4
7	Overall rating	v .			4
2. Tl 5) ch 3. Yo 5) High releva 4. H	he syllabus was allenging 4) adequate our background for benefiti hly 4) relevant	3)partly relevan as taught in class?	se was 2)most t irr	1) irrelevant ely relevant 1) less than 40°	1) completely irrelevant %
5) ex 6. W 5) ve	Vere you able to get the pre- ery good 4) good	3) inadequate scribed course m 3) average	2) poor aterials? 2) poor	1) very poor 1) very poor	
a	n your opinion, how much o ccount for? 10% 4)75%	f the total weight 3) 50%	- 3	ould the internal at 1) below 25%	assessment

Signature of the Student

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.









Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	LEW/ECE/FO	C/R20		4 A A		2 350	Date: 2	8-12-5
128	(CTUDE	T EEED DA	CK FORM C	NI CHD	DICHLIM	*	
	(22KEH						n n	W. 9
	e: Sagi B					nent: ECE		
Year	/Semester: Ad	dress 2nd	Year II.	-Sem				
	ile: 970101		,			oul-com		3
				U	(
l. Ple	ease give a rati		rse on the fo		, v		1.00	
	Trang K	5	4	3	2 .	1		- 8
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	70	A 840
		dood				1001		1
S.No.	Particulars		8	· (NO			9	Rating
1	2010 Park Conference (Conference (Conferen	ue (in terms of	skills, conce	pts. knowle	edge, ar	nalytical abilities, o	or .	- Turing
7	broadening p	Control of the second of the s		F 357 3555				5
2		/relevance to	real life situa	itions		11 2		5
3		course conten						4
4	Extent of cov	erage of cours	e					4
5	Extent of effe	ort required by	students	. 4				8
6	Relevance/le	earning value o	of project/ re	port				4
7	Overall ratin	g		N.	- 3			Cr
5) cha	allenging 4	l for benefiting		- 1 N 10	¥1	1) irrelevant		
)High elevar 4. Ho			3)partly releva taught in clas 3) 50 to 75%	ss?	50	ostly irrelevant o 1) less than 4		oletely evant
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90	ow much of the to 100% 437	syllabus was 75 to 90%	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75%	ss?	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 4	irrele	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90	nt ow much of the to100% 437 hat is your opi	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the	releva taught in clas 3) 50 to 75% library hold	ss? 2) 40 ings for the	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 4	irrele	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90	nt ow much of the to100% 437 hat is your opi	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75%	ss? 2) 40 ings for the	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 4	irrele	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc	nt ow much of the to100% 4) hat is your opined	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75% bibrary hold 3) inadequate	ings for the	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 4	irrele	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W	nt ow much of the to100% 4) hat is your opinellent 4) ere you able to	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75% slibrary hold slibrated course.	ings for the 2) poor materials?	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 4 e? 1) very poor	irrele	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W	nt ow much of the to100% 4) hat is your opinellent 4) ere you able to	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75% bibrary hold 3) inadequate	ings for the	to 50%	irrelevant 1) less than 4	irrele	
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W 5) ver 7. In	to to the to	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate 3 get the prescr	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75% bibrary hold 3) inadequate ribed course 3) average	ings for the e 2) poor materials? 2) po	to 50% course	irrelevant 1) less than 4 e? 1) very poor	irrele	evant
)High elevar 4. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W 5) ver 7. In	to we much of the to 100% 4) that is your opinion, loount for?	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate 3 get the prescr	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75% bibrary hold 3) inadequate ribed course 3) average	ings for the e 2) poor materials? 2) po	to 50% course	irrelevant 1) less than 4 2? 1) very poor 1) very poor	irrele	evant
)High elevar 1. Ho 5). 90 5. W 5) exc 6. W 5) ver 7. In ac	to we much of the to 100% 4) that is your opinion, loount for?	syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate 3 get the prescr good how much of t	relevataught in class 3) 50 to 75% bibrary hold 3) inadequate sibed course 3) average he total weig	ings for the 2) poor materials? 2) po	to 50% course	irrelevant 1) less than 4 2 1) very poor 1) very poor should the interna	irrele	evant

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

Signature of the Student



MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

	DEPARTM	IENT OF EL	ECTRONICS	AND COM	IMUN	ICATION ENGINE		
ef: MI	EW/ECE/FO			7 T 22 MILE 1		=	Date: 28	-12-
Year, Mobi	22KEIAOC Semester: Add le 770266 ase give a ratir	17A 1ress 2 nd 6 29.59	Course: B.: Con. II S E-mail .Sa	iech D unistes Glagave	epartm	riculum nent: Ec.E. and 300 gr	nailicom	
ı. ric	ase give a radii	5 Extremely Good	Good	3 Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particulars	= =	*	19 8	J. 2. 1			ating
1	broadening p	erspectives)		1	edge, aı	nalytical abilities, o	r	5
2	Applicability	/relevance to	real life situa	ations				5
3		course conter			11/2			4
4		erage of cour					29	4.
5		rt required by			1			5
6	Relevance/le	arning value	of project/ re	eport				4
7	Overall ratin	g		<u> </u>	1/21			4
3. Yo 5)High releva 4. H 5). 90 5. W 5) ex	nt ow much of the 0 to 100% 4 That is your opicellent 4 Were you able to	d for benefiting elevant esyllabus was 75 to 90% enion about the vadequate	relevent to the staught in class taught in class 3) 50 to 75% are library holds 3) inadequal	ourse was vant ass? 6 2) 40 dings for th te 2) poor	2)m) to 50% te cours	1) irrelevant nostly irrelevant 1) less than 4 se? 1) very poor	1) comple irrelev	7.00
a	ccount for?	De AC	1,00			e should the intern	1.04	
5) 10	00% 4	75%	3) 50%	2) 2:	970 	V. Sai	lagge.	§
		€ .»	. 6	PRINCI	PAL	Signature o	f the Student	

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017. MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH NER COLLEGE CSE A S CE L POZZ-702





Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref.	MLEW	/CSE	/FOC	/R20
LCI.	LILLERAN	/ COL	1	

Date:

STUDENT	FEED	BACK FORM	ON	CURRICULUM
---------	------	------------------	----	------------

N	ame:	ke Naga	POOPLER	.Course: .B-	Tech Dep	oartmei	nt:.c.se	
Y	ear/9	Semester :	111-1	Address7	Gunty Y	4.		
M	lobile	\$3000	0/336	E-mail .P.S.	Konuniu	3 @g	mail.com	
				rse on the foll				
1.	1 100	se give a radi	5	4	3	2	1	
			Extremely Good	Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor	
	, T	D				*	·	Rating
S.N	1	Particulars Learning valu broadening p	ue (in terms o	f skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	5
-	2			real life situat	ions			ч
	3		course conter					
	4		erage of cour					3 4
	5		ort required by					3
-	6			of project/ rep	ort			4
	7	Overall ratin						4
5)H rele 4. 5)	Highlevan Ho .90 Wh	y 4)r t w much of the to100% 4) hat is your opin	elevant syllabus was 75 to 90% nion about the adequate	g from the cou 3)partly releva taught in class 3) 50 to 75% e library holdi 3) inadequat	nt s? 6 2) 40 ngs for the ce 2) poor	to 50% course?	relevant in 1) less than 40%	ompletely rrelevant
5)) ver	y good	good	cribed course r 3) average	2) po		1) very poor	
7.	In ;		how much of	the total weig	htage of a co	ourse sł	nould the internal assessi	ment account
5	100		75%	3) 50%	2) 25	%	1) below 25%	
				WOM	PRIN MALINENI EN'S ENGIN LADIGUN	CIPA! LAKSHI VEERIN TA GL	MAIA Signature of the st IG COLLEGE JNTUR-17	=udent





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED	BACK FORM ON CURR	ICULUM
--------------	-------------------	--------

Nam	e. () Roh	Cou		ech po				
Vear	/Samastar	III – II. Ad	Idross =	Lunto	oarune. 1 Y	III:lusialer		
Mohi		U26 F	auress		0h!n?	119 @gmail · Coi	m	
					2011.11	un wymon wi	111	
1. Ple	ease give a rating	g of your course o	on the follo	wing:- 3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	2 Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value	(in terms of skill	ls, concept	s, knowled	ge, anal	ytical abilities, or		
	broadening pe	rspectives)						5
2		relevance to real l	ife situatio	ons				4
3 4	Depth of the co							5
5		t required by stud	lante					5
6		rning value of pro		rt				4
7	Overall rating	mig value of pro	уссту геро	10				5
3. You 5)Highly relevant 4. How 5). 90 t	or background for 4) release. We much of the synon 100% 4) 75 at is your opinion	or benefiting from evant 3)p yllabus was taugh 5 to 90% 3) 50	partly relevant t in class? I to 75%	se was 2 2) 40 to	l)mostl irre 50%	elevant	1) complet irreleva	
5) very	good 4) g		average	2) poo		 very poor uld the internal ass 	sesment ac	count
1013				0	00000	ara the meeriar ass	essment ac	Count
5) 1009	% 4) 75	5% 3) 5	0%	2) 25%		1) below 25%		
		W	MALIN DIMEN'S E	RINCIPA ENLAKEH NGINEERII SUNTA GI	L AAATAT NG CO UNTUR	Esignadi Rohind	studen	t



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW	/CSE	/FOC	/R20
-----------	------	------	------

Date:

STUDENT FEED	BACK FORM	ON CURRICULUM
STODENT LEED	DITOIT I OTTI	011 0011111

Namo		hansi co	urse Bto	ech Der	artmei	nt: CSE			
Name	Company	$\widehat{M}-\widehat{I}$ A	ddress	Guntur	· ·				
Year/	Semester :	21, 1/2		001:1:1	1021	o. Dogmail.	· Cos	n	
					K.C.C.II.	1. L. 9.			
1. Ple	ase give a ratin	g of your course			2	1			
		5	4	3	2	1 Extremely			
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor			
S.No.	Particulars							Ra	iting
1	Learning valu	e (in terms of sk	ills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or			
	broadening pe	erspectives)							5
2	Applicability/	relevance to rea	l life situat	ions					5
3	Depth of the c	ourse content							1
4	Extent of cove	erage of course						5	
5	5 Extent of effort required by students 3					5			
6	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report					4			
7	Overall rating								4
5) cha 3. Yo 5) High	ly 4)re	for benefiting frelevant	3)partly releva	rse was	2)mos	1) irrelevant tly relevant	1) c	completely rrelevant	
4. Ho 5).90	w much of the to 100% 4)?	syllabus was tau 75 to 90% 3)	ght in class 50 to 75%	s? 5 2) 40	to 50%	1) less than	40%		
5. W		nion about the lib adequate 3)	orary holdii inadequat	ngs for the c e 2) poor	ourse?	1) very poor			
		get the prescribe) good	ed course n 3) average		oor	1) very poor	n		
7. In		now much of the	total weigh	ntage of a co	urse sl	nould the internal		ment accor	unt
		75% 3	3) 50%	2) 25	%	1) below 25	%		
			1	March		Signature of	The	21.°	

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-17





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name	. V. Nagi	o soniya M-M	Course:BTe	<u></u>	partme	nt: CSE		
Year	Semester :	$\widehat{\Pi}$ - $\widehat{\Pi}$	Address	Guntur				
Mobi	le 63033	48783	E-mail .a.,	ga sone yo	2210	Qamail. Co	m	
		ting of your cou	v			U		
1. 110	ase give a ra	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning va	alue (in terms o g perspectives)	f skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities,	or	Y
2	Applicabilit	ty/relevance to	real life situat	ions				5
3	Depth of th	e course conten	t					5
4		overage of cours						4
5		fort required by						5
	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report					¥		
7	Overall rati	ng						5
3. You)Highl elevan 4. Ho	ur backgrour y 4) t w much of th	4) adequate ad for benefiting relevant se syllabus was 1 175 to 90%	3)partly relevar taught in class	rse was nt ?	2)most irr	ely relevant	1) comp irrele	
5. Wh		vinion about the 4) adequate	library holdin 3) inadequate	•	ourse?	1) very poor		
6. We 5) very		o get the prescr 4) good	ibed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poo	or	1) very po	or	
7. In y	our opinion,	, how much of the	ne total weigh	tage of a cou	ırse sho	ould the interna	ıl assessment	account
5) 100	% 4	ł) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%		1) below 2		
			MA MA	PRINCIE LINENI LAK S ENGINEE	SHMA!	V. Nogo Signature of COLLEGI FUR-17	a Sonn of the studen	1





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref.	MLEW	/CSE	/FOC	/R20
	I'LLIL VV	, 002	1 1	

Date:

STUDENT FEE	D BACK FORM	ON CURRICULUM
-------------	-------------	---------------

Name	. SK GO	USUCA CO	urse: Bs	Fech Dep	oartmei	nt: CSE		
Year/	Semester :	3rd sem 2	Address	vino bha	naga	x, Guntur		
Mobil	9676	27/617 E-	mailS	apiluo p	787 (Digmail.com		
MODII	e		the fall	J O		J		
1. Plea	ase give a ratin	g of your course	on the folio	3	2	1		
		5 Extremely				Extremely		
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor		
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning valu	e (in terms of sk	ills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		17805
.==	broadening p	erspectives)						14
2	Applicability,	relevance to rea	ıl life situati	ions				0
3	Depth of the	course content						3
4	Extent of cov	erage of course						4
5	5 Extent of effort required by students						7	
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report						5		
7	Overall rating	3						14
<u> </u>								
2. Th	e syllabus was		0) ! !	. 2) .	-11	1) irrelevant	1	
5) cha	llenging 4) adequate	inadequal	ate 2) di	411	1) irrelevant		
		C C: +: ~ f.	on the cou	NCO MOC				
		for benefiting fr elevant	3)partly	15E was	2)mos	tlv	1) compl	etely
5)High	,	elevant	releva	nt		relevant	irrelev	
4. Ho	w much of the	syllabus was tau						
	to100% 4)	75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40	to 50%	1) less than	40%	
5 W	hat is your oni	nion about the lil	brary holdii	ngs for the o	course?			
√5) exc		adequate 3) inadequat	e 2) poor		1) very poor		
) of the								
6. W	ere vou able to	get the prescrib	ed course n	naterials?				
) good	3) average	2) po	oor	 very poor 	•	
7. In	your opinion,	how much of the	total weigh	ntage of a co	ourse sl	nould the internal a	assessment	account
fo	r?	590						
5) 100	0% 4)	75%	3) 50%	2) 25	%	1) below 25°	70	
				A 1				1
				Low		Signature of	the Studer	u

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-12

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM								
Name	T.T	ndu	Course: .B.T.e	ch Der	partme	nt: CSE		
Year/	Semester :	3-9	Address	vernalip	wi			
Mobil	e. 9391	579547	E-mail tas	tikondai	ndu	agmail.c	om	
		ating of your co						
	O	5	4	3	2	1]	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particular	'S						Rating
1	Learning	value (in terms	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities,	or	5
		ng perspectives)						
2		lity/relevance to		ons				4
3 4		the course conte						3
5		coverage of cour effort required b						- 5
6				ort				3
7							7	
5) chal You)Highly elevant 4. How 5). 90 to 5. Wh 5) exce	or background by much of the solution of the s	4) adequate and for benefiting 4) relevant the syllabus was 4) 75 to 90% pinion about th 4) adequate to get the prese 4) good	3)partly relevan taught in class 3) 50 to 75% e library holdin 3) inadequate	rse was it ? 2) 40 t gs for the co 2) poor	o 50% ourse?	ly elevant	1) comple irrelev n 40%	
7. In y for 5 5) 100°)	n, how much of 4) 75%		VILLANDE DE PROPERTIES		1) below 25		
			MOV	MALINENI MEN'S ENGI JLL ADIGUN	NEERIN NTA G	UNTUR		





Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada : : Accredited by "NBA" for our CSE & ECE and NAAC A+ Grade

Pulladigunta (V) Vatticherukuru (M), Guntur (Dist.)

Ref: MLEW	/CSE/FOC/R20
-----------	--------------

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name	· V. Mana	asakaya c	ourse: Bil	ech Dep	artme	nt: CSt			
Year/	Semester : I	-II	.Address L	Zumavaji	pali	n Addarki	٠,		
Mobi	le 9347933	1890 1	E-mail V m	rchaude	ry U	elonoti@gm	ul ·c	om,	
1 Ple	ase give a ratin	g of your cours	e on the follo	owing:-					
1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:- 5 4 3 2 1									
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely			
		Good				Poor			
S.No.	Particulars								Rating
1		e (in terms of s	kills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, o	r		
1	broadening pe			100 N					2
2	W .	relevance to re	eal life situat	ions					4
3		course content)
4	Extent of coverage of course							4	
5	Extent of effor	rt required by	students						7
6	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report								5
7 Overall rating								4	
	2005 100								
2. Th	e syllabus was	1	2) !]	2) 4.	.11	1) irrelevai	at		
∨5) cha	llenging 4)) adequate	3) inadequa	ate 2) di	111	1) Il Televal	.11		
0 1/	1 1	for homofiting	from the cou	rco was					
5)High	ur background	elevant	3)partly	150 was	2)mos	tlv	1)	comple	telv
relevar		cievani	releva	nt		relevant		irreleva	
	w much of the	syllabus was ta							
	to100% 4)7		3) 50 to 75%		to 50%	1) less than	ո 40%		
5. W	hat is your opin	nion about the l	ibrary holdii	ngs for the c	ourse?				
5) exc			3) inadequat			1) very poor			
6 W	ere you able to	get the prescri	hed course n	naterials?					
) good	3) average		or	1) very poo	or		

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

5) 100%

4) 75%

3)50%

2) 25%

1) below 25%

MALINENI LAKSHMAI Signature of the WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLL WOMEN'S ENGINEERING GUNTING TO THE PULL ADIGUNTA GUNTING TO THE PULL ADIGUNTA GUNTA GUNTA

Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date: 6/11/2023.

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name	P- Sve	venthi cou	rse:B.	Tech De	oartme	nt: CSE.		
Year	Semester:	D/L Ac	dress	Colco	hi.			
Mobi	le 79063	<u> 42955</u> Е-п	nailS	ravant	d: P	elle 2600	gmy.	Com.
1. Ple	ase give a rating	g of your course o	n the follo	wing:-				
		5	4	3	2	1		
	*	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value broadening pe	- / A	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	r	5
2		relevance to real	life situati	ons				У
3	Depth of the co							5
4		rage of course						3
5		t required by stu	dents					2
6	Relevance/lea	rning value of pr	oject/ rep	ort				4
7	Overall rating							4
5) cha 3. Yo 5)Highl relevan	y 4)rel t	for benefiting from	partly relevar	rse was	2)most	1) irrelevan Ely relevant	t 1) comp irrele	
		yllabus was taug 5 to 90% 3) 5	ht in class 50 to 75%		to 50%	1) less than	40%	
		on about the libradequate 3) in		gs for the c 2) poor	ourse?	1) very poor		
	1777.	get the prescribed good 3)	l course m average	aterials? 2) po	or	1) very poor	r	
7. In for		ow much of the to	otal weigh	tage of a co	urse sh	ould the internal	assessment	account
5) 100		75% 3)	50%	2) 250	%	1) below 25	%	
		a a		- An		Signature of	the stude	tr. P



Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date: 3/3/2024

		8					
		STUDENT	FEED BAG	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM	
Name	k. Sun	cethe cou	rse: B. A	cek. Der	artme	nt: CS C	
Year/	Semester :	T D Ac	ldress	hunt	U.Y		2771
Mobil	95817	8963. E-n	nail	uncefl	000	kerle 560 gme	W. Con
		ng of your course o				~	
1. Pie	ase give a ratii	5	4	3	2	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particulars						Rating
1	Learning valu	ue (in terms of skil	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	
	broadening p						5
2	* *	/relevance to real	life situati	ons			4
3		course content					5
4		erage of course	donto				3
5 6		ort required by stu- earning value of pro		ort			17
7	Overall rating		oject/ rep	OIL			5
3. You 5) Highly relevant 4. How 5). 90 5. Whis 5) excelled	y 4)rott w much of the to 100% 4) hat is your opinellent 4) ere you able to	for benefiting from the levant 3) syllabus was taught 75 to 90% 3) 5 mion about the librated adequate 3) in get the prescribed	m the country relevant in class to 75% ary holdinadequate	nt? 2) 40 to ags for the case 2) poor materials?	2)most irr to 50% ourse?	tly 1) com relevant irrel 1) less than 40% 1) very poor	pletely evant
5) very7. In y	y good 4 your opinion, l) good 3)	average	2) po		very poor ould the internal assessment	nt account
for 5) 100		75% 3)	50%	2) 259	HNCI	PAL SHARLURE of the Stude	nt
			W	OMEN'S EL	VGINE	KSHMIRTANUTE OF THE STUDE ERING COLLEGI GUNTUR-17	

R

Cood.

R	ef: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20		Date:
	ALUM	NI FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM	
	Name: S. Suniy.	Address Department: C.S. & E-mail Sayyad Sumiyo 2	-
	Years/Semester:	Address	
	Mobile 630093 87492	E-mail Sayyad Sunniyo2	gnell. com
		dated enough? If no, then specify the techno	logies/topics to be adde
	to make it more updated		
	-a) YES B) NO		. 111
	Was the course content deliver	ery interesting? If no, specify the ways that	it could be more
	interesting		
	a) YES B) NO		
	Did the course curriculum int	tellectually stimulate you? Explain the ways	
	a) YES B) NO		
	4) Was the course curriculum fu	alfilling your expectations? If no, specify the	reasons
	a) YES B) NO		
	Have you learnt any new skil	ls in the due course of your study?(other tha	ın syllabus)specify them
	a) YES B) NO		
	6) Does the syllabus create any	interest to pursue post graduation /Researc	h in the particular topic
	a) YES B) NO		
P	Any suggestions:		

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEL Ref: MLEW/CSE/FOC/R20

Date:

CTHDENT	FFFD	BACK FORM	ON	CURRICULUM
SIUDENI	LLLD	DAGINION	OIL	COMMICOLONIA

Name: Ksaline Course: B. Tech Department: CSE									
Year/Semester: Tode Address Garapavaran, 6-121, RJG Colony									
Mobil	Mobile 9704972289 E-mail Kathisalin 376109 mail-com								
1. Please give a rating of your course on the following:- 5 4 3 2 1									
Extremely									
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor			
		9							
S.No.	Particulars								Rating
1			lls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	1		4
	broadening pe								1 1
2		relevance to real	life situat	ions					2
3		course content							-
4		erage of course	1						4
5		rt required by stu		out					-5
6		arning value of pr	oject/ rep	ort					4 -
7	Overall rating								19
2 Th	e syllabus was								
5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant									
<i>y</i> - <i>y</i>	,								
3. Yo	ur background	for benefiting fro	m the cou	rse was					
5)Highl)partly		2)mos	A 77.0	1)	comple	
relevan			relevai		iri	relevant		irrelev	ant
		syllabus was taug		3) 40	to 50%	1) less than	4.00%	v	
53.90	to100% 4)7	75 to 90% 3)	50 to 75%	2)40	10 30%	1) less than	10 70	1	
				C1	2				
		ion about the libi			ourse?	1) very poor			
5) exc	ellent 4)	adequate 3) i	nadequat	e 2) poor		1) very poor			
C TAI	abla ta	ast the preseribe	d cource n	antoriale?					
5) ver		get the prescribe good 3) average	2) po	or	1) very poo	r		
) VCI	y g000 1)	, good	Javorago	-) P ·		-, ,			
7. In	vour opinion, h	low much of the t	otal weigh	ntage of a co	urse sh	ould the internal	asses	ssment a	account
for				0					
5) 100		75% 3	50%	2) 25	%	1) below 25	%		
				- J		CIPAL			
				12	DOIL	UI.II.III			I

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSIMMALITE of the Stycket

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEG

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING GUNTUS-17

PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUS-17



S.No.

2

3


5

6

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20	Date: 0	DE	2010
Ren Madvijiiji odjila	Date. (6)	031	200

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Extremely

Extent of coverage of course

Extent of effort required by students

Relevance/learning value of project/report

Good	uoou	Moderate	1 001	Poor	
	P	articulars			Rating
Learning value (in terms of	skills, con	cepts, know	ledge,	analytical abilities, or	
broadening perspectives)			0 .	,	5
Applicability/relevance to r	eal life sit	uations			u
Depth of the course content					2

Extremely

7 Overall ra	ating	: is			5
2. The syllabus 5) challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgro 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	3)pa		[6] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
4. How much of 5}90 to100%			2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your sycellent		ne library holding 3) inadequate 2)		? [5]) very poor	
6. Were you abl	e to get the pres 4) good	cribed course ma 3) average	terials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
7. In your opinio	on, how much of	the total weighta	ge of a course s	hould the internal asse	essment

2) 25%

Register Number

account for?

4) 75%

3) 50%

5/100%

PRINCIPAL As Ratla. Vyshnavi

MALINENI LAISHING Signature of the Student

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING STUDIES STUDIES

[5]


1) below 25%

AUTONOMOUS MLEW

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Dof.	NAT TOTAL	/IT	/EOC	/D20
Rel.	MLEW	/11	/ ruc	/ KZU

Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
	P	articulars			Ra
lue (in terms of	skills, con	cepts, know	ledge,	analytical abilities, or	

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	-
4	Extent of coverage of course	u
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5


7 Overall	rating				5
2. The syllabus 5) challenging		3) inadequa	te 2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefi 4)relevan	t 3	ourse was)partly elevant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)complete Irrelevant
4. How much of 5}90 to100%				[5] 0% 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your		the library hold 3) inadequate		urse? [5] 1) very poor	
6. Were you ab 5) very good	ole to get the pre 4) good	escribed course 3) average	materials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
7. In your opin	ion, how much o	of the total weig	htage of a cour	se should the internal as	sessment
account for? 5) 100%		3) 50%	2) 25%	[5] 1) below 25%	
		1	A -DINCIPA	1) below 25%	Sindhu

Register Number 20KE | AI202 MALINE Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	ī
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	-
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus 5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgreen 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	3)p	se was artly evant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completel Irrelevant
4. How much o 5390 to100%				[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your syexcellent	opinion about the 4) adequate	ne library holdin 3) inadequate 2	gs for the course) poor 1	? [5]) very poor	
6. Were you ab	le to get the pres 4) good	cribed course ma 3) average	aterials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
7. In your opini	on, how much of	the total weight	age of a course s	hould the internal asse	ssment
account for?		3) 50%	2) 25%	[5] 1) below 25%	
					_

Register Number

20KE1A1203


Bapouthu. Poojitha

Rignature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Register Number

20KE1A1204

Date: 10/05/2029

Signature of the Student

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Extremely	Cood	Madausta	D	Extremely
Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor


S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
-	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	-
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus 5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[3] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefit 4)relevant	71	rse was partly evant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
4. How much o 5)90 to100%		as taught in class 3) 50 to 75%		[3] 1) less than 40%	6
5. What is your sy excellent	opinion about t 4) adequate	he library holdin 3) inadequate 2		? [<i>5</i>]) very poor	
6. Were you ab	le to get the pres 4) good	scribed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
7. In your opini account for?		f the total weight	age of a course s	hould the internal a	ssessment
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	[<i>5</i>] 1) below 25%	
			PRINCIPAL	3himaneni k	usuma priya



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Date: 10/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM


Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
	:F	Pa	articulars			
alı	e (in terms of	skills cond	cents know	ledge	analytical abili	tion or


S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	12
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus 5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgro 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	3)p		2)mostly irrelevant	1)completel
4. How much of 5)90 to100%	f the syllabus was 4)75 to 90%	s taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about th 4) adequate 3	e library holding 3) inadequate 2)	gs for the course poor 1	? [<i>5</i>]) very poor	
6. Were you abl	le to get the preso 4) good	cribed course ma 3) average	iterials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
				hould the internal asses	sment
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

Register Number 20 KEIAI205



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Date: 10/05/2029

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	L
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	.5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	6


 The syllabus challenging 		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
<i>y</i>) «	ry adequate	5) madequate	2) ddii	1) If televant	
3. Your backgroup 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefit 4)relevant	ing from the cours 3)pa relev	rtly	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
		as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your sy excellent	opinion about t 4) adequate	he library holding: 3) inadequate 2)	s for the course poor 1	? [5]) very poor	
6. Were you ab	le to get the pres	scribed course mat	terials?	[5]	
5) very good	4) good		2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your opini account for?	on, how much o	f the total weighta	ge of a course s	hould the internal asses	ssment
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) holow 2504	
			1 SINCIPA	Cheeticala Signature of the	sou deepika
Register Number	0	C Am	ATINENI LAKE	Signature of the	Student

Register Number 20KE/A1206



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

broadening perspectives)

Depth of the course content

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

S.No.

1

3

Date: 10/05/2014

Rating

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor
-------------------	------	----------	------	-------------------

Particulars

Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or

	Depui of the course	Concent				1
4	Extent of coverage	of course			9.8	2
5	Extent of effort req	uired by studen	ts			5
6	Relevance/learning	g value of projec	t/ report			5
7	Overall rating					5
,5) ch	he syllabus was allenging 4) adequ our background for b		,		[5] 1) irrelevant [5] 2)mostly	1)completely
relev		levant	relevant		irrelevant	Irrelevant
	ow much of the syllal to100% 4)75 to 9			40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
	/hat is your opinion a cellent 4) adequ	bout the library			? [5]) very poor	

[5] 1) very poor 5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for? 1) below 25% 5) 100%

4) 75%

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials?

3)50%

2) 25%

Register Number


20K E1A 207

Chennopalli Sruthi Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20	Date:	10	105/2024
			(

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	- Auting
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	10
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	_5
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	-

2. The syllabus 5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	3)p	se was artly want	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
4. How much o 5)90 to100%	f the syllabus wa 4)75 to 90%	s taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your sy excellent	opinion about th 4) adequate 3	ne library holding 3) inadequate 2)		? [5]) very poor	
6. Were you ab	le to get the preso 4) good	cribed course ma 3) average	iterials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
7. In your opini	on, how much of	the total weighta	age of a course s	hould the internal asse	ssment
account for? 5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	[<i>5</i>] 1) below 25%	

Register Number

20KE1A1208




Dabbakuti Naga Usha Sri Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Rof.	MLEW	/IT	/EOC	/D20
Rei.	MILEVV	/11	/ FUL	KZU

Date: 10/05/2014

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	-

2. The syllabus 5) challenging	s was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	[3] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgrous 5) Highly relevant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	3)]	rse was partly evant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
4. How much o 5)90 to100%	f the syllabus wa 4)75 to 90%	s taught in class 3) 50 to 75%	? 2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about th 4) adequate 3	ne library holdin 3) inadequate 2		? [5]) very poor	
6. Were you abl	le to get the pres 4) good	cribed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
7. In your opini	on, how much of	the total weight	tage of a course s	hould the internal asse	essment
account for? 5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	[<i>5</i>] 1) below 25%	

Register Number 2016/12/09 Darsi Lakshi hema Susmitha

PRINCIPAL Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMA GOLLEGE

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE


WOMEN'S ENGINEERING SUNTUR-522017,

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE







Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

S.No.

Date: 10/05/2024

Rating

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

Particulars

1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, of broadening perspectives)	or 5			
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	L			
3					
4	Extent of coverage of course				
5					
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5			
7	Overall rating	5			
	he syllabus was [5] allenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant				
3. Yo	our background for benefiting from the course was $[\ \delta\]$ ghly 4)relevant 3)partly 2)mostly	1)completely			

3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	ng from the cours 3)pa relev	rtly	[δ] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)complet Irrelevant
4. How much o 5)90 to100%	of the syllabus wa 4)75 to 90%	s taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your 5) excellent		ne library holding 3) inadequate 2)		? [5]) very poor	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials? [5]
5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?

[5]
5)100%
4)75%
3)50%
2)25%
1) below 25%

Register Number

20KELA1210

TAM MOUNTAINE


Dasari Lakshmi Sowianya

Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

20KE/A/2// Register Number Date: 60/05/2014

Signature of the Student

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor


S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

	The syllabus hallenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant		
5)H	Your backgro lighly evant	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	7.	rse was artly evant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant	
4. I 5)90	How much of 0 to100%	f the syllabus wa 4)75 to 90%	s taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%		
			ne library holding 3) inadequate 2)		? [5]) very poor		
		e to get the pres 4) good	cribed course ma 3) average	aterials? 2) poor	-		
	account for?	on, how much of		age of a course s	hould the internal asse [5] 1) below 25%	essment	
	FIA 121		and the second s	MCIPAL	D.Chandrika	venkada sa	Lithya



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

broadening perspectives)

Depth of the course content

Extent of coverage of course

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

S.No.

1

2

3

4

Date: 10/05/2024

Rating

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
			articulars			
Learning va	lue (in terms of	skills, con	cepts, know	ledge,	analytical abilities	s, or

-							
	5	Extent o		5			
	6	Relevano	ce/learning valu	e of project/ repor	rt		5
	7	Overall r	ating				5
		ne syllabus allenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
(. Yo 5)Hig releva	hly	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	71		[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
				as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5	. Wl 5) exc	hat is your ellent	opinion about t 4) adequate	he library holding 3) inadequate 2)	s for the course poor 1	? [5]) very poor	
6	. We	ere you ab	le to get the pres	scribed course ma	terials?	[5]	

In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for? [5]5)100% 4) 75% 3)50% 2) 25%

5) very good

4) good

3) average

2) poor

1) below 25%

1) very poor

20KE[A12/2 Register Number

D. Vasavi Ni Larika

Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

(Accredited by NACC A⁺ Grade | Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.



Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Date: 10/05/2011

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires


Extremely Good		Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
		P	articulars		*		F
al	ue (in terms of	skills, con	cepts, know	ledge.	analytical ab	ilities or	1

S.No.	Particulars							
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Rating						
2	plicability/relevance to real life situations							
	Depth of the course content	-						
	Extent of coverage of course							
5	Extent of effort required by students							
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report							
	Overall rating	2						

)
2. The syllabus		3) inadequate	2) dull	[3] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefit 4)relevan	71	se was artly vant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completel Irrelevant
4. How much o 5)90 to100%	f the syllabus wa 4)75 to 90%	as taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about t 4) adequate	he library holding 3) inadequate 2)		? [5]) very poor	
6. Were you ab 5) very good	le to get the pres 4) good	scribed course ma 3) average	terials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
account for?		3) 50%	2) 25%	hould the internal ass [5] 1) below 25%	
20 KE[AB]3 Register Number		And	PRINCIPAL INENI LAKSHI IS ENGINEERII IS ENGINEERII IS IGUNTA, GUN	Dondetisa MAIA Signature of t	Sri Ja he Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

S.No.

1

2 3

4

Date: 0/05/2024

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course

Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor	
		articulars			Rating
Learning value (in terms of sk broadening perspectives)	ills, con	cepts, know	ledge,	analytical abilities, or	-

5	Extent of	f effort required	by students			5
6	Relevano	ce/learning value	e of project/ repo	rt		5
7	Overall r	ating				5
	he syllabus allenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	,
3. Yo 5)Hiş relev	ghly	ound for benefiti 4)relevant	71	se was artly vant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
			s taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%		[5] 1) less than 40%	
			ne library holding 3) inadequate 2)		e? [5] I) very poor	
		le to get the pres 4) good	cribed course ma 3) average		[5] 1) very poor	
ac	count for?	on, how much of	f the total weighta	age of a course s	should the internal asse	essment
5)10	0%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

20KEIA1214 Register Number

THING COTTECE


E. pusitha

Signature of the Student



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Register Number

Date: 0/05/2014

Signature of the Student

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely
doou				Poor


S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	u
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

	8				
2. The syllabus 5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	[5] 1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	round for benefi 4)relevan	71	rse was partly evant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
		as taught in class 3) 50 to 75%		[5] 1) less than 40%	
5. What is you	r opinion about 4) adequate	the library holdin 3) inadequate 2		e? [5] 1) very poor	
6. Were you al あyvery good	ole to get the pre 4) good	escribed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poor	[5] 1) very poor	
account for?				should the internal ass	essment
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	
20 KE [A [2]	5		1	- E. Keerthi	reddy



WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)





Department of Information Technology

Ref: MLEW/IT/FOC/R20

Register Number

Date: 10/05/2029

Signature of the Student

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Feedback on Syllabus of the Students Collected to relevant questionnaires

Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor
	P	articulars		

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
1	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	-
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus	was			[5]	
5) challenging	4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefit 4)relevant		se was artly vant	[5] 2)mostly irrelevant	1)completely Irrelevant
4. How much o	f the syllabus wa	as taught in class?		[5]	
5)90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
5) excellent	4) adequate		poor 1) very poor	
5) very good		scribed course ma 3) average		[5] 1) very poor	
account for?				hould the internal asso	essment
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	
20 KEI A [216	5		IAG.	G. Srav	ani

MALINE ADIGUNIA GUNIU

ESTD : 2008

MALINEN LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: M	LEW/CSE-DS,F	FOC/R16					Date:	28 1	2 2024.
				CK FORM O					
Name	: Chepra	sanno co	urse:	Dep	oartme:	nt: Dato	Scle	nce-	P 8
Mobi	le. 13729	73349 E	mail	asann	anec	Lolychae	ganti	5009	zmail.c
		g of your course				0	0		V
		5	4	3	2	1			
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor	y ,		
CN									
S.No.	Particulars								Rating
1	broadening pe				ge, ana	lytical abilitie	es, or		Good
2		relevance to rea	l life situati	ions					Good
3	Depth of the co			1					Good
4	Extent of cove								Good
5.		t required by st							Good
6		rning value of p	roject/ rep	ort					Good
7	Overall rating								Cood
	syllabus was	/				*			1 300
B.5			3) inadequa		11	1) irrele	evant		
3. You	ır background f	or benefiting fro	om the cou	rse was					
5)Highl		evant 3	3)partly		2)most	ly	1)	comple	etely
relevan		11-1	relevar	ıt	irr	elevant		irreleva	
5). 90 1	to100% 437	yllabus was tau 5 to 90% 3)	ght in class 50 to 75%	? 2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less t	han 40%	ò	
5. Wh	at is your opini	on about the lib	rary holdin	gs for the co	ourse?				
5) exce	ellent 4) a	dequate 3)	inadequate	2) poor		1) very po	or		
6. We 5) very	re you able to g	et the prescribe good	d course m 3) average	aterials? 2) pod	or	1) very	noor		
7. In y		w much of the t							00
			veight	uge of a cot	n se sil(Juiu the inter	nai asse:	ssment a	ccount
5) 100	% 4) 7	3)) 50%	2) 25%	6	1) below	25%		

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

ESTD : 2008

MALINEN. AKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigu : a (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: M	LEW/CSE-DS,	FOC/R16				Date:	2/01/2	4
							5 - 33/1	/
		STUDE	ENT FEED BA		N CUR	RICULUM		
N	V 12.66	140	Bi	Tech		0-1-0:		
						nt: Data &ien co		
Year	Semester :	3-2	Address	•••••	•••••			
Mobi	le915755	5789	E-mail . YPu	shya200	2020	mail:com	*	
1. Ple	ase give a ratin	g of your cour	rse on the follo	wing:-	U			
		5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		
		Good				Poor		
S.No.	Particulars							Datin
1	Learning valu	e (in terms of	skills, concep	ts. knowled	ge. ana	lytical abilities, or		Ratin
	broadening pe	erspectives)			50, 4114.	ty creat dollities, or		4
2	Applicability/			ons				4
3	Depth of the c							3
5.	Extent of cove							5
6	Extent of effor							5
7	Relevance/lea Overall rating		f project/ rep	ort			-	5
	Overall rating						A. Taker	5
2. The	e syllabus was							
	The same of the sa	adequate	3) inadequa	te 2) du	ll	1) irrelevant		
2 V	1 1 2							
3. You 5)Highl	ar background f	for benefiting			5.6			
elevan		levant	3)partly relevan		2)most			- T
	w much of the s	vllabus was ta	reievan aught in class?)	ırr	elevant	irreleva	nt
5). 90 t	10100% 437	5 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less than 40%	6	
5. Wh	at is your opini	on about the l	library holdin	gs for the co	urco?			
5) exce	ellent 4) a	idequate :	3) inadequate	2) poor	rui se:	1) very poor		
6 Ma	ro wou oblata							
5) very	re you able to g	et the prescri good	bed course mage 3) average			13		
	• •			2) poo		1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinion, ho	w much of th	e total weight	age of a cou	rse sho	ould the internal asse	essment ac	count
for? 5) 100°							osment at	count
-) 100	X) /	370	3) 50%	2) 25%	b	1) below 25%		

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULL ADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

ESTD: 2008

MALINEN LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigum a (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: M	ILEW/CSE-DS	S.FOC/R16				Date	-12/14
		,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				Date.	o you m
		STUDE	ENT FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM	
Nam	e: G · R 0	imya	Course:C5	E-05 Der	oartme:	nt: Dala 50 ieno	e
Year	/Semester :	3-2	Address				
Mobi	le 93463	51009	E-mail9.0	rantiar	amy	a9109mail.	are)
		ng of your cour	•				
	-	5	4	3	2	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particulars						Rating
1	Learning val	ue (in terms of perspectives)	skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	Ч
2	Applicability	/relevance to r	eal life situati	ons			
3	Depth of the	course content					5 5 7 7 7
4		erage of cours					- Lu
5.		ort required by					4
6		earning value o	f project/ rep	ort			5
7	Overall ratin	g					II.
5) cha		adequate			11	1) irrelevant	
3. Yo	ur background	for benefiting		se was			
5)Highl relevan		elevant	3)partly		2)most	,	completely
		syllabus was t	relevan	t 2	irr	elevant	irrelevant
5). 90	to100% 4	75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less than 40%	
5. Wh 5) exce	nat is your opin ellent 4)	nion about the adequate	library holdin 3) inadequate		ourse?	1) very poor	
6. We 5) very	ere you able to y good 4	get the prescri good	bed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poo	or	1) very poor	
7. In y	your opinion, l	now much of th	e total weight	age of a cou	ırse sho	ould the internal asses	sment account
5) 100	•	75%	3) 50%	2) 25%		1) below 25%	

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)
Pulladigunta (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS/FOC/R16	Date	: 2	124 24

		STUDE	NT FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		*
Name	N.V.L. D	ras banth?	Course: D4	Dep	oartmei	nt: DS-B		
Year	Semester : 3	-2	.AddressC	nuntur				
		78346 I					mail-co	γ
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
1. Fie	ase give a rati	ng of your cours	4	3	2	1	7	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
0.11			h.					
S.No.	Particulars	<i>C</i>	1 .11					Rating
1.		ue (in terms of s perspectives)	skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities,	or	4
2	Applicability	/relevance to re	al life situati	ons		1	45 F	5
3	Depth of the	course content						. 4
4	Extent of cov	erage of course		h 1:			1	4
5	Extent of effo	ort required by s	students					4
6	Relevance/le	earning value of	project/ rep	ort		27		5
7	Overall ratin	g						4
5) cha 3. You Highl elevan 4. Ho 5). 90	ur background y 4)r t w much of the to100% 4)	Yadequate I for benefiting felevant syllabus was ta 75 to 90% nion about the li	3)partly relevan ught in class 3) 50 to 75%	rse was at ? 2) 40 t	2)most irr 50 50%	elevant	1) cor irre an 40%	npletely elevant
5) very7. In yourfor	y good A your opinion, l ?	get the prescrib good now much of the	3) average	2) po		1) very po		ent account
5) 100	% 4)	75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	6	1) below 2	25%	

Signature of the PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

OMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

ESTD: 2008

MALINEN LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE, GUNTUR

Approved by AICTE, New Delhi & Affiliated to JNTUK, Kakinada (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigumaa (Vil), Vatticherukuru (Md), Prathipadu Road, Guntur – 522 017 A.P.

DEPARTMENT OF CSE - DATA SCIENCE

Ref: MLEW/CSE-DS,FOC/R16	Date: 28	19	91
7	Date. 28 []	121	2

		STUD	ENT FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM	
Name	: 1.	Vavya	Course:D	Der	oartme:	nt: CSE - DS	
Year/	'Semester	3 - 2	Address ./	txundel	et -	1st line, Gruntus	
		195188					*1
		rating of your co				U	
		5 🖋	4	3	2	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particula	rs					Ratir
1	Learning	value (in terms o	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	
2		ng perspectives)	11:6				4
3		lity/relevance to the course conte		ions		-	5
4		coverage of cour					5
5.		effort required b					
6		e/learning value		ort			4
7	Overall ra		or project/ rep	OIT			5
5) chal 3. You Highlighlelevan 4. Hou 5). 90 to	ur backgro y t w much of to100%	4) adequate und for benefitin 4) relevant the syllabus was	ng from the count 3)partly relevant taught in class 3) 50 to 75%	rse was at ? 2) 40 t	2)most irr to 50%	relevant irrelev	
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your (ellent	opinion about the 4) adequate	e library holdin 3) inadequate	gs for the co 2) poor	ourse?	1) very poor	
5) very	good	e to get the presc 4) good	3) average	2) poo		1) very poor	
7. In y for	our opinio	on, how much of	the total weight	tage of a cou	ırse sh	ould the internal assessment	account
5) 100		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	6	1) below 25%	

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India



ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/	FOC/R20
------------------	---------

Date: 24/3/2024

		STUDEN	T FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
Nam	e. Theorem	uahan'ni ca	urea. B-	techno	artmo	nt: AI-De		
Year	/Semester:	2005	Address	tim(radir	3 mil	a guntur	. ~	
Mobi	le63.05	888747 E	-mail	bosya c	2226	agmail.	com.	
1. Ple	ease give a ratii	ng of your course	on the foll	owing: -				
		5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		
		Good	dood	Moderate	1 001	Poor		
S. No.	Particulars				-,			
1		in tarms of all	illa sansan	to los estals d		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Ratin
1	broadening p	erspectives)	ins, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		5
2		relevance to rea	l life situati	ions				-
3		course content	Tire Break	10113				5
4		erage of course					5	9
5		rt required by st	udents					3 5
6	Relevance/le	arning value of p	roject/ rep	ort				4
7	Overall rating					The state of the s		ч
_					4.1			
	e syllabus was					V		
) chai	llenging 4)	adequate 3	3) inadequa	te 2) du	11	irrelevant		
3 Vo	ir background	for honofiting fu	41					
5)Highly	v 4)re	for benefiting fro levant 3	om the cour ()partly		2)mostl		X	. 1
relevan			relevan			elevant	complet irreleva	9
4. Ho	w much of the	syllabus was taug	ght in class?	?			micieva	110
5). 90 t	to100% 4)7	'5 to 90% 3)	50 to 75%	2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less than 40	%	
5. Wh	at is your opin	ion about the libi	rary holdin	gs for the co	urse?			
5) exce	ellent 4)	adequate 3) i	inadequate	2) poor		1) very poor		
6 Ma	vo vou ablata a		•					
5) very	re you able to §	get the prescribed good 3	d course ma) average			43		
of very	g000 +)	good 3	J average	2) poo	r	1) very poor		
7. In y for?	our opinion, h	ow much of the t	otal weight	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal ass	essment ac	count
5) 1009		75% 3)	50%	2) 25%)	1) below 25%		
						1. Pooryalio	u Na)	

Signature of the Student


NAUNENI LAKSHMAIAH

MAUNENI LAKSHMA COLLEGE

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24 03

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Nagaveni	Course: B-Tech. Department: ATADS
Year/Semester: 2-2	Address Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile 9392651484	E-mail mallisettynagaveni@amail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -


5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Ratine
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Ч
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	ч
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	12
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	

/	Overall ra	iting				5
	e syllabus v llenging	was 4} adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Yo	ur backgro	und for benefitin	g from the course	was		
5)Highl relevan	ly it	4)relevant the syllabus was	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	/ 1) levant	completely irrelevant
		4)75 to 90%		2) 40 to 50%	1) loss than 400/	
	nat is your o		e library holdings	for the course?	1) less than 40%	
20, 0,10		1) adequate	3) madequate	2) poor	1) very poor	
6. We 5) very	ere you able y good	e to get the prescr 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In y	your opinio ?	n, how much of t	he total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal asses	ssment account
5) 100	%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

M. Magareni Signature of the Student

1) below 25%





(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/03/2024

Name	D.Nogl	akshine Alekt	T FEED BA	ech	N CUR	RICULUM	
Year	/Semester:	Q-a	Address. P.	ulladigar	Ha, G	zontur	
Mobi	le 83741191	51 E	-mail . <u>~0.9</u>	alaushm?	alekh	ya 2 @gmail-c	on
l. Ple	ase give a ratin	g of your course	on the foll	owing: -			
		5	4	3	2	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor	
S. No.	Particulars						-
1	Learning value		tills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	14
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

	/ Overall I	ating				5
	2. The syllabus5) challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	1, .
	3. Your backgro 5)Highly relevant	ound for benefitir 4)relevant	ng from the course 3)partly relevant	2)mostly	-,	
		f the syllabus was 4)75 to 90%	taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	levant 1) less than 40%	irrelevant
,	5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about th 4) adequate	e library holdings 3) inadequate	for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor	
v	6. Were you abl	e to get the preso 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
	7. In your opinio	on, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal asse:	ssment account
4	for? 5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

MAUNENI LAKSUMATAH

MAUNENI LAKSUMATAH

WOMEN'S ENGINGERING COLUEGE

WOMEN'S ENGINGERING COLUEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUMAN 2017



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)
(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India



Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24-03-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: G. MADHRUI	Course: BIECH. Department: ALSOS
Year/Semester: 2-2	Address Ruladizunta Guntur
Mobile 7569644005	E-mail: Madhu 130213. Qg mail: com
1. Please give a rating of your cou	rse on the following: -

Good

5 Extremely

Good

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	н
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

Moderate Poor

5	Extent of	effort required b	y students			5
6	Relevance	e/learning value	of project/report			5
7	Overall ra	ating				5
	e syllabus v llenging	was _4} adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. You	ur backgro	und for benefitin	g from the course	was		
5)Highl elevan 4. Ho	y t w much of	4)relevant the syllabus was	3)partly relevant taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2)mostl irro	levant irreleva	
	at is your e		e library holdings 3} inadequate		1) very poor	
6. We	re you able	e to get the preso	ribed course mate	rials?		
	y good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In y	your opinic ?	on, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course sho	uld the internal assessment ac	count
5) 100		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

G. Madhavi Signature of the Student

Extremely

Poor

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

BULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-JAZZETTI



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24 /03/2024

STUDENT	FEED	BACK FORM	1 ON	CURRICULUM
				COLUMN

Nam	ie:Kana.	m Voralaksh	Course:B	ec.h Dej	oartme	nt: AT&DS	
		- 2-2					
Mob	ile 9110	358.551	F-mail love	hairell		1m.00 g.mail	
					J.KCXI.II.	im Da J. mail	com
1. PI	ease give a	rating of your co					
		Extremely	, 4	3	2	Extremely	
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor	
S. No.		2100					Ratin
1	Learning	g value (in terms o	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	
2		ing perspectives)	1116				4
3		ility/relevance to		ons			4 =
4		the course conter f coverage of cour				4-4-4	5
5		f effort required by					<u>3</u>
6	Relevano	ce/learning value	of project / you				
7	Overall r	ating	or project/ rep	ort			5
	o return	ating					5
2. The	e syllabus	was					
5) chal	llenging	4) adequate	3) inadequa	te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant	
		**				12.4	
3. You	ur backgro	und for benefiting		se was			
5)Highly relevant		4)relevant	3)partly		?)mostl		1) completely
		the syllabus was	relevan	t	irre	elevant	irrelevant
5). 90 1	to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%		500/	1) long th 4	00/
1-	- C. T	,	5) 50 15 / 5 / 6	2) 40 0	3 30 70	1) less than 4	0%
5. Wh	at is vour	opinion about the	library haldin	za familia	0		
5) exce	ellent	4) adequate	3) inadequate	gs for the co	urse?	1)	
		-)oquuec	y) madequate	2) poor		1) very poor	
6. We	re you able	e to get the prescr	ibed course m	terials?			
5) very	good	4) good	3) average		r	1) very poor	
			,	-) poo	•	1) very poor	
7. In y	our opinic	on, how much of th	ne total weight	age of a cour	rse sho	uld the internal as	sassment agent
						and the internal ds	sessment account
5) 1009	17.	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%			

Varalaks hm. K Signature of the Stude it

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAINH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE BULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/03/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: AVUIA · Neelima	Course: B-TECH. Department: AT&DS
Year/Semester: 🞝 🎝	Address Pulladigunta, Guntus
Mobile 8919925259	E-mail avulancelima 60@9mail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

Particulars	Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
Depth of the course content	
Extent of coverage of course	
	9
	5
Overall rating	9
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report

	0				3
2. The syllabus 5) challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgro	ound for benefitin	ng from the course	was		
5)Highly relevant	4)relevant f the syllabus was	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	/ 1) levant	completely irrelevant
5). 90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about the	e library holdings f 3) inadequate 2	or the course? 2) poor	1) very poor	
6. Were you ab	le to get the presc	ribed course mater 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your opini for?	on, how much of t	the total weightage	of a course shou	ıld the internal asses	sment account
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	


A·Neclima
Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:	24-03-2023
-------	------------

		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
Name	e. K. Vars	hinicou	rse: B	tech Der	partme	nt: ATEDS		
Year	/Semester:	2-2A	ddress	Pulladiguni	a (v)	, Guntor		
Mobi	le 868895	7997 E-n	nail wax	shavarsha	544	3@gmail.Co	m	
		g of your course o						
120 2000	8	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		
		Good				Poor		
S. No.	Particulars		***************************************					Rating
1	Learning valu	e (in terms of skil	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, o	r	Rating
į	broadening pe	erspectives)				,,	•	5
2		relevance to real	life situati	ons		и Т		4
3		ourse content						455453
4		erage of course						5
5		rt required by stud						4
6		arning value of pro	oject/ repo	ort				5
7	Overall rating							3
5) chal 3. You 5)Highly relevant 4. Hov	y 4)rel t w much of the s	for benefiting from levant 3) yllabus was taugh	partly relevan it in class?	se was	2)most irre	elevant	1) comple irreleva	-
5J. 90 t	:0100% 4)7	5 to 90% 3) 5	0 to 75%	2) 40 t	0 50%	1) less than	40%	
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your opini llent 4) a	on about the libra adequate 3) in	ry holding adequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor		
6. We	re you able to g	et the prescribed	course ma	aterials?				
5) very	good 4)	good 3)	average	2) poo	r	1) very poor	• ?	
7. In y for?	our opinion, ho	ow much of the tot	tal weight	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal a	assessment ac	count
5) 1009	% 4) 7	3)5	50%	2) 25%)	1) below 259	%	
				1:NGL	Si Si	R. Vars gnature of the St	udent	

DIGUNTA GUNTUR-522013.



5) 100%

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24 3 2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

				CK FURM U					
Nam	ie: Kodovali f	Bala TriPuva SumCou	irse: B.Te	ch De	partme	nt: AI&DS			
Year	/Semester:	2−2 A	ddress	Hadgun	la G	ientur			
		1834 E-r							
		g of your course o							
	0	5	4	3	2	1			
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor			
S. No.	Particulars								Rating
1	Learning value	e (in terms of skil	ls, concept	s, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	ž		Rating
	broadening pe	rspectives)				<i>y</i>			5
2	Applicability/1	relevance to real l	life situatio	ons		20			5
3	Depth of the co								4
4	Extent of cover								3
5	Extent of effor	t required by stud	dents						5
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report						4			
7	Overall rating								4
2 Th	e syllabus was								
		adequate 3)	inadequat	te 2) du	13	1) ir elevant			
	0 0	-,	maacquat	.c 2) uu	u	1) ir elevant			
3. Yo	ur background fo	or benefiting fron	n the cours	se was					
5)Highl	y 4)rele		partly		e)mostl	y	1)	complet	elv
relevan		11 1	relevant		irre	elevant		irreleva	
5) 90	to 100% 4)75	llabus was taugh	it in class?			24 Car 16			
0). 70	1010070 -5773	10 90% 3) 3	0 to 75%	2) 40 to	50%	1) less than 4	0%		
5 Wh	at is your opinio	n about the libra		ć .,					
5) exce	ellent 4) ac	dequate 3) in	ry noiding adequate	s for the co	urse?	1)			
-	-,	in (equate	aucquate	2) poor		1) very poor			
6. We	re you able to ge	t the prescribed	course ma	terials?					
5) very	good 4) g		average	2) poo	r	1) very poor			
		×	Ü						
7. In y	our opinion, how	w much of the tot	al weighta	ge of a cour	rse sho	uld the internal as	sess	ment ac	Count
for	/					and the	5055	ciit all	June

Kodavali. Bala Trifura Surrathi Signature of the Student

1) below 25%

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.

2) 25%

3)50%



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24-3-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM	STUDENT	FEED	BACK FORM O	N CURRICULUM
--------------------------------------	---------	-------------	-------------	--------------

Name	e: Y. Jy	othi Cou	rse: Z	Tech Der	oartme	nt: AZ&DS			
Year	/Semester:	2-2 A	ddress	ulladige	unta	Gunter			
Mobi	le 77804	2-2 Ac 36649 E-r	nail 46	churii	yoth	103@9ma	11.00	3	
		g of your course o			Ü				
1. 110	ase give a ratin	5	4	3	2	1	7		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate		Extremely	1		
		Good	dood	Moderate	1001	Poor			
S. No.	Particulars				<u> </u>		-		D
1		e (in terms of skil	ls concen	ts knowled	ge ana	lytical abilities	or		Ratin
	broadening pe	erspectives)	із, сопсер	cs, Knowied	ge, ana	lytical abilities,	or		5
2		relevance to real	life situati	ons		H E			5
3	Depth of the c	ourse content							4
4		rage of course							
5		t required by stud					7		35
6		rning value of pro	oject/ repo	ort					4
7	Overall rating								4
5) chal 3. You 5)Highly relevant	ur background f y 4)rel	or benefiting from	partly relevan	se was	2)mostl	1) irreleva y elevant		comple irreleva	11.00
5). 90 t	0100% 4}7	5 to 90% 3) 5	0 to 75%	2) 40 to	o 50%	1) less than	n 40%		
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your opinio llent 4) a	on about the libra dequate 3) in	ry holding adequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor			
5) very	good 4) g		average	2) poo		1) very poo			
101:		w much of the tot		age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal	asses	sment ac	count
5) 1009	% 4) 7.	5% 3) 5	50%	2) 25%		1) below 25	5%		
						Y Toothi			

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMATAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-5220 7.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEE	D BACK FORM	ON CURRICULUM
-------------	-------------	---------------

Name: M. Anusha	Course: 8-Tech Department: AIROS
Year/Semester: 2-2	Address Pullud quest suntur
	E-mail anuxhamadusu122@ gmail com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	u
3	Depth of the course content	E
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	u
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	3

, overun i	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
2. The syllabus v5) challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgro	und for benefitin	g from the course	was		
	4)relevant	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	1) comp levant irrele	~
	4)75 to 90%		2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
5. What is your o	ppinion about the 4) adequate	e library holdings 3) inadequate		1) very poor	
6. Were you able 5) very good	e to get the prescr 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your opinion for?	n, how much of t	he total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal assessment	account
5) 100%	4) 75%	3)50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S EMBINEETING COLLEGE
PULLADIS INTA WONTERS



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM C	N CUR	RICULUM			
Nam	e:T. Teias	കൂ <mark>Cou</mark>	rse: B:T	ech Dei	partme	nt: ATE OS			
) - 2							
Mobi	18/F3F91	7219 E-r	nail 1	:C5 (Q)	omo	1.000			
					7				
I. FIE	ase give a rati	ng of your course o	4	3	2	1	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor			
C Na	Dentinol								
S. No.	Particulars	ua (in tanna af al-il	1					_	Ratin
	broadening p	ue (in terms of skil perspectives)			ge, ana	lytical abilities, o	or		4
2		/relevance to real	life situati	ons					5
3		course content							5
4		erage of course							
	5 Extent of effort required by students							3 5 5	
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report							5		
7 Overall rating							5		
5) chal 3. You 5)Highly relevant	y 4)re	for benefiting from	partly relevan	se was	2)mostl	1) irrelevar ly elevant	1)	complet irreleva	
√5). 90 t	:0100% 4)?		0 to 75%		o 50%	1) less than	40%		
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your opin llent 4}	ion about the libra adequate 3) in	ry holding adequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor			
5) very	good 4)		average	2) poo		1) very poor			
101 :		ow much of the tot		age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal	assess	ment ac	count
5) 1009	% 4 }	75% 3) 5	50%	2) 25%)	1) below 25	%		
				1	Si	ZTejasw gnature of the S	tuden	t	

PRINCIPAL. MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, CUETUR-S.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

Nam	e: Bhas	ana garri cou	rsa. B.	teh Da	nartma	nt. ATMOS	
Vear	/Semester: 2	-2 A	ddrogo 🔼	ulladi	ou sof	a, Guntur	
1 car	91090	AC	aress	MILLAGALL	guni	a jojania.	
					o gai	ia gmail ce	+m
1. Ple	ease give a ratin	g of your course o	n the follo	owing: -	V		
		5	4	3	2	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely	
		doou				Poor	
S. No.	Particulars						Rating
1	Learning valu	e (in terms of skill	ls, concept	s, knowled	ge, anal	lytical abilities, or	
	broadening pe	erspectives)				,	4
2		relevance to real l	ife situati	ons			5
	3 Depth of the course content						
4		erage of course					5
5		rt required by stud					3
7	Overall rating	rning value of pro	ject/ repo	ort		1	5
	Overall rating						5
2. The	e syllabus was	7.2					
5) chal	lenging 4)	adequate 3)	inadequat	e 2) du	11	1) irrelevant	
						Ser Charles and the control of the control of	
3. You	ır background f	or benefiting from		se was			
5)Highly relevant		evant 3)p	partly		2)mostl	•	completely
		yllabus was taugh	relevant		irre	elevant	irrelevant
5). 90 t	0100% 4)7		0 to 75%		0.50%	1) less than 40%	
		ă		,	,0	1) 1000 than 1070	
5. Wh	at is your opini	on about the libra	ry holding	s for the co	urse?		
5) exce	ellent 4) a	dequate 3) in:	adequate	2) poor	aroc.	1) very poor	
2 200						, , , , , ,	
6. We	re you able to g	et the prescribed					
5) very	good 4)	good 3) a	average	2) poo	r	1) very poor	
7. In v	our opinion ha					at year seed on the	
for?	our opinion, no	w much of the tot	al weighta	ige of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal assess	ment account
5) 1009		5% 3) 5	0%	2) 25%		1) below 25%	
		- , ,	- A W	-) 20 /0	t:	1) Delow 25%	
					C	Manhaus Ola	vaaui
					7,	Meghana Bha gnature of the Student	grange
					31	gnature of the Student	

MALINENI LAKSHMATAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING CO. "DE BULLABIGUNTA, GUNTUR-3 LIC



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CUR

Name	M. 5	ilaja co	urse: 13.4	ch Dej	partme	nt: AIDS		
Year/	Semester:	2-2 A	ddress. 74	Madigun	ta (6	Juntur)		
		800 E-						
					in a construction of the c			
1. 110	ase give a ratii	ng of your course 5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good			Extremely		
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor		
C M	D .: 1							
S. No.	Particulars	- <i>(</i> :	11					Ratin
1	broadening p	e (in terms of ski	ills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		4
2	Applicability/	relevance to real	life situati	ons				5
3		course content						5
4		erage of course						
5		rt required by stu						3
6		arning value of p	oject/ repo	ort				5 5
7 Overall rating								5
5) chall		adequate 3) inadequa m the cour		11	1) irrelevant		
Highly elevant	/ 4)re)partly relevan	t	2)mostl irre	y elevant	1) comple irreleva	
5). 90 t	0100% 4)7	5 to 90% 3)	50 to 75%	2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less than 4	0%	
5. Who	at is your opini llent 4) a	ion about the libradequate 3) i	ary holding nadequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor		
6. Wer	re you able to g	get the prescribed	l course ma					
5) very	good 4)	good 37	average	2) poo	r	1) very poor		
7. In yo	our opinion, ho	ow much of the to	otal weight	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal as	sessment ac	ccount
5) 100%	% 4) 7	75% 3)	50%	2) 25%)	1) below 25%		
						M Sallaja	=	
					Si	gnature of the Stu	dent	

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSPOTTORI

WOMEN'S ENGINEER NO COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUF-522017.



for? 5) 100%

4) 75%

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 2473 / 2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Nam	e: A · Pujî	thacou	rse: B:	tech. De	partme	nt: ATEDS			
Year/Semester: 2-2 Address Pulladigunta Guntur									
Mobi	le 8790938	3909 E-n	nailpo	ojithoo	cha	nta3@gmai	Plice	om	
1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -									
		5	4	3	2	1			
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor			
S. No.	Particulars							Т	Ratin
1	Learning value	(in terms of skil	ls. concep	ts. knowled	ge ana	lytical abilities, or			Natili
	broadening pe	rspectives)	,P	,	Бе, апа	ly ticul abilities, of			4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations								5
3	Depth of the co	ourse content							5
4	Extent of coverage of course								u
5	Extent of effort required by students								435
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								
7	Overall rating		η · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						5
5) chal	e syllabus was lenging 4) a		inadequa		11	1) irrelevant			u _{vi v} i
3. You	ır background fo	or benefiting fron		se was					
)Highly elevant		evant 3)	partly		2)mostl			complete	
		llabus was taugh	relevant	t	irr	elevant		irrelevan	it
5). 90 t	0100% 4)75	to 90% 3) 5	0 to 75%	2) 40 to	o 50%	1) less than 4	40%		
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your opinio	on about the libra dequate 3) in	ry holding adequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor			
. We	re you able to ge	et the prescribed	course ma	iterials?					
5) very	good 4) g		average	2) poo	r	1) very poor			

7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account

3)50%

A. Pojitha Signature of the Student

1) below 25%

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMENIS ENGINEERING COLLEGE
BULLAHGURTA, QUNTUR SZONY

2) 25%



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/24

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: T. Lakshmiforwathi	Course: B. Tech. Department: AILDS
	Address Pulladigunta, Guntur
Mobile 6303608870	E-mail lakshniparvathisseagnail com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Y
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	()
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

, overall i	uting					
2. The syllabus5) challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
5)Highly relevant 4. How much of	ound for benefiting 4)relevant f the syllabus was 4)75 to 90%	ng from the course 3)partly relevant taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2)mostly	1) less than 40%	completely irrelevant	
5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about the 4) adequate	e library holdings 3) inadequate	for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		
6. Were you abl 5) very good	e to get the presc 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In your opinio	on, how much of t	the total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal asses	sment account	Ŀ
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

T. Lakshmi Povvathi Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSTANA

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING LULLINGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-524817.



for?

5) 100%

A) 75%

3)50%

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:	24	3	2024	

		STODENT	FEED DA	CK FURM C	IN CUR	RICULUM		
Nam	e: Al Naga H	arshitha Cou	ırse: 3.7	ech De	partme	nt: AILDS		
		2-2A						
		466 E-r						
			Secretary of the second		M. L. I.	JIMILION !		
I. FIE	ease give a rating	g of your course o						
		Extremely	4	3	2	1		
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
	·					1001		
S. No.	Particulars							Ratin
1	Learning value	e (in terms of skil	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	•	
2	broadening pe	rspectives						4
2	Applicability/i	relevance to real	life situati	ons				5
4	3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course				5 4 3 5 4			
5			1					4
6		t required by stud						3
7	Overall rating	rning value of pro	oject/ repo	ort				5
	Overall rating							4
2. The	e syllabus was							
5) chal		adequate 3)	inadequa	te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant		
3. You	ir hackground fo	or benefiting fron	n the cour					
5)Highly	y 4)rele		partly		e)mostl	**	1)	
relevant	t	-)]	relevant	+		y elevant	 complete irrelev 	
4. Hov	w much of the sy	ıllabus was taugh			***	ore varie	nielev	ant
5). 90 t	0100% 4)75	5 to 90% 3) 50	0 to 75%	2) 40 to	50%	1) less than 4	10%	
5. Wh:	at is your oninio	n about the libra	ry holding	ra familia	•			
5) exce	llert 4) ac	dequate 3 in	adequate	2) poor	urse?	1) very poor		
6. Wei	re you able to ge	et the prescribed	COURSE ma	terials?				
5) very	good 4) g	good 3):	average	2) poo	r	1) very poor		
7. In yo	our opinion, hov	w much of the tot	al weighta	ige of a cour	se sho	uld the internal as	ssessment a	ccount

N. N. Harshilla Signature of the Student

1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING GOLL GE BULLADIGHNTA, BUNTUR: 922011.

2) 25%

ESTD: 2008

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24/3/2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Keeythi	Course: B-Tech. Department: ALCOS
Year/Semester:2-2	Address Pulladiaunta Cuntur
Mobile 7672065739	E-mail keerthinaiduvinukonda@qmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Ė
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	3

						9
2. The syllabus 5) challenging	s was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
3. Your backgr	ound for benefiti	ng from the course	was			
_5}Highly relevant	4)relevant	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	y levant	l) complet	
4. How much o	f the syllabus was	s taught in class?			ii i cic va	10
5). 90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40	%	
5. What is your	opinion about th	ne library holdings	for the course?			
5) excellent	4) adequate	~~ .	2) poor	1) very poor		
6. Were you ah	le to get the pres	cribed course mate				
5) very good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In your opini	ion, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal ass	essment ac	count
5) 100%	4)75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

Signature of the Student

MANAGENERA LENGSHAMMAH
WONDMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PUPULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-5212.4



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24-03-2004

Name	Syed.	Af_{Π}	in Cou	rse:B:	Tech De	oartme:	nt: AISBS		
Year	Semester:	2	-2A	ddress 1	outlo dian	nta 1	Turtur		
Mohi	79296	3148	P)	5.	Lange	In	liai Olamasi e		
						urac	lini@gmail.com	n	
1. Ple	ase give a 1	rating	of your course o	n the follo	owing: -				
			5	4	3	2	1		
			Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S. No.	Particular								Ratin
1	broadenii	ng pers	spectives)			ge, anal	lytical abilities, or		4
2			levance to real	life situati	ons				5
3			rse content	-					5
4			ge of course						4
5	Extent of	effort 1	equired by stud	dents					4354
6	Relevance	e/learn	ing value of pro	oject/ repo	ort				5
7	Overall ra	ting							4
S chal 3. You Highly elevant 4. Hov	7 4	4) ad and for 4)releventhers	benefiting from ant 3)	partly relevant	se was	2)mostl irre	1) irrelevant y elevant 1) less than 4	 comple irreleva 	
5. Wh: 5) exce	at is your o llent	pinion 4) ade	about the libra equate 3) in	ry holding adequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor		
	good	4) go	the prescribed od 3)	average	2) poo		1) very poor		
'. In y for?				al weighta	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal as	sessment a	ccount
5) 100%	6	¥) 75%	6 3) 5	0%	2) 25%	y ·	1) below 25%		
						Si	ged. Afrûn ghature of the Stud	dent	



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24 3 2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Bhasang proya	Course: B.tch Department: AT&DS
Year/Semester: 2-2	Address pulladeaunta o Guntur
Mobile 9059507986	E-mail bhaxang proyumode palle agmas l.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	-
3	Depth of the course content	5.
4	Extent of coverage of course	5.
5	Extent of effort required by students	-4-
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	4

						3
2. The syllabus 5) challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
3. Your backgro	ound for benefitir	ng from the course	was			
りHighly relevant	4)relevant	3)partly relevant	2)mostl	y 1 _{elevant}) complete irrelevan	_
4. How much of	the syllabus was	taught in class?				
S). 90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	6	
5. What is your5) excellent	opinion about th 4) adequate	e library holdings 3) inadequate	for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor	Š	
6. Were you abl	e to get the preso	ribed course mate	riale?			
5) very good	4) good	3) average	2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In your opinion?	on, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course she	ald the internal asse	ssmentaco	count
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

M. Bhasang Daga Signature of the Student

A Arad

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMATAH IEN'S ENGINEERING (J.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24-3-2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Saikeerthi	Course: Betch Department: AIE OS
Year/Semester: 2-2	Address Pulladigunia CV) Gunty
Mobile 7386361967	E-mail nadikudisaikeerthi@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Rating
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	——————————————————————————————————————
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	7

	/ Overall r	ating					5
2.		was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
5)l rel 4.	lighly evant	und for benefitin A)relevant the syllabus was 4)75 to 90%	g from the course 3)partly relevant taught in class? 3) 50 to 75%	2)mostly	levant 1) less than 40%	irrelevar	-
5. 5)	What is your excellent	opinion about the	e library holdings (3) inadequate	for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		
6. 5)	Were you able very good	e to get the presc 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7.	In your opinion for?	on, how much of t	he total weightage	e of a course shou	ld the internal asse	ssment acc	count
	100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

N. Salkeerth? Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINIENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENISHNEESING CO.
PULLADIK INDE



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date: 24 3 2024

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: B. Nagurb?	Course: BTech Department: ATEDS
Year/Semester: 2-2	Address pulladeunta (v) Guntur
Mobile 7569362685	E-mail bayyavaxapunaguxb9 1914@gmail.com

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -5 2 Extremely Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good

S. No.	Particulars	Dating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Rating 5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	17
5	Extent of effort required by students	- 5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	5

7 Overa	all rating					5
2. The syllab		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		<u> </u>
5)Highly relevant	aground for benefiting 4)relevant hof the syllabus was 4375 to 90%	3)partly relevant taught in class?	2)mostly	y 1) levant 1) less than 40%	irrelevan	_
5. What is yo	our opinion about th 4) adequate		for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		
6. Were you 5) very good	able to get the presc #) good	ribed course mate 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In your op for?5) 100%	inion, how much of t			ıld the internal asse	ssment acc	ount
3) 100%	4) 75%	3)50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

B. Nagurbi Signature of the Student

Poor

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING CL PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTURIASE



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
Name	Krish	ne Ulni cou	rse: B.T	ech Der	partme	nt: AI 805	3	
Year	Semester: 1	II / 11 A	ddress W	ullend	i qui	nta Cruntu		
i car,	a a a l	288-7 -	uuressga	aselm.	0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	2	
Mobi	le/O.L/		nail	susnia	$\omega_{r,r}$	sey man con	7	
1. Ple	ase give a ratin	g of your course o	on the follo	owing: -		. Y		
		5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely		
		Good				Poor		
S. No.	Particulars			₩				Rating
1	Learning value	e (in terms of skil	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		
	broadening pe	erspectives)	(22)					5
2		relevance to real	life situati	ons	171			5
3	Depth of the co							4 5 7 5
4		rage of course		-				3
5		t required by stu						3
6		rning value of pro	oject/ rep	ort				5
7	Overall rating							4
2. The	syllabus was							
5) chal		adequate 3)	inadequa	te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant		
O		anoquate o)	maacqua	te 2) du	11	1) Il Televalit		
3. You	ır background f	or benefiting from	n the cour	se was				
5)Highly			partly		2)most	ly 1)	complet	elv
relevant			relevan		irr	elevant	irreleva	
4. Ho	w much of the s	yllabus was taugl			= 00.			
3). 90 (:0100% Aj7!	5 to 90% 3) 5	0 to 75%	2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less than 40%	6	
E 1411		* 20 (20 * 140.4)	0 000					
5. Wh	at is your opinio	on about the libra	ary holdin	gs for the co	urse?	av I		
3) exce	ment 41a	dequate , 3) ir	iadequate	2) poor		1) very poor		
6 Wa	re vou able to a	et the prescribed		:-1-2				
5) very			average	ateriais?	r	1) moure no ou		
٠, ٠٠٠	5004 1)	500a (a)	average	2) poc	11	1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinion, ho	w much of the to	tal weight	age of a cou	rse sho	ould the internal asse	ssment ac	count
5) 1000		5% 3)!	50%	2) 25%	ó	1) below 25%		
		A A		-y 2 / 1	. x	-) 20.011 20 /0		

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENCINEERING COULGE

PULLADIGUNTA SUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

	e: G. Hosis /Semester:					
	le. 7780180					
1. Ple	ase give a rating	g of your course	on the foll	owing: -		
		5	✓ 4	3	2	1
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor
S. No.	Particulars					
1	Learning value	o (in terms of cl	zille concer	te knowled	ge ana	lytical abilities or

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	ч
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

/ Overall r	ating					5
2. The syllabus challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	By	
3. Your backgro	ound for benefitin	g from the course	was			
ぶ)Highly relevant	4)relevant the syllabus was	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	7 1] levant) complet irreleva	
	4)75 to 90%		2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	%	
5. What is your 5) excellent	opinion about the 4) adequate	e library holdings 3) inadequate		1) very poor		
6. Were you abl	e to get the presc 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In your opinifor?	on, how much of t	the total weightag	e of a course shou	ald the internal asse	essment ac	count
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

G. Hasidhilla . Signature of the Student

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

_				
n	-	+	-	
.,	4		•	c

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: V. Triver	٠	Course: .B: 7	ech De	partme	nt:
Year/Semester:	3-2	Address.	unodiquat	a Ga	neudra.
Mobile 9014590	097	E-mail	veh ver	nna.25	Dg. mais co
1. Please give a rating					
	5	4.	3	2	
1	Extremely Good	T .	3	4	1

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	2

	Relevant	c/icar ining value	or project/ report				5
7	Overall ra	ating					5
	e syllabus v llenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
5)Highl relevan	y t	und for benefiting 4)relevant the syllabus was t	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	1 evant) completo	-
5). 90	to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40°	%	
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your o	ppinion about the A) adequate	library holdings 3) inadequate	for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		
6. We	re you able good	e to get the prescr 4) good	ibed course mate 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinio	n, how much of th	ne total weightage	e of a course shoul	d the internal asse	essment acc	count
5) 100°		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING CONTGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-5226.7.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

		STUDE	NT FEED BAG	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
						nt: ATE DS		
Year/	Semester:	3-2	Address	ulladig	unto	2, Guntur		
Mobil	le 93929	194127	E-maila.K	ulaher	nala	tha2001@9	mail'	om
l. Ple	ase give a rati	ng of your cour	se on the follo	owing: -				
		5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
			- I	<u> </u>				
S. No.	Particulars							Ratin
1	Learning val	ue (in terms of	skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		
		perspectives)			58A			5
2	Applicability	/relevance to r	eal life situati	ons				5
3	Depth of the	course content						4
4		verage of course				:1		5
5		ort required by						5
6		earning value of	fproject/rep	ort				5
7	Overall ratin	g						3
	e syllabus was llenging 4	s -) adequate	3) inadequa	te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant		
3. <u>Y</u> oı	ır background	d for benefiting	from the cour	se was				
Highl:		elevant	3)partly		2)most	ly	1) comple	tely
elevan			relevan		irr	elevant	irreleva	int
		syllabus was ta						
5). 901	to100% 4)	75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 t	0 50%	1) less than 40)%	
		nion about the l adequate 3			ourse?	1) very poor		
6. We 5) very		get the prescri good	bed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poo	or	1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinion,	how much of th	e total weight	tage of a cou	ırse sh	ould the internal ass	sessment a	ccount
for 5) 100	E. C.	75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	6	1) below 25%		

A. Hemalatha Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA GUNTUR-52207.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name	B. Kanu	<u>у</u> о	rse: %- T	cch Dep	oartme	nt: <u>AT-OS</u>		8
Year/	Semester:?	3-2A	dressQ	runsipull	a.luun	YW.	10	
Mobil	le 93927	-11172 E-n	nailBo	nthak	Kary	101971@g	mail com	
		g of your course o			(
		5	4	3	2	1		
	¥	Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
							<u>, </u>	
S. No.	Particulars							Rati
1	Learning value	e (in terms of skil	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, o	or	
	broadening pe	erspectives)				T1 15		5
2	Applicability/	relevance to real	life situati	ons	7,7			5
3	Depth of the c	ourse content						4
4	Extent of cove	rage of course						3
5		t required by stu	dents					7
6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	rning value of pr		ort				2
7	Overall rating							35
	e syllabus was	adequate 3)	inadeaua	ite 2) du	11	1) irrolova	at	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 5)Highly 4)relevant 3)partly 2)mostly 1) completely relevant relevant irrelevant irrelevant 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class? 5). 90 to 100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40% 5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? 5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor 6. Were you able to get the prescribed course materials? 5) very good 4) good 3) average 2) poor 1) very poor 7. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for? 5) 100% 4) 75% 3)50% 2) 25% 1) below 25%

> B. Kavya Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTURISEZONY.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name	B. Mo	ahika	Course:Bt	ech De	oartme	nt: AIEDS		
			Address					
Mohi	le 733=	1077629	F-mail M	hikaht	527	Damail.com.		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Julicon.		
I. Pie	ase give a ra	5	ourse on the follo	owing: -	2			
		Extremel	4	3	2	1 Eutrope de		
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S. No.	Particulars						Rat	in
1	Learning v	alue (in terms	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		
	broadening perspectives)							
2			o real life situati	ons				1
3		ne course conte				F	L	4
1-7	4 Extent of coverage of course							I
5		ffort required l					5	11113
6			of project/ repo	ort			5	_
7	Overall rat	ing					3	3
o mi	11.1							
	e syllabus w		2): 1					
5) chai	lenging	4) adequate	inadequa	te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant		
3 You	ır hackgrou	nd for hanafitir	ng from the cour	SO MICO				
)Highly)relevant	3)partly		2)most	lv 1)	gommleteler	
elevani		,	relevan			elevant	completely irrelevant	
4. Hov	w much of tl	he syllabus was	taught in class?	•	***	cicvant	micievant	
5). 90 t	:0100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 t	o 50%	1) less than 40%		
5. Wh	at is your op	oinion about th	e library holding	gs for the co	urse?			
5) exce	ellent	4) adequate	inadequate	2) poor		1) very poor		
5. We	re vou able	to get the preso	ribed course ma	atorialo?				
5) very	good	4) good	3) average	2) poc	r	1) very poor		
		78	- Jaronage	2) pot	,,	1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinion	, how much of	the total weight	age of a cou	rse sho	ould the internal asses	sment accour	ıt
for? 1009 (5)		4) 75%						
יטטג ני	/U 4	4) /3%	3}50%	2) 25%	0	1) below 25%		

B. Mahika. Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PUELADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522817.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science




Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

		31001	ENI FEED DA	CK FURM U	N COK	KICOLOM			
Name	C.Ro	ന്യൂമ	.Course: .B.:.T.	ech. Der	partme	nt: AT & DS			
Year/	Semester:	3-2	AddressP.	ulladiqu	nta.	Guntux			
Mobil	e 90142	98412	E-mail .XQ.C	nyacher	nam	setti 6@gma	ilcon	\sim	
1. Plea	ase give a ra	iting of your cou	rse on the foll	owing: -			_		
		5	4	3	2	1			
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor			
S. No.	Particulars						-	1 27	Patin
1			falrilla aanaan	to lessand ad		lestical abilities			Rating
-	broadenin	alue (in terms of g perspectives)	S		ge, ana	iyticai abilitles, (or 		5
2	Applicabili	ty/relevance to	real life situati	ions	1 -11				4
3	Depth of th	ne course conten	t						4
4	Extent of c	overage of cours	e						4 5
5	Extent of e	ffort required by	students						5
6	Relevance	/learning value o	of project/ rep	ort					5
7	Overall rat	ing							3
5) chal		as 4) adequate nd for benefiting	3) inadequa	201	11	1) irreleva	nt		
)Highly		Jrelevant	3)partly		2)most	lv	1)	comple	telv
elevant		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	relevar			elevant	-)	irreleva	
4. Hov	w much of th	he syllabus was t				-			
5). 90 t	:0100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 t	to 50%	1) less than	n 40%		
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your o llent	pinion about the 4) adequate	library holdin 3) inadequate		ourse?	1) very poor			
						131			
6. We	re you able	to get the prescr	ibed course m	aterials?					
5) very	good	4) good	3) average	2) po	or	1) very poo	or		
7. In y		, how much of tl	ne total weigh	tage of a cou	ırse sh	ould the interna	l asses	sment a	ccount
5) 100		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	6	1) below 2!	5%		
						9			

C.Ranya, Signature of the Student





(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

		STUDENT	FEED BA	CK FORM O	N CUR	RICULUM		
Name: .	Gr. Ha	riKa Cou	rse: 13. 4	ech De	partme	nt: AILDS		
		7-2 A						
		1593 E-r						
				•	A. T. C. C. C.			
1. Fleas	e give a raun	g of your course o	on the follo	owing: -	2			
		Extremely				1 Extremely		
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor		
G 11 D								
	articulars	<i>c</i>						Rating
1 L	earning value roadening pe	e (in terms of skil	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, anal	ytical abilities, or		_
		elevance to real	lifo oituati					5 5 5 4 5
3 D	enth of the co	urse content	ine situati	ons				3
	The state of the s						7	
5 Extent of effort required by students						3		
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report						4		
7 0	verall rating	0 1-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					41
								4
	yllabus was	1		50 8 1	12			
V) challer	nging 4) a	adequate 3)	inadequa	te 2) dul	11	1) irrelevant		
3. Your l	nackground fo	or benefiting fron	n the cour	CO MICO				
5)Highly	4)rele		partly		e)mostl	v	1)	nlatala
relevant	· · ·		relevant	f		elevant	1) com	evant
4. How n	nuch of the sy	llabus was taugh	it in class?					Cvanc
Sy . 90 to 1	00% 4)75	to 90% 3) 5	0 to 75%	2) 40 to	50%	1) less than 4	10%	
F 1411		2						
5) excelle	s your opinio	n about the libra	ry holding	gs for the co	urse?			
Sy excelle	iii 4) ac	dequate 3) in	adequate	2) poor		1) very poor		
6. Were	you able to ge	t the prescribed	COURCO ma	torials?				
5) very go	od 4) g		average	2) poo	r	1) work noon		
	• 0	٠,٠	average	2) poo	L	1) very poor		
7. In your for?	r opinion, hov	w much of the tot	al weighta	age of a coup	se sho	uld the internal as	ssessmen	t account
5) 100%	4) 75	5% 3) 5	0%	2) 25%		1) below 25%)	
						St. Harika		
					(77. 1 000		

Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMATAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNFUR 1822017.



for? 5) 100%

4) 75%

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Nam	ie: J. Sallaj	ο	Course: 13-7	ech De	partme	nt: AIf OS		
Year	/Semester:	3-2	Address	ulladigun	ta a	intur		
Mob	ile 950272	12612	E-mail Soil	viastiv@9	mail.	Com		
	ease give a ratin					immina (
	8	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S. No.	Particulars					Here was a second of the secon		Ratin
1	Learning value	e (in terms of	skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	·	Ratin
	broadening pe	erspectives)	•		6 -,	y crear abilities, or		5
2	Applicability/	relevance to r	eal life situati	ons				
3	Depth of the c	ourse content						5
4	Extent of cove							5
5	students							
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report						17		
7	Overall rating							5
	e syllabus was llenging 4}	ádequate	3) inadequa	te 2) du	II	1) irrelevant		
5)Highly relevant		evant yllabus was ta	3)partly	t - 2		y elevant 1) less than 4	 completing irrelevation 	
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your opinio ellent 4) a	on about the li dequate 3	brary holding) inadequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor		
6. We 5) very	re you able to go good 4) g	et the prescrib good	oed course ma 3) average	iterials? 2) poo	r	1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinion, ho	w much of the	total weighta	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal as	sessment ac	count

3)50%

J. Gailaia Signature of the Student

1) below 25%

MALINENI LAKEHMAIAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUM-BOOGET.

2) 25%



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

3

Moderate

2

Poor

Extremely

Poor

	Name: G. Bhavana	Course: B-Tech	Department: AIGD
9	Year/Semester: 3-2	Address pulla di	gunta, Guntur
	Mobile 8977655027	E-mail bhavana	qunta, Guntur qude 315@gmail.com
	Please give a rating of your cou		

Good

Extremely

Good

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	u
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	

	-toro tame	ey rear ming variate	or projectly repor	L .		1	5
7	Overall ra	ating					3
	e syllabus llenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
3. You	ur backgro	und for benefiting	from the course	was			
5)Highl relevan	y t	4)relevant the syllabus was	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	evant 1) complete irrelevar	
5): 90 1	to100%	4)75 to 90%		2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	6	
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your o	opinion about the 4) adequate	library holdings 3) inadequate	for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		
6. We 5) very	re you able good	e to get the prescr 4) good	ibed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In y	our opinio	on, how much of tl	ne total weightag	e of a course shou	ld the internal asse	ssment acc	count
5) 1009		4) 75%	3)-50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMA CC LEGE

MALINENI LAKSHMA CC LEGE

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING CC LUTZ.

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING CC LUTZ.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

_		-		
n	-	٠	^	
.,	a		•	=

				CK FORM O			
Nam	e: L. Shirish	ZCoı	urse: B-Te	erh De	partme	nt: AIDBS	
Year	/Semester:	3-2 A	ddressP.	Modigunk	1, GIU	กรบา	
Mob	ile. 8712377	560 E-	mail Let	Savadlast	akirii	77560@gmail.com	
1. Ple	ease give a ratin	g of your course	on the follo	owing: -			
		5/	4	3	2	1	
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely	
						Poor	
S. No.	Particulars						Ratin
1	Learning valu	e (in terms of ski	lls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	
	broadening pe	erspectives)			B - 7	i dear abilities, or	3
2	Applicability/	relevance to real	life situati	ons			4
3		ourse content					5
4		rage of course					5
5	Extent of effor	t required by stu	dents				
6	Relevance/lea	rning value of pr	oject/ repo	ort			4 5
7	Overall rating						3
5) cha	e syllabus was llenging 4)			te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant	
3. You 5)Highl	ur background f	for benefiting from				* G - p	
relevan		evant 3)	partly relevant		?)mostl	-,	
		yllabus was taugl	nt in class?		irre	elevant irrel	evant
5). 90 i	to100% 4)7	5 to 90% 3) 5	0 to 75%	2) 40 to	50%	1) less than 40%	
5. Wh	at is your opinio	on about the libra	arv holding	s for the co	urco?		
5) exce	ellent 4) a	dequate 3) in	adequate	2) poor	urse:	1) very poor	
6We	re you able to g	et the prescribed	course ma	iterials?			
3) very	good 4) g		average	2) poo	r	1) very poor	
7. In y	our opinion, ho	w much of the to	tal weighta	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal assessmen	t account
5) 100		5% 3) 9	50%	2) 25%		1) below 25%	

L. Shrisha Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name	e: M.	Icalapano	Course:	Tech Dep	oartme	nt: PIGDS		
Year	Semester:	3-2	Address	Pulladio	junto	1. Grunler		
Mobi	le630	3414956	. E-mail	lacheri	aka.	lapana (agm	al-com	
			ourse on the foll					
		5 6	4	3	2	1		
		Extremel Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor		
S. No.	Particular	· ·						I D
1			of skills concen	te knowled	go ana	lytical abilities, or		Rating
•	broadenin	g perspectives	or skins, concep	is, Kilowieu	ge, ana	iyucai abiiities, or		5
2			o real life situat	ions	F.			4
3		he course conte		ions				
4		coverage of cou						-
5		effort required	B 14 CA					3
6			of project/rep	ort				5 575
7	Overall ra							5
2. The syllabus was 5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was (Highly 4) relevant 2) mostly 1) completely elevant relevant irrelevant irrelevant 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class? 5). 90 to100% 4)75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%								
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your o llent	pinion about th 4) adequate	e library holdin 3) inadequate	gs for the co 2) poor	urse?	1) very poor		
5. Wery		to get the preso 4) good	ribed course m 3) average	aterials? 2) poo	r	1) very poor		
7. In y for?	our opinior	n, how much of	the total weight	age of a cou	rse sho	uld the internal as	sessment ac	count
5) 1009		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%)	1) below 25%		
						M. Kalac	0000	

M. Kalapana Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL
MATHENI LAKSHMATAH
WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLIGIA
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-SCHOOT.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

		หนึ่Cou .3-&Ad		120				
Mobil	le 954260°	1884 E-r	nail	Veni Mal	liseli.	@ gmail.com.		
		g of your course o				O a		
1. 110	ase give a radin	5	4	3	2	1		
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate		Extremely Poor		
S. No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value broadening pe	e (in terms of skil erspectives)	ls, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or		5
2	Applicability/	relevance to real	life situati	ons			7	Ч
3	Depth of the c	ourse content		V				3
4		rage of course						3 5 5 9 5
5	Extent of effor	t required by stu	dents					5
6	Relevance/lea	rning value of pro	oject/ rep	ort				4
7	Overall rating							5
2. The syllabus was 5) challenging 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) dull 1) irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 5) Highly 4) relevant 3) partly 2) mostly 1) completely relevant relevant irrelevant irrelevant 4. How much of the syllabus was taught in class? 5). 90 to 100% 4) 75 to 90% 3) 50 to 75% 2) 40 to 50% 1) less than 40%								
5. What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course?5) excellent 4) adequate 3) inadequate 2) poor 1) very poor								
6. Wes	re you able to g good	et the prescribed good 3)	course ma average		or	1) very poor		
ior?	6	w much of the to	tal weight	age of a cou	rse sho	ould the internal a	issessment	account
5) 1009	% 4) 7	5% 3) !	50%	2) 25%	ó	1) below 25%	6	

M. Triveni Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMATAH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



5) 100%

4) 75%

3)50%

(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

\mathbf{r}	_		_	_
	2	т	Ω	
v	\boldsymbol{a}	L		

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM									
Name	Name: 1. Lavanya Course: BTech Department: ATRDS								
						ta Guntu	٢		
						hola@gnai		K.	
						indice of 11 w			
I. Ple	ase give a ratir	ig of your cou	rse on the follo		_				
		Extremely	4	3	2	1 Extremely			
		Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Poor			
			1						
S. No.	Particulars							Rating	
1	Learning valu	e (in terms o	f skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, ana	lytical abilities, or	N):		
_	broadening p							4	
2			real life situati	ons				2	
3 4	Depth of the o							3 5 5	
5	Extent of cove							5	
6	Extent of effor							4	
7	Overall rating		of project/ repo	ort				5	
,	Overall rating							5	
2. The	syllabus was								
		adequate	3) inadequa	te 2) du	11	1) irrelevant			
		.	,	,					
3. You	r background	for benefiting	from the cour	se was					
)Highly		levant	3)partly	2	2)most		1) comple	tely	
elevant		will alausa viva a	relevan		irr	elevant	irreleva	ant	
52.90 t		5 to 90%	aught in class? 3) 50 to 75%		- FOO/	1) 11	100/		
93. 200	010070 177	5 10 70 70	3) 30 to 73%	2) 40 t	0 50%	1) less than 4	10%		
5 Wh	at is your onin	on about the	1:11 -1 1:	<i>c</i>					
5) exce	llent 42	adequate	library holding 3) inadequate	gs for the co	urse?	13			
o j emee		aucquate	5) madequate	2) poor		1) very poor			
6. Wei	re you able to g	et the prescr	ibed course ma	aterials?					
5) very	good 4)	good	3) average	2) poc	r	1) very poor			
7. In y	. In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account								

Signature of the Student

1) below 25%

PRINCIPAL
MALINENI LAKSHMATAH
INOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR SONOTA.

2) 25%



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: To keerthi Reddy	Course: B. Tech	Department: ATLOS
Year/Semester:3-3	Address Pullac	ligunta Guntur
Mobile. 9392436971	E-mail keerthired	dyterali agmail.com
 Please give a rating of your cou 	rse on the following:	

Good

Extremely

Good

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Y
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	-
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	- 5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	19
7	Overall rating	

Moderate Poor

2. The syllabus challenging	was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr	ound for benefitir	ng from the course	was		
5)Highly relevant 4. How much o	4)relevant f the syllabus was	,3)partly relevant	2)mostly	y levant	 completely irrelevant
5). 90 to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40	0%
5) excellent	4) adequate	e library holdings 3) inadequate	2) poor	1) very poor	
5) very good	4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your opini	on, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal ass	sessment account
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

keerthi Reddy. Signature of the Student

Extremely

Poor

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

MATINIENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

BULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522817.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: N. Sof De Vidya	Course: B tech. Department: AT&DS
Year/Semester: 3-2	Address pulladegunta, Guntax
Mobile 9133079477	E-mail nagabolnassluldya@gmasl.com
Please give a rating of your cou	

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	2
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	11
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	1 2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	2

/ Overall	rating	Line and the second				5
2. The syllabu5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		
≶)Highly relevant	round for benefitin 4)relevant of the syllabus was	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	/ 1] levant) complet irreleva	
5). 90 to100%	♦)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	%	
5. What is you 5) excellent	r opinion about the 4) adequate	e library holdings f 3) inadequate 2	or the course? 2) poor	1) very poor		
6. Were you al 5) very good	ole to get the presc 4) good	ribed course mater 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In your opin	nion, how much of t	the total weightage	of a course shou	ıld the internal asse	ssment ac	count
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

Nigra Vidy a Signature of the Student

MALINENI LAKSHMAIRH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE BULLADIBUNTA, GUNTUR-522017,



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK) (An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution) Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal), Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India ESTD: 2008 Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

N	Vame: P. Prasanna	Course: B-Tech	Department: AIGDS
	rear/Semester:3-2		
N	Mobile 90590 7803 5	E-mail .prasann	aponige Hagmash com
	Please give a rating of your cou		V

		5	4	3	2	1
		Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor
S. No.	Particulars					
4	Y . 1	C: . C.1	.11			

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	15
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	II.
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	ü
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus 5) challenging	s was 4) adequate	3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
3. Your backgr	ound for benefiting	ng from the course	was		
5)Highly relevant	4)relevant	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	y 1) comp levant irrele	
5). 90 to100%	of the syllabus was 4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40%	
5. What is your 5) excellent	r opinion about th	e library holdings 3) inadequate		1) very poor	
6. Were you ab 5) very good	ole to get the preso 4) good	cribed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your opin	ion, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course sho	uld the internal assessment	account
for? 5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

D. prasanna Signature of the Student

PRINCIPAL MALINENI LAKSHMAINH WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE BULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-522017.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

		113			
•	-	£	_	_	
	2	т	Ω	•	

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

	Name: T. Gowthami	Course: B-Tech	Department: ATADS
	Year/Semester: 3-2	Address Pulladi	gunta, Guntur
	Mobile 7671964884	E-mail Lelegow	Haniy@gmail.com
1	1. Please give a rating of your cour	rse on the following:	

Good

Extremely

Good

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	y ,
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	· ·
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

Moderate Poor

6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report						5
7	Overall ra		1 , , , , ,				
	e syllabus v llenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant		5
5)Highl relevant 4. Hov	y t w much of	und for benefiting 4)relevant the syllabus was	3)partly relevant taught in class?	2)mostly	1 evant	l) complet irrelevai	
5}. 90 t	to100%	4)75 to 90%	3) 50 to 75%	2) 40 to 50%	1) less than 40	%	
5. Wh 5) exce	at is your o	opinion about the 4} adequate	Transport of the Control of the Cont		1) very poor		
6. We: 5) very	re you able good	e to get the prescr 4) good	ibed course mate 3) average	erials? 2) poor	1) very poor		
7. In y for?	our opinio	n, how much of tl	ne total weightag	ge of a course shou	ld the internal ass	essment ac	count
5) 1009		4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%		

V. Gowthami Signature of the Student

Extremely

Poor

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

MALINENI LAKSHMAIAH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNTA, GUNTUR-STLOVE.



(Approved by AICTE, Affiliated to JNTUK)

(An ISO9001:2008 Certified Institution)

Pulladigunta (Village), Vatticherukuru (Mandal),

Guntur-522017, Andhra Pradesh, India

Department Artificial Intelligence and Data Science



Ref: MLEW/AI&DS/FOC/R20

Date:

STUDENT FEED BACK FORM ON CURRICULUM

Name: M. Yamini	Course: B-tech Department: AL&DS
Year/Semester: 3-2	Address Pulladigoota Gootum
Mobile 9618893888	E-mail yaminimovva 07 agmair com.
51	-

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following: -

5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Moderate	Poor	Extremely Poor

S. No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllab 5) challenging		3) inadequate	2) dull	1) irrelevant	
5)Highly relevant	ground for benefitin 4)relevant n of the syllabus was 6 4)75 to 90%	3)partly relevant	2)mostly	7 1 levant 1) less than 40%	irrelevant
5. What is yo 5) excellent	our opinion about the 4) adequate		for the course? 2) poor	1) very poor	
6. Were you 5) very good	able to get the presc 4) good	ribed course mate 3) average	rials? 2) poor	1) very poor	
7. In your op for?	inion, how much of	the total weightag	e of a course shou	ıld the internal asse	ssment account
5) 100%	4) 75%	3) 50%	2) 25%	1) below 25%	

N. Yomini Signature of the Student

MAUNENI CAYSMOATOH

WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE

PULLADIGUNIA, GUNTUR-5228